International Conference on Science, Engineering & Management Trends

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

A Study of Effectiveness of Influencer Marketing

Mohamed Vasim. A, Dr. S. V. Harshini

III BBA, Department of BBA, Sri Krishna Adithya college of Art's and science], Coimbatore, India

Abstract- Pick up your phone and open Instagram or YouTube. Within about fifteen seconds, someone you follow will be telling you about a product — not through a slick ad, but in a way that feels like a recommendation from someone you actually know. That is influencer marketing. It is a commercial message wearing the clothes of a personal recommendation, and it has become both one of the most talked-about and one of the most misunderstood channels in digital marketing. The real question is not whether brands are spending money here — they obviously are — but whether any of it is actually working, and why some of it works so much better than the rest. This study surveyed 150 respondents across demographic segments to examine how influencer marketing affects consumer purchase intent. It investigates influencer tier effects, content format preferences, perceived authenticity, and the role of product category in moderating influencer effectiveness. Simple percentage analysis and cross-tabulation were used to produce findings accessible to practitioners and students alike. What came back is this: micro-influencers — the ones with 10K to 100K followers — drive the highest purchase conversion at 38%, ahead of macro-influencers at 27%. Perceived authenticity of the endorser accounts for 64% of respondents' stated willingness to act on a recommendation. Short- form video content (Instagram Reels, YouTube Shorts) significantly outperforms static image posts across all age groups. Crucially, the effectiveness of influencer marketing varies substantially by product category: personal care and fashion show markedly higher conversion rates than electronics or financial services, where credibility requirements are more demanding. That has real consequences for how brands should be choosing who to work with and where to spend their money.

Keywords- Influencer Marketing, Purchase Intent, Consumer Behaviour, Micro-Influencers, Social Media Marketing, Brand Authenticity, Content Marketing, Digital Advertising, Instagram, YouTube, Conversion Rate.

I. INTRODUCTION

Something has quietly changed about how people decide what to buy. A decade ago, brands reached you through TV ads and billboards. Today, a growing share of product discovery happens through creators — people who built audiences around things they genuinely care about, and whose opinions carry weight because they feel earned rather than paid for. That is the whole idea behind influencer marketing: a real person's recommendation will move people more than a brand saying the same thing about itself.

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

India's influencer marketing industry crossed INR 2,200 crore in estimated value in 2024 and is projected to grow significantly through 2027, driven by the combination of high smartphone penetration, affordable mobile data, and the explosion of short-form video content. And yet most brands are still picking influencers based on follower counts and gut feel, rather than any real understanding of what drives someone from watching a video to actually buying something. A creator with five million followers can generate impressive reach numbers while doing almost nothing for actual sales. A creator with fifty thousand engaged followers in the right niche might quietly outperform them on every commercial metric that matters.

That is what this study tries to do — bring some actual evidence to questions that practitioners usually answer on gut feel. Instead of treating influencer marketing as one big thing, it breaks effectiveness down by influencer tier, content format, product category, and who the buyer actually is — giving something more useful than a single aggregate number.

The Rise of Social Commerce and Creator Economy in India

India's creator economy has grown with unusual speed because of two structural advantages: a young population that spends significant time on social platforms, and the development of Tamil, Hindi, and regional language content that makes influencer messaging feel local and personally relevant in ways that national advertising rarely achieves. Jio-era data pricing and affordable smartphones brought hundreds of millions of first-time internet users straight into social media environments where creator content was already the dominant format.

What makes influencer marketing different from a celebrity appearing in a TV ad is the relationship that exists between the creator and the people watching them. A YouTube creator who has spent three years reviewing products in their niche has built something with their subscribers that feels, from the subscriber’s side, like a friendship with someone who actually knows what they’re talking about. When that creator recommends something, it lands differently than an advertisement. The paid partnership might be disclosed in the caption, but the trust has been built up over years of content that had nothing to do with selling. That trust is what brands are really buying when they work with influencers — not reach, not impressions, trust.

Research Objectives

This study was designed to address five specific questions. First, which influencer tiers — mega (above 1 million followers), macro (100K–1M), micro (10K–100K), and nano (below 10K) — generate the strongest purchase intent among consumers? Second, which content formats (short-form video, long-form video, static image, stories) drive the highest conversion per unit of exposure? Third, how does perceived authenticity of the influencer moderate the relationship between exposure and purchase intent? Fourth, does product category change how effective influencer marketing is, and if so, how? Fifth, what do these findings mean for brand managers making influencer partnership decisions with limited budgets?

II. CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

Defining Influencer Marketing

Influencer marketing, in its contemporary form, refers to commercial arrangements in which brands partner with individuals who have built audiences on digital platforms — primarily Instagram, YouTube, and increasingly short-form video platforms — to promote products or services through content that blends personal endorsement with brand messaging. The spectrum runs from multi- million-follower celebrities whose partnerships closely resemble traditional advertising, down to nano-influencers whose recommendations circulate within tight communities of a few thousand highly engaged followers.

What differentiates this channel from paid advertising is the role of parasocial relationship: the psychological bond that audience members form with creators they follow regularly. Research consistently finds that this parasocial bond activates trust mechanisms similar to those triggered by recommendations from actual acquaintances — which helps explain why influencer recommendations outperform equivalent brand-generated advertising in conversion studies, even when the commercial nature of the content is clearly disclosed.

Influencer Tiers and the Effectiveness Question

The conventional wisdom in marketing circles — that larger audiences equal greater impact — has been repeatedly challenged by empirical evidence. Engagement rates (the percentage of followers who actively interact with a post through likes, comments, and shares) decline as follower counts increase. A mega-influencer with five million followers may achieve an engagement rate of 1–2%, while a micro-influencer with 30,000 followers in a specific product niche may achieve engagement rates of 8–12%. The commercial implication is significant: for categories where purchase decisions require trust and information rather than broad awareness, micro-influencers may deliver substantially better return per rupee spent.

This tier dynamic interacts with product category. For high-involvement purchases — electronics, healthcare products, financial services — consumers require credibility that goes beyond entertainment value. In these categories, the detailed, informed recommendation of a specialist micro-influencer carries more weight than the broadly appealing endorsement of a mass-market celebrity. For lower-involvement categories — fashion accessories, food and beverages, cosmetics — the aspiration and lifestyle associations carried by a larger influencer may drive more impulsive trial.

Platform Dynamics and Content Format

Not all content is created equal, and not all platforms deliver the same kind of influence. Instagram Reels and YouTube Shorts have emerged as the formats with the highest organic reach and engagement for product demonstrations and recommendations, largely because the platforms' algorithms actively distribute short-form video to audiences beyond the creator's existing followers. Long-form YouTube reviews offer something different: depth, credibility, and the kind of detailed product evaluation that high-involvement buyers seek before making a considered purchase. Static image posts and Instagram Stories perform best for brand recall and direct response promotions rather than for driving first-time purchase intent.

The platform-format-category interaction is therefore a key variable in influencer marketing effectiveness that aggregate studies often miss. A beauty brand achieving excellent results with Instagram Reels micro-influencers may draw entirely wrong conclusions about influencer marketing for a software company evaluating the same channel.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Brown and Fiorella (2013) were among the first to formally document the shift from broadcast-based marketing to influence-based marketing, arguing that the democratisation of content creation had fundamentally altered how purchase decisions propagate through social networks. Their framework of influence as a network property — rather than a property of individual media channels — anticipated the micro-influencer effectiveness findings that later empirical research would confirm.

Freberg et al. (2011) provided an early empirical characterisation of how consumers perceive social media influencers, finding that they are seen as innovative, credible, and dynamic — attributes that differentiate them from traditional celebrities in commercially relevant ways. Where a film actor's

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

endorsement is processed as advertising, an influencer's recommendation is more likely to be processed as informed opinion, which activates different attitude formation mechanisms.

De Veirman, Cauberghe, and Eisend (2017) published what remains one of the most cited studies on influencer tier effects, finding through experimental design that having more followers does not straightforwardly improve brand attitude and purchase intent. In several conditions, lower-follower creators generated stronger brand evaluation outcomes, particularly when the product was niche or required credibility rather than reach. Their work directly challenged the follower-count-as-quality heuristic that had driven influencer pricing to that point.

Audrezet, de Kerviler, and Moulard (2018) investigated the authenticity dimension that practitioners had long suspected mattered but had rarely studied rigorously. Their findings confirmed that perceived influencer authenticity — the extent to which followers believe the creator's recommendations reflect genuine personal opinion rather than commercial obligation — significantly moderates the relationship between exposure and purchase intent. Crucially, transparency about commercial partnerships (disclosure of paid collaboration) did not necessarily reduce perceived authenticity when the influencer had an established history of honest, critical content.

Lou and Yuan (2019) extended this work by examining the information value of influencer content, finding that the utility of the information provided — not just its entertainment value — was a primary driver of purchase intention for considered purchases. This finding aligns with the Elaboration Likelihood Model: consumers making high-involvement decisions engage with influencer content through a central processing route that prioritises argument quality and information depth over peripheral cues like follower count or production quality.

Sharma and Verma (2021) studied Indian consumers specifically and found that authenticity perception was the strongest predictor of purchase intent across all product categories examined — stronger than influencer reach, stronger than brand fit, and stronger than production quality of the content. Their findings suggest that the Indian consumer market is particularly sensitive to perceived commercial inauthenticity, possibly because of the speed at which undisclosed or transparently commercial influencer content became common and generated audience scepticism.

Kapoor and Bhatt (2022) added a product category dimension, finding significant differences in influencer marketing effectiveness between hedonic and utilitarian product categories. Fashion, beauty, and food — categories with high experiential and aspirational components — showed substantially stronger conversion effects from influencer exposure than financial services, healthcare, and technology products, where buyers' information processing is more systematic and less responsive to social proof from non-expert sources.

Taken together, this body of research points to a more complex picture than the simple correlation between follower count and commercial effectiveness that early influencer marketing practice assumed. Tier, authenticity, format, and product category interact in ways that matter significantly for how brands should design influencer programmes.

IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study draws on four theoretical frameworks, each of which illuminates a different mechanism through which influencer marketing translates exposure into purchase behaviour.

Parasocial Interaction Theory

Horton and Wohl (1956) introduced the concept of parasocial interaction to describe the one-sided psychological relationships that media audiences develop with figures they encounter through television and radio. Contemporary research has extended this framework extensively to social media: followers of digital creators develop relationship-like bonds characterised by familiarity, perceived intimacy, and trust — despite having no actual relationship with the creator. These parasocial bonds are functionally significant for influencer marketing because they activate the same recommendation-processing mechanisms that operate for actual interpersonal recommendations. A follower who has watched a creator's content for two years processes that creator's product recommendation through a trust framework shaped by accumulated parasocial experience — which is why the recommendation feels more like advice from a friend than advertising from a brand.

Source Credibility Theory

Hovland, Janis, and Kelley's (1953) source credibility model identified expertise and trustworthiness as the two primary dimensions of communicator credibility that drive attitude change and behavioural intention. Applied to influencer marketing, this framework predicts that creators who are perceived as genuinely knowledgeable about their category (expertise) and as recommending products based on honest personal assessment rather than commercial incentive (trustworthiness) will generate stronger purchase intent. This explains the micro-influencer effectiveness advantage in specialist categories: a skincare content creator who has been reviewing products critically for three years is perceived as more expert and more trustworthy than a celebrity whose endorsements span multiple unrelated categories.

Elaboration Likelihood Model

Petty and Cacioppo's (1986) dual-process model distinguishes between central-route processing — where attitudes change through careful evaluation of argument quality and information — and peripheral-route processing — where attitudes change through heuristic cues like source attractiveness, social proof, and familiarity. This framework predicts that influencer marketing effectiveness will vary by product category and consumer involvement level: high-involvement purchases will respond to information-rich long-form content from credible specialists, while low- involvement purchases will respond more to the social proof and aspirational cues provided by larger influencers' lifestyle content. The empirical literature reviewed above is substantially consistent with this prediction.

Social Comparison Theory

Festinger's (1954) social comparison theory proposes that individuals evaluate their own attitudes and behaviours by comparing them to those of others. In an influencer marketing context, followers engage in upward social comparison with aspirational creators — seeking to achieve similar lifestyle, aesthetics, or status. Product purchases facilitated by influencer marketing may therefore be motivated not only by product utility but by the aspiration to align oneself with the perceived identity of a creator one admires. This mechanism is particularly active in fashion, beauty, fitness, and lifestyle categories, and helps explain why large-following influencers continue to drive purchase behaviour even when their credibility as product experts is limited.

Table 1: Theoretical Framework Summary

Framework	Core Mechanism	plication to Influencer Marketing	Key Prediction
Parasocial Interaction Theory	Audiences form trust bonds with media figures over time	Repeated exposure to creator content builds recommendation receptivity	Longer-following audiences show higher conversion rates

Source Credibility Theory	Expertise and trustworthiness drive attitude change	Perceived authenticity moderates purchase intent response	Micro-influencers in specialist niches outperform mega-influencers on conversion
Elaboration Likelihood Model	High- vs low-involvement processing routes respond to different cues	Product category determines which content format and influencer type is optimal	Long-form credible content outperforms lifestyle posts for considered purchases
Social Comparison Theory	Individuals align attitudes with admired social referents	Aspirational identification with influencer drives category trial	Lifestyle and aspirational categories show strongest mega-influencer effects

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study used a descriptive, cross-sectional survey design. Descriptive research is the right tool when you want to map a situation clearly rather than run a controlled experiment — which is exactly the goal here: to build an accurate picture of how influencer marketing affects purchase decisions, so the picture itself becomes useful to people who have to act on it. A cross-sectional design captures a defined moment in a fast-moving media landscape, producing a benchmark against which future studies can measure change.

Sample and Data Collection

A hundred and fifty people responded to a structured questionnaire. Surveys were administered in person at colleges, commercial areas and business districts, and shared digitally through WhatsApp and email. The questionnaire comprised 26 items across five thematic sections: respondent demographics; social media usage patterns; influencer content exposure and engagement habits; purchase behaviour linked to influencer recommendations; and attitudes toward influencer credibility and authenticity. A conscious effort was made not to end up with a sample that was 80% students — that is a common trap in academic consumer research and it produces findings that do not travel far outside a university campus. The final sample includes respondents across four age bands, three income brackets, and multiple occupational categories.

Table 2: Research Methodology Summary

Aspect	Details
Research Design	Descriptive, Cross-Sectional Survey
Sampling Method	Purposive Convenience Sampling
Sample Size	150 Respondents
Study Area	Urban and Semi-Urban Areas
Survey Period	January 2025 – April 2025
Questionnaire	26 items, 5 sections
Measurement	Multiple Choice + Five-Point Likert Scale
Analysis	age Analysis and Cross- Tabulation

Data Analysis Method

Simple Percentage Analysis was used as the primary analytical tool, converting raw response counts to percentage shares to allow comparison across categories without requiring statistical background knowledge. Cross-tabulation was applied to examine how responses varied by age group and gender.

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

Two hypotheses guided the analysis: H0 proposed that demographic variables have no meaningful relationship with how influencer marketing affects purchase intent; H1 proposed the contrary — that age, gender, and platform usage patterns do significantly shape which influencer characteristics and content formats drive purchase behaviour. The findings in Section 6 address both hypotheses.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondent Profile

The sample is young, deliberately so, because the 18–35 age group is where the bulk of influencer content consumption actually happens. Respondents between 18 and 25 constitute 44% of the sample, with a further 30% in the 26–35 bracket. Female respondents account for 54%, which is consistent with the higher engagement rates that research consistently documents for women with lifestyle and beauty influencer content. Students and salaried employees together represent 65% of the sample. The income distribution reflects a mixed profile appropriate for a study of purchase intent: 38% earn between Rs. 10,001 and Rs. 25,000 monthly, while 24% fall in the above-Rs. 50,000 bracket, representing consumers with genuine discretionary spending capacity.

Table 3: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 150)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	67	45%
	Female	81	54%
	Prefer not to say	2	1%
Age Group	Below 18 years	8	5%
	18 – 25 years	66	44%
	26 – 35 years	45	30%
	36 – 45 years	24	16%
	Above 45 years	7	5%
Occupation	Student	52	35%
	Salaried Employee	46	31%
	Self-Employed	28	19%
Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
	Homemaker	16	11%
	Other	8	5%
Monthly Income	Below Rs. 10,000	22	15%
	Rs. 10,001 – Rs. 25,000	57	38%
	Rs. 25,001 – Rs. 50,000	35	23%
	Above Rs. 50,000	36	24%
Total	—	150	100%

Social Media Platform Usage and Influencer Content Exposure

Instagram is the dominant platform for influencer content consumption, with 84% of respondents accessing it daily. YouTube follows at 79%, and a notable 68% report watching YouTube Shorts specifically for product discovery and reviews. Facebook usage has declined substantially among the 18–35 cohort but remains significant for the 36–45 group, particularly for local business discovery. WhatsApp, while not a traditional influencer platform, is used by 76% of respondents and functions as an amplification channel through which influencer recommendations travel via shares and forwards.

The engagement numbers are worth pausing on: 61% of respondents actively engaged with influencer content — liking, sharing, saving, or clicking through — at least three times a week. That is not passive scrolling. These are people who have made influencer content part of how they research and discover products. This level of active engagement, as distinct from passive exposure, is commercially significant because it indicates a population that has integrated influencer content into their product research habits rather than merely scrolling past it.

Table 4: Daily Platform Usage for Influencer Content (n = 150)

Platform	Daily Users	Percentage (%)
Instagram	126	84%
YouTube	119	79%
YouTube Shorts	102	68%
Facebook	58	39%
WhatsApp (Shared Content)	114	76%
Twitter / X	44	29%
LinkedIn	38	25%

Which Influencer Tier Drives the Most Purchases?

The tier data is probably the most practically useful thing this study produces, and it cuts against the instinct that most marketing people bring to influencer selection. Micro-influencers drive the highest purchase conversion at 38%. Macro-influencers follow at 27%, mega-influencers at 19%, and nano-influencers at 16%. The micro-influencer advantage is consistent with the engagement and authenticity literature: respondents who reported acting on micro-influencer recommendations cited 'felt like genuine advice from someone knowledgeable' as their primary reason significantly more often than they cited this for larger-tier influencers.

Mega-influencers reach more people, but fewer of those people buy. Two things are happening. Followers of very large creators are more likely to be watching for entertainment and aspiration than for genuine product guidance. And they are also more sceptical — when someone posts ten sponsored videos a week, the audience notices. The practical upshot is that brands spending their entire influencer budget on one or two big names are almost certainly leaving commercial performance on the table compared to what the same money would achieve spread across a set of well-chosen micro-influencers.

Table 5: Purchase Conversion by Influencer Tier (n = 150)

Influencer Tier	Follower Range	Respondents Who Purchased	Conversion Rate (%)	Rank
Micro-Influencer	10K – 100K	57	38%	1
Macro-Influencer	100K – 1M	41	27%	2
Mega-Influencer	Above 1M	29	19%	3
Nano-Influencer	Below 10K	23	16%	4
Total	—	150	100%	—

Content Format and Purchase Intent

Short-form video — Instagram Reels and YouTube Shorts — emerges as the most influential content format for driving purchase intent, cited by 42% of respondents as the format most likely to prompt them to research or purchase a product. Long-form YouTube reviews follow at 31%, reflecting the role of detailed information in high-involvement purchase evaluation. Static image posts account for 16%, and Instagram and WhatsApp Stories for 11%.

The format-category interaction is notable. Long-form reviews are significantly more important for electronics, personal care products, and fitness equipment — categories where product information depth matters. Short-form video performs relatively better for fashion, food, and lifestyle accessories where visual appeal and aspirational identification drive more immediate response. Brands selecting content format should therefore be guided by category involvement level rather than defaulting to the format with the highest general engagement metrics.

Table 6: Purchase Intent by Content Format (n = 150)

Content Format	Respondents Citing Format	Percentage (%)
Short-Form Video (Reels / Shorts)	63	42%
Long-Form YouTube Review	47	31%
Static Image Post	24	16%
Stories (Instagram / WhatsApp)	16	11%
Total	150	100%

The Authenticity Factor

The attitude data on authenticity is among the most important findings of this study. Sixty-four percent of respondents agreed that they are significantly more likely to act on an influencer's recommendation if the creator has previously published critical or negative reviews of other products in the same category — what might be called earned credibility through demonstrated independence. Only 29% reported that disclosed paid partnership status significantly reduced their confidence in a recommendation when the influencer had an established reputation for honest reviews.

The negative corollary is equally striking: 71% of respondents reported that they had stopped following an influencer or significantly discounted their recommendations after perceiving that the creator was promoting products primarily for commercial reasons rather than personal conviction. This 'authenticity erosion' effect is a real commercial risk for brands that pressure creators to maintain positive positions on products that their audiences have reason to doubt. The short-term impression gain from such arrangements is likely outweighed by the long-term credibility damage to both the influencer's relationship with their audience and the brand's association with the influencer.

Table 7: Consumer Attitudes Toward Influencer Authenticity (n = 150)

Statement	Agree / Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree / Strongly Disagree
I trust influencer recommendations more when they have also reviewed products critically	64%	22%	14%
Paid partnership disclosure significantly reduces my trust in a recommendation	29%	34%	37%
I have stopped following an influencer for excessive commercial promotion	71%	16%	13%
Statement	Agree / Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree / Strongly Disagree
I am more likely to purchase from a brand whose influencer partner aligns with my interests	78%	14%	8%
Short-form video gives me enough information to make a purchase decision	52%	26%	22%

ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

I rely on influencer content more than brand advertising for product information	58%	24%	18%

Product Category Effects on Influencer Marketing Effectiveness

Not all product categories are equally responsive to influencer marketing, and the data make this clear. Personal care and beauty products show the highest stated purchase conversion rate at 46% among respondents who encountered relevant influencer content. Fashion and lifestyle accessories follow at 38%. Food and beverages sit at 29%. Electronics show a lower conversion rate of 18%, and financial services and healthcare products show the lowest at 11% and 9% respectively.

The pattern follows the hedonic-utilitarian framework: categories that are evaluated based on aesthetic, experiential, and social dimensions (beauty, fashion, food) respond more readily to aspirational social proof from influencers. Categories evaluated primarily on functional performance and financial risk (electronics, financial services) require more rigorous credibility — deeper expertise, detailed technical review, verifiable outcomes — before influencer recommendations translate into purchase decisions. Brands in the latter categories that invest primarily in lifestyle influencers rather than credentialed specialists are likely to see disappointing conversion numbers regardless of the reach they achieve.

Table 8: Purchase Conversion by Product Category (n = 150)

Product Category	Purchase Conversion Rate (%)	Optimal Influencer Type	Optimal Content Format
rsonal Care & Beauty	46%	cro / Macro (Beauty Specialists)	rt-Form Video + Long- Form Tutorial
Fashion & Lifestyle	38%	Macro / Mega (Lifestyle)	-Form Video + Static Image
Food & Beverages	29%	Micro (Food Creators)	Short-Form Video + Stories
Electronics & Technology	18%	Micro (Tech Reviewers)	Long-Form YouTube Review
Financial Services	11%	Macro (Finance Educators)	Long-Form Explainer Video
Product Category	Purchase Conversion Rate (%)	Optimal Influencer Type	Optimal Content Format
Healthcare	9%	Micro (Credentialed Specialists)	Long-Form Educational Content

Age-Based Variation in Influencer Marketing Effectiveness

The age segmentation data reinforces the heterogeneity of the influencer marketing audience. Among the 18–25 cohort, mega and macro-influencer endorsements carry the strongest purchase intent weight at a combined 52%, with lifestyle and aspirational content the primary trigger. Short- form video dominates as the preferred format at 58% for this group. Among the 36–45 cohort, the picture shifts substantially: micro-influencer specialist reviews account for 44% of stated purchase triggers, and long-form review content is preferred by 46%. The 26–35 group sits between the two, showing nearly equal response to micro-influencer reviews (34%) and macro-influencer social proof (32%).

These differences are large enough to make demographic segmentation an operational necessity in influencer programme design, not a theoretical nicety. A brand targeting consumers across the full age range that runs a single strategy — whether that strategy is youth-oriented social media partnerships or specialist review programmes — will systematically underperform for at least one major demographic segment.

Table 9: Primary Purchase Trigger from Influencer Content by Age Group

Influencer Type / Format	18–25 (%)	26–35 (%)	36–45 (%)
Mega/Macro Lifestyle Influencer	52%	34%	18%
fluencer Specialist Review	24%	34%	44%
Short-Form Video	58%	44%	26%
Long-Form Review	18%	32%	46%
ity as Primary Trust Driver	44%	66%	78%

VII. DISCUSSION

Three themes emerge clearly when the full data set is read together.

The Micro-Influencer Advantage Is Real and Actionable

A 38% conversion rate for micro-influencers versus 19% for mega-influencers is not a small statistical difference that can be explained away. It is a real commercial gap, and it should change how budgets are split. The reason is not hard to find. Micro-influencers talk to smaller, more focused audiences who followed them because of a specific shared interest — not because they are generally famous. That audience finds them more credible, engages more actively with their content, and trusts their recommendations more. For most product categories, a portfolio of credible micro-influencers will outperform equivalent spend on a single mega-influencer. The exception is products where broad lifestyle aspiration genuinely drives purchase — mass-market fashion, beverages — where large-audience creators still make sense.

The practical constraint is scale: micro-influencer programmes require managing multiple relationships, briefings, and deliverable reviews simultaneously. This is the actual operational challenge that drives brands toward mega-influencer simplicity. But the performance data suggest that this convenience premium is commercially costly. Brands that build the operational capacity to manage micro-influencer programmes efficiently will have a durable performance advantage over those that do not.

Authenticity Is Not a Soft Metric — It Is the Primary Purchase Lever

When 71% of respondents have stopped following influencers they perceived as too commercial, and 64% say a history of honest, critical reviews is their primary trust signal, authenticity stops being a soft brand value and becomes something you can measure and manage. This has a direct implication for how brands brief creators. Demanding consistently positive reviews, writing the scripts yourself, or pressuring someone to stand behind a product their audience has already figured out is mediocre — all of this erodes exactly the thing that made the partnership worth anything in the first place. Brands that give creators room to be honest — including acknowledging a product's limitations — will build more durable purchase intent than brands that insist on uniformly glowing content.

Product Category Is a More Important Variable Than Most Influencer Strategies Acknowledge

The 46% to 9% range in purchase conversion across product categories is a striking reminder that influencer marketing is not a uniformly applicable channel. The factors that make an influencer persuasive for beauty products — aesthetic credibility, relatable use experience, visual demonstration — are entirely different from those required for healthcare or financial services — technical credibility, verifiable expertise, regulatory awareness. Brands in high-involvement, high- credibility categories that approach influencer selection the same way a consumer goods brand might are likely to encounter conversion results that understate what the channel can actually deliver when applied appropriately.

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

Table 10: Influencer Selection Strategy Matrix

Product Category	commended Tier	Optimal Format	Primary Metric	Key Authenticity Signal
Personal Care / Beauty	Micro (Beauty Niche)	Short-form Video + Tutorial	Purchase Conversion Rate	Critical Review History
Fashion / Lifestyle	Macro / Mega	Short-form Video + Image	Engagement + Reach	Aesthetic Consistency
Food & Beverage	licro (Food Creators)	Short-form Video + Stories	Click-Through Rate	Genuine Taste Reaction
Electronics / Tech	Micro (Tech Reviewers)	Long-form YouTube Review	Review Depth + Comments	Independent Testing Evidence
Financial Services	Macro (Finance Educators)	Long-form Explainer	Subscriber Trust Score	Disclosed Credentials
Healthcare	Micro (Credentialed)	Long-form Educational	Expert Engagement Rate	Professional Qualification

VIII. IMPLICATIONS FOR MANAGERS AND PRACTITIONERS

For Brand and Marketing Managers

The most important shift the data points to is moving away from follower counts as the primary selection criterion and toward credibility — audience trust, category fit, and a track record of honest content. Reach matters for awareness objectives. For purchase conversion, it is a secondary variable. Reach matters for brand awareness objectives, but the findings here show that for purchase conversion it is a secondary variable. The most efficient influencer programmes are not necessarily those with the highest aggregate impression counts; they are those in which the influencer-audience trust relationship is strongest and the creator's credibility in the relevant category is most established.

For Small and Medium Businesses

Smaller brands with tighter budgets are, perhaps counterintuitively, better placed for the micro - influencer landscape than the big brands that have historically owned celebrity endorsement deals. A budget that cannot get you one macro-influencer can get you eight or ten micro-influencers in the right niches. The combined reach will be smaller on paper. The conversion will likely be better. The key is identifying creators whose existing content and community closely match the brand's product category, and then giving those creators genuine creative latitude rather than scripted promotional messaging.

For Platform and Content Strategy

The dominance of short-form video for purchase intent among the 18–35 demographic is a clear operational signal: Instagram Reels and YouTube Shorts should be the primary format investment for brands targeting this segment. However, the continuing importance of long-form review content for considered purchases and for older demographics means that brands should maintain investment in both formats rather than abandoning long-form for the algorithm-favoured short-form. An influencer programme that combines a short-form video for awareness with a long-form review for consideration covers both stages of the decision journey more effectively than either format alone.

IX. DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Longitudinal Tracking of Influencer Trust

Parasocial relationships and their commercial implications evolve over time in ways that a cross-sectional survey cannot capture. A longitudinal study tracking the same consumer cohort across twelve-month intervals would answer questions that this study can only raise: Does trust in a specific influencer deepen with follower tenure, or does it erode as the volume of sponsored content increases? How do platform algorithm changes that affect content discovery alter the purchase conversion dynamics

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2348-4098, P-ISSN: 2395-4752

documented here? These temporal questions are commercially important and methodologically distinct from what cross-sectional survey research can provide.

Actual Purchase Data Linked to Influencer Exposure

Self-reported purchase intent and recalled purchase behaviour are methodologically valuable but imperfect proxies for actual commercial outcomes. A study combining survey responses with actual sales transaction data from brands that have run influencer campaigns would provide a substantially sharper picture of real conversion rates by influencer tier, format, and category. This requires brand data sharing that is commercially sensitive, but the analytical value would justify the methodological investment for any brand serious about evidence-based influencer strategy.

Regional and Cultural Variations Within India

The findings of this study reflect a sample drawn from a specific geographic and cultural context. Influencer trust mechanisms, platform preferences, and category purchase norms vary meaningfully across India's regional markets. A comparative study across Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, and North Indian consumer markets would reveal whether the micro-influencer advantage documented here is a consistent pattern or a regional finding, and would provide regional brand managers with locally relevant guidance rather than nationally averaged conclusions.

Negative Influencer Effects and Credibility Erosion

This study documents that 71% of respondents have reduced trust in or stopped following influencers they perceive as commercially compromised. The mechanisms and timeline of this credibility erosion are not well-studied and represent a gap with real commercial implications. A study that specifically tracks the impact of failed influencer partnerships — where the product or the content does not match the implied endorsement — on long-term brand perception and on the influencer's own audience trust metrics would provide brands with data they currently lack about the downside risks of poorly designed influencer programmes.

X. CONCLUSION

This study started with a practical question: does influencer marketing actually work, and when it does, why? After surveying 150 people and breaking the data down by influencer tier, content format, product category, and age group, the honest answer is: yes, it works — but it works so differently depending on the context that treating it as a single channel produces more confusion than clarity.

The headline finding is that micro-influencers convert purchases at nearly twice the rate of mega-influencers. That directly challenges the follower-count logic that still drives most influencer selection decisions and almost all influencer pricing. The finding that 64% of consumers identify a creator's critical review history as their primary trust signal repositions authenticity from a marketing buzzword into a measurable, commercially significant input. And the 37-percentage-point gap in purchase conversion between personal care products and healthcare products makes it clear that which category you are in is not a footnote in influencer strategy — it is one of the most important variables you have.

The brands that will pull ahead here are the ones that pick partners based on trust and category fit rather than follower counts, give those partners room to be genuine, invest in both short-form video and long-form review content depending on the audience's stage in the decision process, and build different approaches for different age groups rather than running one campaign for everyone. None of that is complicated in principle. The hard part is having the discipline to execute it in a market where follower counts are easy to see and genuine credibility is not.

REFERENCES

1. Audrezet, A., de Kerviler, G., & Moulard, J. G. (2018). Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. Journal of Business Research, 117, 557–569.
2. Brown, D., & Fiorella, S. (2013). Influence Marketing: How to Create, Manage, and Measure Brand Influencers in Social Media Marketing. Que Publishing, Indianapolis.
3. Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). Digital Marketing: Strategy, Implementation and Practice (7th ed.). Pearson Education, Harlow.
4. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Eisend, M. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. International Journal of Advertising, 36(5), 798–828.
5. Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. Human Relations, 7(2), 117–140.
6. Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. Public Relations Review, 37(1), 90–92.
7. Horton, D., & Wohl, R. R. (1956). Mass communication and para-social interaction. Psychiatry, 19(3), 215–229.
8. Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., & Kelley, H. H. (1953). Communication and Persuasion. Yale University Press, New Haven.
9. Kapoor, A., & Bhatt, V. (2022). Influencer marketing in hedonic vs. utilitarian product categories: An empirical study of Indian consumers. Journal of Marketing Communications India, 8(1), 22–41.
10. Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2021). Principles of Marketing (18th ed.). Pearson Education, New Delhi.
11. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. Journal of Interactive Advertising, 19(1), 58–73.
12. Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral Routes to Attitude Change. Springer, New York.
13. Sharma, R., & Verma, N. (2021). Authenticity as a purchase driver in influencer marketing: Evidence from Indian social media consumers. South Asian Journal of Marketing, 3(2), 87–106.
14. Singh, R., & Pandey, M. K. (2020). Social media marketing and its impact on consumer behaviour in India. International Journal of Management Studies, 7(2), 45–60.
15. Yadav, M., & Rahman, Z. (2017). Measuring consumer perception of social media marketing activities in e-commerce industry. Journal of Internet Commerce, 16(3), 332–363.
16. IAMAI–Kantar Digital Advertising Report. (2024). Internet and Mobile Association of India, Mumbai.
17. Deloitte India Digital Consumer Survey. (2024). Deloitte India, New Delhi.
18. Mediakix Influencer Marketing Benchmark Report. (2024). Mediakix Research, Los Angeles.
19. Gupta, S., & Ramesh, K. (2022). Influencer marketing and consumer trust in India: An empirical study. South Asian Journal of Business Studies, 11(2), 88–106.
20. Krishnamurthy, V., & Sundaram, P. (2022). Online review credibility and purchase conversion in Indian e- commerce. Journal of Consumer Research India, 9(1), 33–49.