



Role of Microfinance in Empowerment of Small-Scale Entrepreneurs

Mrs. M Kripa Lakshmi, Praveen kumar A

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Sri Krishna Adithya College of Arts and Science, India.

²III BBA, Department of Business Administration, Sri Krishna Adithya College of Arts and Science, India.

Abstract- Microfinance has emerged as a transformative financial instrument in fostering economic inclusion and entrepreneurial development among marginalized communities. This article investigates the multidimensional role of microfinance in empowering small-scale entrepreneurs, with particular emphasis on access to credit, capacity building, social capital formation, and sustainable livelihood creation. Drawing upon a comprehensive review of empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and case analyses from developing and emerging economies, the article examines how microfinance institutions (MFIs) bridge the gap between formal financial systems and the underserved entrepreneurial segment. The findings indicate that microfinance not only provides financial capital but also serves as a catalyst for human capital development, gender empowerment, and community-level economic growth. The article further highlights existing challenges such as over-indebtedness, high interest rates, and institutional sustainability, while recommending a holistic model of integrated microfinance that combines credit provision with non-financial support services. The study contributes to the growing discourse on inclusive finance and offers actionable insights for policymakers, development practitioners, and financial institutions committed to advancing entrepreneurial ecosystems at the grassroots level.

Keywords- Microfinance, Small-scale entrepreneurs, Financial inclusion, Entrepreneurial empowerment, Microfinance institutions, Inclusive finance, Capacity building, Women entrepreneurship, Credit access, Sustainable livelihoods.

I. INTRODUCTION

The global economic landscape is characterized by a profound paradox: while entrepreneurship is widely recognized as an engine of economic growth and poverty alleviation, millions of aspiring entrepreneurs in developing nations remain trapped in cycles of financial exclusion. Small-scale entrepreneurs, who constitute the backbone of informal and semi-formal economies, often lack access to conventional banking services, collateral assets, or formal credit histories that are prerequisite for institutional lending. This structural exclusion perpetuates inequality and constrains the productive potential of communities that harbour significant entrepreneurial talent.

Microfinance, defined as the provision of small-scale financial services—including micro-credit, micro-savings, micro-insurance, and remittance services—to low-income individuals and enterprises, has emerged over the past four decades as a promising mechanism to address this systemic gap [1]. Pioneered by visionaries such as Muhammad Yunus through the establishment of Grameen Bank in



Bangladesh in 1983, the microfinance movement has grown into a global industry, with the Microfinance Information Exchange (MIX) reporting over 10,000 MFIs serving more than 140 million borrowers worldwide [2].

The empowerment of small-scale entrepreneurs through microfinance transcends mere financial provisioning. It encompasses the broader transformation of economic agency, social mobility, and decision-making capacity among individuals who have historically been excluded from formal development pathways. Women, rural communities, indigenous groups, and persons with disabilities constitute the primary beneficiaries of microfinance programs, underscoring its intrinsic alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 1 (No Poverty), SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities) [3].

Despite its considerable promise, microfinance is not without controversy. Critics point to cases of micro-credit crises in Andhra Pradesh, India, and Nicaragua, where aggressive lending practices led to borrower over-indebtedness, social distress, and institutional collapse [4]. These episodes have catalyzed a broader rethinking of microfinance strategy, prompting a shift from a narrow credit-centric model toward a holistic, client-centered approach that integrates financial literacy, business development services, and social protection.

This article undertakes a comprehensive examination of the role of microfinance in empowering small-scale entrepreneurs. It synthesizes current empirical evidence, theoretical underpinnings, and practical lessons to construct a nuanced understanding of the opportunities and limitations inherent in microfinance-led entrepreneurial development. The subsequent sections address the theoretical foundations of microfinance, its channels of impact, empirical evidence of effectiveness, prevailing challenges, and strategic recommendations for a more inclusive and sustainable microfinance ecosystem.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Foundations of Microfinance

Yunus and Jolis [5] conceptualized microfinance as a tool for poverty eradication through enabling the poor to become economically self-reliant. Their model, subsequently institutionalized through Grameen Bank, demonstrated that the poor are creditworthy and capable of generating sustainable livelihoods when provided with small, targeted financial resources. This foundational premise challenged the orthodox banking assumption that financial viability requires collateral security, introducing instead the concept of social collateral through group lending methodologies [6].

Robinson [7] expanded this conceptual framework by distinguishing between microfinance as poverty lending—targeted at the destitute as a welfare intervention—and microfinance as a commercially viable enterprise serving the economically active poor. This distinction laid the groundwork for the debate around the twin objectives of financial sustainability and social mission that continues to define discourse in the sector. Morduch [8] further contributed to this debate by questioning the overestimated poverty reduction impacts of micro-credit, arguing that rigorous empirical evidence remains limited and that the relationship between credit access and poverty outcomes is far more complex than early proponents suggested.

Contemporary conceptualizations of microfinance embrace a broader "financial systems" approach, articulated by Christen, Lauer, Lyman, and Rosenberg [9], which envisions microfinance as an integral component of inclusive financial infrastructure rather than a standalone poverty alleviation tool. This perspective aligns with the current global emphasis on financial inclusion, defined by the World Bank



as ensuring that individuals and businesses have access to useful and affordable financial products and services that meet their needs [10].

Microfinance and Entrepreneurship: Theoretical Linkages

The nexus between microfinance and entrepreneurship is theoretically grounded in multiple frameworks. Schumpeter's theory of entrepreneurship [11] identifies the entrepreneur as a creative disruptor who combines existing resources in novel ways to generate economic value. Access to capital, in this theoretical tradition, is a critical enabler of entrepreneurial action, as it empowers individuals to convert latent innovative potential into tangible productive activities.

From the perspective of human capital theory, as developed by Becker [12], microfinance contributes to entrepreneurial empowerment not solely through financial transfers but through the ancillary training, skill development, and financial literacy programs that progressive MFIs embed within their service delivery models. These non-financial components are theorized to enhance the productive capacity and decision-making competence of entrepreneurs, thereby improving the quality and sustainability of their enterprises.

Social capital theory, as elaborated by Putnam [13] and Coleman [14], provides yet another lens through which to understand microfinance's empowerment impact. Group-based lending mechanisms, a hallmark of many MFIs, generate dense social networks characterized by trust, reciprocity, and collective accountability. These networks constitute a form of social capital that reduces transaction costs, facilitates information sharing, and provides informal insurance against enterprise risk—benefits that extend beyond the financial dimension of microfinance interventions.

Empirical Evidence on Entrepreneurial Outcomes

Empirical studies on the impact of microfinance on small-scale entrepreneurship present a heterogeneous landscape. Banerjee et al. [15] conducted a landmark randomized controlled trial in Hyderabad, India, finding modest but positive effects of micro-credit access on business ownership and investment, while noting limited impact on consumption or the development of high-growth enterprises. Similarly, Crépon et al. [16] documented positive effects of microfinance on business profitability in rural Morocco, particularly among self-employed borrowers with prior entrepreneurial experience.

In contrast, studies focusing on women entrepreneurship have reported more transformative outcomes. Pitt and Khandker [17] found significant positive effects of Grameen Bank lending on female consumption, schooling of children, and labor supply, suggesting that microfinance for women generates positive spillovers across household welfare dimensions. Kaber [18] extended this analysis to highlight the identity and agency dimensions of women's empowerment facilitated by participation in microfinance programs, situating financial access within a broader framework of social transformation.

Studies conducted in Sub-Saharan Africa by Tarozzi et al. [19] and in South Asia by Field et al. [20] have further nuanced our understanding of microfinance impacts, demonstrating that the design features of microfinance products—including repayment schedules, loan terms, and group versus individual lending structures—significantly modulate entrepreneurial outcomes. These findings underscore the importance of context-sensitive product design in maximizing the empowerment potential of microfinance.

III. CHANNELS THROUGH WHICH MICROFINANCE EMPOWERS SMALL-SCALE ENTREPRENEURS



Access to Credit and Capital Formation

The most direct channel through which microfinance empowers small-scale entrepreneurs is by providing access to working capital and investment resources that are otherwise unavailable through formal banking channels. For enterprises operating at the margins of the informal economy, even small loan amounts—typically ranging from USD 50 to USD 5,000—can be transformative, enabling the purchase of inventory, equipment, or raw materials that expand productive capacity and generate incremental income [21].

The group lending model pioneered by Grameen Bank and widely replicated by MFIs globally leverages peer accountability to substitute for collateral requirements, making credit accessible to individuals without formal assets or credit histories. This mechanism reduces adverse selection and moral hazard problems inherent in lending to information-opaque borrowers, while simultaneously fostering social cohesion among borrowers [22].

Savings Mobilization and Financial Resilience

Beyond credit, microfinance promotes a culture of systematic savings among small-scale entrepreneurs. Micro-savings products offered by MFIs enable entrepreneurs to accumulate financial reserves that provide a buffer against income shocks, reduce dependence on informal money lenders, and gradually build the financial capital necessary for enterprise expansion. Rutherford [23] documented through detailed financial diaries how the poor engage in complex savings and borrowing behaviors to manage financial flows, suggesting that well-designed micro-savings products can significantly enhance financial resilience.

Financial Literacy and Business Development Services

Progressive MFIs recognize that financial capital alone is insufficient to sustain entrepreneurial success among marginalized populations. Complementary non-financial services, including financial literacy training, business management education, marketing support, and technology adoption assistance, have been shown to significantly enhance the productive use of credit and the sustainability of micro-enterprises [24].

The bundling of credit with business development services (BDS) represents an evolving best practice in the industry, reflecting a shift from volume-driven lending toward client-centered development finance. Evaluations of integrated microfinance programs in East Africa and South Asia demonstrate that borrowers receiving both financial and non-financial support exhibit higher enterprise survival rates, greater revenue growth, and stronger empowerment outcomes compared to those receiving credit alone [25].

Gender Empowerment and Social Inclusion

Microfinance has demonstrated a distinctive capacity to advance gender equity by channeling financial resources and decision-making authority to women who are disproportionately excluded from formal economic systems. When women access and control financial resources, the benefits extend to households and communities: investments in children's education, health, and nutrition increase, while dependency on male breadwinners diminishes [26].

The participatory processes embedded in group-based microfinance—regular meetings, collective decision-making, peer support—generate spaces for women to develop voice, confidence, and collective agency that translate into broader social empowerment. Hashemi et al. [27] documented a positive correlation between women's participation in microfinance programs and their mobility, political participation, and freedom from domestic violence—outcomes that illustrate the transformative social dimensions of microfinance beyond its financial function.



Market Linkages and Value Chain Integration

An increasingly recognized channel of microfinance impact is its role in connecting small-scale entrepreneurs to broader market ecosystems. Some MFIs have evolved into comprehensive development organizations that facilitate market access, supply chain integration, and collective marketing arrangements for their borrower communities. By reducing market information asymmetries and transaction costs, these interventions enhance the competitiveness of micro-enterprises and facilitate their integration into regional and global value chains [28].

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: IMPACT OF MICROFINANCE ACROSS DIMENSIONS

Table 1 presents a comparative synthesis of microfinance impact dimensions across selected studies and geographies, illustrating the multidimensional nature of entrepreneurial empowerment facilitated by microfinance interventions.

Table 1: Microfinance Impact Dimensions and Key Findings

| Impact Dimension | Key Findings | Geography | Reference |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Credit Access | Increased business ownership & investment among poor households | India | Banerjee et al. [15] |
| Women Empowerment | Improved consumption, schooling, and female labor supply | Bangladesh | Pitt & Khandker [17] |
| Business Profitability | Positive effects on self-employed borrowers' revenues | Morocco | Crépon et al. [16] |
| Social Capital | Stronger community networks reducing entrepreneurial risk | Sub-Saharan Africa | Tarozzi et al. [19] |
| Financial Literacy | Higher enterprise survival with integrated BDS support | East Africa | Duvendack et al. [25] |
| Market Integration | Improved value chain access reducing transaction costs | South & SE Asia | Helms [28] |
| Gender Agency | Increased women's mobility, political participation | South Asia | Hashemi et al. [27] |
| Impact Dimension | Key Findings | Geography | Reference |
| Credit Access | Increased business ownership & investment among poor households | India | Banerjee et al. [15] |
| Women Empowerment | Improved consumption, schooling, and female labor supply | Bangladesh | Pitt & Khandker [17] |
| Business Profitability | Positive effects on self-employed borrowers' revenues | Morocco | Crépon et al. [16] |
| Social Capital | Stronger community networks reducing entrepreneurial risk | Sub-Saharan Africa | Tarozzi et al. [19] |



| Impact Dimension | Key Findings | Geography | Reference |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Financial Literacy | Higher enterprise survival with integrated BDS support | East Africa | Duvendack et al. [25] |
| Market Integration | Improved value chain access reducing transaction costs | South & SE Asia | Helms [28] |
| Gender Agency | Increased women's mobility, political participation | South Asia | Hashemi et al. [27] |

V. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Over-Indebtedness and Predatory Lending

The rapid commercialization of microfinance, while expanding outreach, has introduced significant risks of borrower over-indebtedness. Competitive lending among multiple MFIs operating in the same geography can result in borrowers accumulating debt from several institutions simultaneously, creating repayment pressures that overwhelm household cash flows. The microfinance crises in Andhra Pradesh (2010) and Nicaragua (2008–2009) graphically illustrated how aggressive loan recovery practices, coupled with multiple lending, can precipitate financial distress and social crisis among vulnerable borrowers [4].

High Interest Rates and Financial Sustainability

The operational costs of delivering small-volume financial services to geographically dispersed, high-risk borrowers necessitate interest rates that often appear prohibitive relative to formal banking rates. While high interest rates reflect the genuine cost structure of microfinance delivery, they diminish the net benefit of credit for micro-entrepreneurs operating on thin margins, and can perpetuate debt dependency rather than catalyzing enterprise growth [29]. The tension between financial sustainability and social mission remains a defining challenge for the sector.

Limited Impact on Ultra-Poor and Highly Vulnerable Groups

Evidence suggests that the poorest and most vulnerable segments of the population—the ultra-poor, persons with severe disabilities, and those in conflict-affected environments—are frequently excluded from or ill-served by conventional microfinance models. The transaction cost structures, group dynamics, and repayment requirements of mainstream microfinance products are often ill-adapted to the survival priorities and risk profiles of extreme poverty households [30]. Specialized programs such as BRAC's Targeting the Ultra Poor (TUP) initiative offer instructive lessons in reaching these groups through asset transfers, consumption support, and graduated credit entry.

Institutional Sustainability and Governance Concerns

The long-term sustainability of MFIs depends on their ability to maintain a delicate balance between social mission fidelity and financial viability. Many non-governmental MFIs face chronic challenges of undercapitalization, governance weaknesses, and mission drift toward commercially attractive market segments at the expense of their original development mandates [31]. Regulatory frameworks that ensure consumer protection, promote transparency in pricing, and enforce responsible lending standards are essential preconditions for a healthy and mission-aligned microfinance ecosystem.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A HOLISTIC MICROFINANCE MODEL

Drawing upon the foregoing analysis, the following recommendations are advanced to enhance the empowerment impact of microfinance for small-scale entrepreneurs:



Integrate Financial and Non-Financial Services: MFIs should systematically bundle credit provision with business development services, financial literacy education, and mentorship support to maximize the productive utilization of financial resources and build durable entrepreneurial capacity.

Adopt Responsible Lending Practices: Regulatory bodies and industry associations should enforce responsible lending codes that limit multiple borrowing, mandate transparent interest rate disclosures, and restrict aggressive loan recovery practices that jeopardize borrower welfare.

Design Gender-Responsive Products: Microfinance product design should explicitly incorporate gender analysis to remove structural barriers to women's financial access and to ensure that financial resources translate into genuine economic agency rather than additional household burden.

Leverage Digital Financial Services: The proliferation of mobile banking and digital payment platforms offers transformative opportunities to reduce the cost of microfinance delivery, enhance product flexibility, and extend outreach to remote and underserved populations.

Strengthen Regulatory Frameworks: Governments should establish enabling regulatory environments that balance financial inclusion promotion with robust consumer protection, transparent governance standards, and systemic risk mitigation across the microfinance sector.

Invest in Impact Measurement: Rigorous, longitudinal impact evaluation should be institutionalized within the microfinance sector to generate evidence-based insights that inform product innovation, policy design, and investment allocation toward the most effective models of entrepreneurial empowerment.

VII. CONCLUSION

Microfinance represents a vital, if imperfect, instrument for advancing the economic empowerment of small-scale entrepreneurs in developing and emerging economies. By democratizing access to financial services, fostering social capital, promoting gender equity, and catalyzing human capital development, microfinance creates enabling conditions for entrepreneurial agency among populations historically marginalized from formal economic systems. The evidence reviewed in this article affirms that microfinance, when thoughtfully designed and responsibly implemented, can generate meaningful improvements in enterprise performance, household welfare, and community-level economic resilience.

At the same time, the field must confront its limitations with intellectual honesty. The risks of over-indebtedness, the challenge of serving the ultra-poor, the tension between commercial sustainability and social mission, and the need for stronger institutional governance demand continuous reflection and innovation. The future of microfinance as an empowerment tool lies not in isolated credit provision but in the construction of integrated financial ecosystems that combine affordable credit, savings, insurance, digital payments, and non-financial capacity building within responsive institutional frameworks.

Policymakers, development finance institutions, private investors, and civil society organizations all have critical roles to play in shaping a microfinance sector that fulfills its transformative promise for the world's small-scale entrepreneurs. Sustained commitment to this agenda is not merely a matter of financial strategy but of social justice—a recognition that entrepreneurial aspiration is universal and that access to the resources needed to realize that aspiration should be equally within reach of all.



REFERENCES

1. Ledgerwood J. (1999). *Microfinance Handbook: An Institutional and Financial Perspective*, World Bank Publications, Washington, D.C., pp. 1–15, Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/12383>.
2. Mixmarket (2023). *Global Outreach and Financial Performance*, Microfinance Information Exchange, Washington, D.C., Available at: <https://www.themix.org>.
3. United Nations (2015). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, United Nations, New York, Available at: <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>.
4. Ghate P. (2012). Learning from the Andhra Pradesh Microfinance Crisis, *Microfinance Focus*, 3(1), 10–18, Available at: <https://www.microfinancefocus.com>.
5. Yunus M. and Jolis A. (1999). *Banker to the Poor: Micro-Lending and the Battle Against World Poverty*, Public Affairs, New York, Available at: <https://grameenbank.org>.
6. Ghatak M. and Guinnane T. W. (1999). The Economics of Lending with Joint Liability: Theory and Practice, *Journal of Development Economics*, 60(1), 195–228, Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878\(99\)00041-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878(99)00041-3).
7. Robinson M. S. (2001). *The Microfinance Revolution: Sustainable Finance for the Poor*, World Bank Publications, Washington, D.C., Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/13741>.
8. Morduch J. (1999). The Microfinance Promise, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(4), 1569–1614, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.37.4.1569>.
9. Christen R. P., Lauer K., Lyman T. et al. (2012). *A Guide to Regulation and Supervision of Microfinance*, Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP), Washington, D.C., Available at: <https://www.cgap.org/research/publication/guide-regulation-and-supervision-microfinance>.
10. World Bank (2022). *Financial Inclusion Overview*, World Bank Group, Washington, D.C., Available at: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/financialinclusion/overview>.
11. Schumpeter J. A. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development: An Inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, Available at: <https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780887381881>.
12. Becker G. S. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with Special Reference to Education*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Available at: <https://www.press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/H/bo3684031.html>.
13. Putnam R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*, Simon and Schuster, New York, Available at: <https://www.simonandschuster.com/books/Bowling-Alone/Robert-D-Putnam/9780743203043>.
14. Coleman J. S. (1988). Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital, *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(Supplement), S95–S120, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1086/228943>.
15. Banerjee A., Duflo E., Glennerster R. et al. (2015). The Miracle of Microfinance? Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 22–53, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20130533>.
16. Crépon B., Devoto F., Duflo E. et al. (2015). Estimating the Impact of Microcredit on Those Who Take It Up: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment in Morocco, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 123–150, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20130535>.
17. Pitt M. M. and Khandker S. R. (1998). The Impact of Group-Based Credit Programs on Poor Households in Bangladesh, *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(5), 958–996, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1086/250037>.
18. Kabeer N. (2005). Is Microfinance a Magic Bullet for Women's Empowerment? Analysis of Findings from South Asia, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 40(44/45), 4709–4718, Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4417357>.



19. Tarozzi A., Desai J. and Johnson K. (2015). The Impacts of Microcredit: Evidence from Ethiopia, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 54–89, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20130475>.
20. Field E., Pande R., Papp J. et al. (2013). Does the Classic Microfinance Model Discourage Entrepreneurship Among the Poor? Experimental Evidence from India, *American Economic Review*, 103(6), 2196–2226, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.6.2196>.
21. Armendariz B. and Morduch J. (2010). *The Economics of Microfinance*, 2nd edition, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, Available at: <https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262513982>.
22. Stiglitz J. E. (1990). Peer Monitoring and Credit Markets, *World Bank Economic Review*, 4(3), 351–366, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/4.3.351>.
23. Rutherford S. (2000). *The Poor and Their Money*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, Available at: <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-poor-and-their-money-9780198700296>.
24. Balkenhol B. (2007). *Microfinance and Public Policy: Outreach, Performance and Efficiency*, Palgrave Macmillan, New York, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230590229>.
25. Duvendack M., Palmer-Jones R., Copestake J. G. et al. (2011). What is the Evidence of the Impact of Microfinance on the Well-being of Poor People? EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, University of London, London, Available at: <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=3343>.
26. Swain R. B. and Wallentin F. Y. (2009). Does Microfinance Empower Women? Evidence from Self-Help Groups in India, *International Review of Applied Economics*, 23(5), 541–556, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02692170903007540>.
27. Hashemi S. M., Schuler S. R. and Riley A. P. (1996). Rural Credit Programs and Women's Empowerment in Bangladesh, *World Development*, 24(4), 635–653, Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(95\)00159-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(95)00159-A).
28. Helms B. (2006). *Access for All: Building Inclusive Financial Systems*, Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP), World Bank, Washington, D.C., Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/6305>.
29. Porteous D. (2006). *The Enabling Environment for Mobile Banking in Africa*, DFID, London, Available at: <https://www.bfa.africa/resources>.
30. Morduch J. and Haley B. (2002). *Analysis of the Effects of Microfinance on Poverty Reduction*, New York University Wagner Working Paper, New York, Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=999712.
31. Mersland R. and Strom R. O. (2010). Microfinance Mission Drift? *World Development*, 38(1), 28–36, Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.05.006>.