

Mathematical Perspectives on Financial Literacy and Digital Economy Inclusion

Jag Pratap Singh Yadav

Department of Mathematics

Government Degree College, NadhaBhoor, Sahaswan, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh

Abstract- Financial literacy and digital economy inclusion are now important components of economic development. Financial service providers have slowly started shifting towards digitization over time, and being in line with such a trend involves not just understanding the basics of budgeting, savings, inflation, interest, among others, but also requires skills on how to manage finances using mobile banking apps, digital money transfers, internet loans, algorithmic credit scores, and platform economics. The study of mathematics helps in understanding financial decisions and digitization because they rely on calculations, probabilities, optimality, and statistics. This paper explores financial literacy and digital economy inclusion in relation to mathematics. Mathematical reasoning lies at the foundation of our knowledge of how interest is accumulated, debt management, consumer investment behavior, risks, pricing, digital money transactions, and economic inequality. In passing, it is the same reason why mathematical modeling enables us to think of financial capability analysis, detecting inequalities, promoting consumer empowerment, and designing inclusive policies. It addresses problems such as inadequate numeracy skills, the obscure nature of algorithms, and disparities in infrastructure availability. What is implied by all this is that, in order for someone to be able to participate in the digital world and ensure financial inclusion is not only about access to financial instruments but more of economic empowerment, there should be a focus on mathematics.

Keywords: financial literacy, digital economy, inclusion, mathematics, numeracy, interest rates, digital finance, inequality, risk, algorithmic decision-making

I. INTRODUCTION

The global economy has now entered into a stage whereby technological innovation plays an increasingly important role in shaping financial interactions, saving habits, credit provision, market access, and financial decisions made by households. Through technologies such as mobile money, digital wallets, online retail, fintech innovations, and other innovations, the interaction between individuals and financial systems has been significantly altered. The modern economy is not only about being able to be present in traditional settings like banks or physical markets but also being proficient in the use of a financial system shaped by technological innovations. Financial literacy is generally understood as the know-how and skill required to understand and make well-informed financial choices. That takes in knowledge not just of what interest rates are, of inflation, saving, of how to borrow money, of

credit, of how to invest, how to budget and also of risk. Digital economy inclusion is the level of access or a meaningful participation of people and communities to digitally mediated economic systems. This could be device usage, internet connection and access, digital payment systems, and online platforms as well as how you'll use any of them. While such problems are frequently broached in social, educational or policy discourse, mathematics is central to the issues of their elimination. Financial literacy is mathematical in nature—it needs to be based on numerical reasoning, proportional computations, the number of exponential growth rates and values, as well as calculation of present value and future value (and probability-based judgment on risk). Likewise, the digital economy is mathematically structured through algorithms, metrics, scoring schemes, optimization processes, techniques, encryption protocols, data-based decision models, and algorithmic decision-making. Even basic digital inclusion comes with measurable

features like connection rates, transaction costs, use rates, number of times users are connected, account balances, and repayment schedules. Two main reasons can be identified as why mathematical perspectives are valuable. Firstly, they facilitate the explanation of the inner workings of financial instruments and technological devices. While most people use financial products, few have knowledge of their underlying formulas or quantitative constructs. Thus, one becomes susceptible to borrowing traps, unexpected expenses, exploitative pricing policies, erroneous decision-making. Secondly, mathematics is capable of capturing the nature of inequality and developing obstacles to the prosperity and mechanisms for creating a more equitable system. The statistical modeling, index construction, network theory, and optimization techniques may be employed to demonstrate which individuals are unable to participate in the digital economy and the rationale behind the situation. This article aims to provide a mathematical perspective on financial literacy and digital economy participation from the point of view of mathematics. It begins with an examination of ideas lying behind the two fields. Subsequently, it discusses how they serve as a foundation for the development of household financial management, digital financial systems, inclusion practices, and algorithmic economic engagement. Applications in practice, policy implications, and methodological challenges are raised throughout the paper.

II. FINANCIAL LITERACY AS QUANTITATIVE COMPETENCE

Financial literacy can be expressed as a mixture of knowledge, character, and behavior. But one of its basic aspects is quantitative competence. Individuals are always required to interpret numbers, evaluate alternatives, make predictions and reason under uncertainty. These are also mathematical activities, even though they can be done in ordinary situations such as shopping, borrowing, saving, or transferring funds online. At the most basic level financial literacy is based on arithmetic.

Budgeting is about adding sources of income and subtracting things you consume over time to find and compare balances. Consumers need to know percentages to understand discounts, taxes, tips, and the interest rates that go with it. What they need are also proportional reasons to be able to understand installment plans, exchange rates, and inflation-adjusted values on a proportional basis. Then, at a higher level, financial literacy is the science of math which is based on concepts like exponential growth, annuities, amortization, expected value, and portfolio diversification. For instance, understanding the difference between simple and compound interest is important in evaluating savings as well as debt instruments. A person not familiar with compounding might underestimate the future cost of high-interest credit card debt, or the long-term benefit of saving long term. Also financial literacy is about deciphering data. Customers come across charts, payment records, record histories, account statement lists, credit ratings, consumer spending dashboards, as well as summaries of the economic performance related to each month's investments. In the absence of understanding the statistics, this kind of info can be confusing or even misleading. Thereby financial literacy is not simply a knowledge of financial words, but a capacity to logically reason mathematically about economic choices. Mathematical literacy is especially important in the new digital world because numbers are increasingly being presented to us in such ways that require quick decisions to be made. The customer is presented with an offer where he or she will be able to purchase something now and then pay later without thinking about how they will pay. A digital lending platform will show you the weekly payment figure without giving you the yearly rate.

III. CORE MATHEMATICAL CONCEPTS IN FINANCIAL LITERACY

A number of mathematical ideas are particularly significant for financial literacy. These ideas give

individuals the capacity to analyze financial goods rationally and make better judgments.

3.1 Percentages and Interest

Percentage is one of the most prevalent mathematical representations used in finance. Interest rates, inflation rates, discount rates, tax rates, return rates, and fees are all measured in percentages. Percentages can be misinterpreted by individuals who have difficulty distinguishing between absolute and relative change or comparing percentages based on equal time frames.

For instance, a loan that offers a monthly interest rate of 3% does not mean that the annual impact is merely 36%. The effective annual rate is

$$(1.03)^{12} - 1 \approx 0.4258,$$

or about 42.58%. This difference is significant and shows why percentage interpretation requires mathematical care.

3.2 Simple and Compound Interest

Simple interest is calculated only on the principal amount:

$$A = P(1 + rt),$$

where A is the accumulated amount, P is the principal, r is the interest rate, and t is time.

Compound interest is calculated on both principal and accumulated interest:

$$A = P \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{nt},$$

where n is the number of compounding periods per year.

Compound interest plays a key role in creating wealth as well as incurring debts. The fact is that even slight changes in the rate or duration result in huge differences due to exponential growth instead of linear growth.

3.3 Inflation and Real Values

The concept of inflation needs to be understood on the basis of the concepts of nominal and real values. For instance, an increase in the level of income by 5% may lead to a reduction in purchasing power when prices increase by 7%. This is because the real value can be determined either through

$$\text{Real value factor} = \frac{1 + \text{nominal growth rate}}{1 + \text{inflation rate}}.$$

This mathematical reasoning helps households assess wages, savings, pensions, and investment returns more accurately.

3.4 Amortization and Loan Repayment

Numerous families apply for installment loans, mortgage loans, and even microloans online. Such payments include two components: the principal plus interest payment. The general payment formula for an amortization loan looks like the following:

$$\text{PMT} = \frac{\text{Pr}(1 + r)^n}{(1 + r)^n - 1},$$

where PMT is the periodic payment; P is the principal; r is the periodic interest rate; and n is the number of payments. It is helpful to know this formula because it helps people understand the difference between the initial borrowed sum and future repayment amounts.

3.5 Risk and Expected Value

Economic decisions are usually made under conditions of uncertainty. The decision to take insurance, investments, and starting a business all call for thinking about different possibilities and their probabilities. The expected value is expressed as:

$$E(X) = \sum p_i x_i,$$

where x_i denote possible outcomes while p_i represent their probabilities.

Even when people do not calculate expected value explicitly, this theory can be helpful in analyzing lotteries, risky ventures, insurance, and uncertain gains

IV. DIGITAL ECONOMY INCLUSION: MEANING AND DIMENSIONS

Digital inclusion within the economy encompasses the capability of individuals to become part of a digitally driven economy that is feasible, affordable, and valuable. This does not necessarily mean owning a mobile phone or a bank account; rather, it pertains to being continuously part of digital economies of

finance and commerce. Inclusion has several aspects. One aspect relates to availability of infrastructure in terms of connectivity to the Internet, electricity supply, devices, and secure identification mechanisms. Unless these preconditions are fulfilled, it becomes impossible for anyone to participate in the digitized world. The second aspect concerns affordability. Although services are available through digital means, the cost of transactions, the cost of devices, data charges, and minimum balances may exclude people from enjoying such services. The third aspect of inclusion is usability in the sense that the people understand, can use, and trust the digital platforms. The fourth aspect of inclusion concerns actual improvements made possible through digital means.

From a mathematical perspective, any of these aspects can be measured and compared with one another. Network models could be employed to illustrate how innovations in finance spread through communities or geographical areas.

It is essential to note that inclusion is not an all-or-nothing concept. Rather, it exists along a continuum. One individual might have access to mobile money services, but only utilizes it for transferring funds, while the other employs digital technology for savings, lending, investments, and e-commerce.

V. MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL DECISIONS

Household finance may be modeled mathematically by explaining the decisions related to consumption, saving, borrowing, and investments. This approach is applicable both in economics and financial literacy as it explains the trade-offs involved.

A basic model for consumption over two periods involves the assumption that income is received during different periods and that individuals decide their consumption levels in both periods. The income for the first period is

Y_1 , for the second period Y_2 , and the interest rate is r . The inter-temporal budget constraint is

$$C_1 + \frac{C_2}{1+r} = Y_1 + \frac{Y_2}{1+r},$$

where C_1 and C_2 represent current and future consumptions.

This expression indicates that borrowing and saving are ways to transfer consumption from one period to another. In other words, a person who knows about finance will realize that the more you spend now, the less you consume later or pay interest.

The act of budgeting itself can be analyzed using dynamics. If Y_t represents income at time t , E_t expenditure, S_t savings, and D_t debt, then we have

$$S_t = Y_t - E_t - \Delta D_t,$$

where (ΔD_t) represents net change in borrowing. Over time, debt evolves according to

$$D_{t+1} = (1+r)D_t + B_t - P_t,$$

Here, B_t stands for borrowing and P_t for repayment. The above equation offers transparency in the case of growth of debt and can even be used for educational purposes.

In the case of digital lending schemes, such formulas are crucial since borrowing modest sums of money over short periods of time on the internet may not seem harmful on its own.

VI. MEASUREMENT OF FINANCIAL LITERACY USING MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL TOOLS

Financial literacy, by itself, is another construct that needs to be measured. The most common methods involve conducting surveys that include items such as interest, inflation, diversification, budgeting, and borrowing. The results will then be statistically computed to generate scores for individual or group financial literacy.

The simplest method used for measuring financial literacy is the additive index method. Given that an individual correctly answers k out of n items, the literacy score will be represented by

$$L = \frac{k}{n}$$

Although straightforward, this approach rests on the assumption that all questions have equal difficulty levels and contribute equally to the test score.

A more advanced methodology involves the item response theory framework. Under the two-parameter logistic model, the likelihood of individual j answering question i correctly is

$$P(X_{ij} = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-a_i(\theta_j - b_i)]}$$

Where θ_j represents the underlying financial literacy of individual j , a_i represents the item discrimination, and b_i indicates the item difficulty. This approach provides a better evaluation of financial literacy.

Another method widely used to investigate the financial literacy and its consequences is regression analysis. For instance,

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 L_i + \beta_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

would model a financial behavior outcome Y_i such as saving participation, where L_i is financial literacy and X_i is a vector of control variables such as age, education, income, and digital inclusion.

These statistical models demonstrate that financial literacy is more than just an academic issue but rather a variable that can be measured quantitatively. Mathematics can help identify which policies will work best and which groups are most likely to be marginalized.

VII. QUANTIFYING DIGITAL INCLUSION

Digital inclusion can also be quantitatively assessed using composite indexes and statistical models. Assuming that digital inclusion is a function of access (A), affordability

(F), skills (S), usage (U), and trust/security (T), then a basic composite index formula would be

$$DI = w_1A + w_2F + w_3S + w_4U + w_5T,$$

where (w_1, \dots, w_5) are weights summing to 1. The issue is in finding suitable indicators and weight factors. Assigning equal weights is simple but might not consider practical importance. Principal Component Analysis can be used to establish weights depending on variability in the dataset. Clustering methods can be used to group households into clusters according to their similarity in terms of digital inclusion. Logistic regression can be used to calculate the probability of being digitally inclusive:

$$P(D_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(\alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki})]}$$

These might include such things as income levels, age, gender, education, location, numeracy skills, and access to networking facilities.

It might be possible to use a spatial analysis model because digital exclusion will always have certain distributional properties geographically. This can be achieved by combining the use of Geographic Information Systems with spatial analysis.

This would prove that exclusion can be analyzed scientifically. Mathematics makes sure that decisions made are data-driven and not based on speculation.

VIII. DIGITAL PAYMENTS AND MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES

Digital payments have now evolved to become an important part of the digital economy. This process involves some mathematics-based techniques such as authentication, encryption, balancing of accounts, charges, settlement, and fraud detection.

With respect to the understanding by the consumers of the digital payment process, there is need for the consumer to be able to

reason about the costs involved and their comparison. Consider that a consumer can choose to use cash or digital payment. If the cost incurred from the use of cash is C_c while that for digital payment is C_d , then

$$C_d < C_c.$$

However, C_d may include fixed fees, percentage fees, data costs, and expected fraud risk. One can write

$$C_d = f + \tau x + d + pL,$$

where f is a constant charge, τx is a percentage charge that depends on the size of the transaction x , d stands for the cost of digitization, and pL is the predicted fraud loss, p being the probability and L being the extent.

The mathematical equation above helps us understand why those with lower income levels will remain marginalized despite the existence of digital payments. Low transaction fees may prove to be regressive when the sizes of transactions are minimal. Hence, the use of mathematics sheds light on the economic principles behind the barriers to inclusion.

From a systems perspective, digital payments systems can be studied using the theory of graphs. Individuals, firms, and organizations make up the nodes, while transactions form the edges. Network density, centrality, and connectivity influence the resilience and scope of digital financial services. Underdevelopment of the network implies poor merchant acceptance or inadequate interoperability, which leads to exclusion.

IX. MATHEMATICS OF CREDIT, SCORING, AND BORROWING IN THE DIGITAL ECONOMY

There is an increasing reliance on algorithmic credit systems in the digital economy. In the old lending model, collateral, physical inspection, and proof of income were needed. On the other hand, digital credit systems rely on data from alternative sources such as phone usage, payment habits, transactional behavior, and device usage. All these data points are then fed

into a mathematical equation to compute credit scores.

The fundamental credit score calculation equation is

$$\text{Score} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n,$$

where x_i is a vector of borrower characteristics and actions. In this case, the borrower gets his/her loan approval if the score exceeds the cut-off level.

More sophisticated models use the techniques of machine learning including decision trees, random forest, gradient boosting, and neural networks. These algorithms can result in better prediction performance, but reduce interpretability. It gives rise to mathematical inclusion, when the borrower is granted credit without knowing the rationale behind it.

As for the financial literacy issue, apart from the repayment terms, the borrower should understand the meaning of scoring within the given system. For example, the borrower's delay in repaying the loan using digital technologies may influence future possibilities for loans. A person that is financially literate will know about probabilities, models used and cut-off levels involved in algorithms.

Debt actions performed by borrowers in the sphere of online lending are described recursively. For a borrower that continues borrowing, the following relationship may be established:

$$D_{t+1} = (1 + r_t)D_t + F_t - P_t,$$

where F_t includes fees and r_t may vary over time. This simple equation demonstrates how small digital loans can become unsustainable.

X. PROBABILITY, RISK, AND UNCERTAINTY IN FINANCIAL DECISION-MAKING

Uncertainty-based reasoning has been one of the most significant aspects of mathematics applied in financial literacy. Our financial activities involve uncertainty because our income is not always stable; unexpected

circumstances may occur; our gains may either increase or decrease; and even our online forums may be exposed.

Probability is the fundamental language of uncertainty. In the situation where the occurrence of a sad event takes place with probability p and causes a loss L , then the expected loss would be

$$E(\text{Loss}) = pL.$$

The theory will explain why it is rational to purchase insurance for yourself and why maintaining an emergency fund makes sense. One more important variable to consider when evaluating investment opportunities is the variance and standard deviation because even if two investments have identical expected returns, they can differ in their riskiness. Diversification can take place in order to reduce the unsystematic risk in the portfolio. Although ordinary consumers do not calculate covariance matrices, it is a mathematical term that plays an important role in finance.

A brand-new form of risk arises due to today's digital world, such as cybersecurity risks, payment fraud, platform unavailability, identity theft, and other types of risks. The above forms of risks could be calculated based on the probability distribution, but people who are aware of finance need to know at least some qualitative information about them. Therefore, while a consumer evaluates the option to keep funds online, he or she needs to balance their convenience against the probability of any fraudulent behaviour.

XI. INEQUALITY, INCLUSION GAPS, AND QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Financial inclusion using digital technology is not always evenly distributed among income classes, genders, age classes, education levels, and geographical locations. Quantitative approaches are essential in quantifying and evaluating these disparities.

Let us denote I_g as the inclusion level of group g . The gap between groups a and b is

$$G = I_a - I_b.$$

Relative inequality may be measured as a ratio

$$R = \frac{I_a}{I_b}.$$

Other advanced ways of dealing with inequalities could involve the use of concentration indices, Lorenz curves, or even decomposition methods. In the event that account ownership is highly concentrated with rich people, it is possible to measure inequalities using the same approach as is used for measuring income inequalities. The method of decomposition enables the total amount of digital inequalities to be decomposed into those resulting from observed and unobserved variables. It would be quite useful in determining whether gender and rural/urban digital inequalities result from income and education gaps or not.

The application of mathematics is quite helpful when making a distinction between access inequalities and usage inequalities. Two groups could own accounts at equal amounts but have completely different transaction volumes and varieties of products being offered. Without the use of mathematical analysis, this would go unnoticed.

XII. OPTIMIZATION PERSPECTIVES ON INCLUSION POLICY

Mathematically, public policy is also possible. The government, nongovernmental organizations, or financial institutions may have constraints regarding resources, and hence there will be the need for allocating resources on the various activities such as infrastructure, education, subsidy programs, or platform developments.

If the inclusion effect depends on the activities ($\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}$), and x_4 , and the inclusion effect is denoted by I then

$$\max I(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

subject to

$$c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + c_3x_3 + c_4x_4 \leq B.$$

The framework of optimization implies that any inclusion policy entails trade-offs. Moreover,

such a framework implies that modeling and analysis of data could enhance decision-making. In particular, should numeracy issues prove to be the most significant impediment in a location characterized by extensive coverage, financial literacy could be more effective than further investment in technology.

Optimization can be applied at the product design stage as well. The design of digital solutions can be optimized to ensure that they do not impose a heavy cognitive load on their users, avoid complex fees, and provide clear information.

XIII. THE ROLE OF NUMERACY IN GENUINE INCLUSION

It is vital for this paper that access without numeracy cannot be considered as inclusion. An individual might possess a digital wallet, a bank account, or be able to take out digital loans. However, this does not guarantee the ability to calculate costs and estimate their implications.

Numeracy is not limited to basic operations with numbers but is related to estimation abilities, critical attitude towards numbers, calculation of interest rates and fee accumulation, and other skills. Digitalization tends to obscure many mathematical aspects of using money as an interface hides them from the user. As a result, this phenomenon could be referred to as "opaque inclusion" as the users are able to use the tool while being unable to fully grasp its essence.

For example, loan applications might claim the fastest approval and smallest daily costs. However, if the individual is unable to convert them into monthly payments and calculate interest accumulation, they will underestimate their obligation greatly. Analogically, investments offered through digital platforms can include return charts but no information on volatility or risks.

In conclusion, mathematical knowledge is a part of inclusion policy and is fundamental for it.

Otherwise, digitalization may contribute to further inequality of financial relations.

XIV. EDUCATIONAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are numerous implications from the mathematical view of financial inclusivity that is outlined above. To begin with, financial education needs to be improved by moving away from simply memorizing terminology and towards teaching reasoning skills, specifically mathematics. Students need to get used to making sense of the mathematical components of various situations related to interest, inflation, debt schedules, repayments and risks, especially those related to the digital product. The second implication involves the need to consider numeracy when implementing digital inclusion policy. Giving people accounts or apps is insufficient if they do not know how to use them. Thus, it is crucial that the training includes calculations, data analysis, and other mathematical components. The third implication relates to regulatory measures that would involve requiring increased transparency of digital finances in terms of clear mathematical presentation of costs. Such parameters as annual rates, total costs, repayment amounts, and fees need to be provided to customers. Finally, metrics related to social inclusion in terms of government and institution policies should differentiate between access, use, and outcomes.

XV. CONCLUSION

Financial literacy and digital economy inclusion are two of the most pressing topics in modern development, and both are inherently mathematical. Financial literacy includes understanding interest, compounded interest, valuation, risk evaluation, debt management, and numbers. Digital inclusion requires quantitative accessibility, payment schemes, networks, computation, and quantitative differences. When using mathematics in both concepts, it becomes evident that the two areas are interconnected elements of economic participation. The following aspects were

previously mentioned as some of the ways in which mathematics can be used in relation to the two concepts. One way is through an explanation about why people use financial tools and why they behave as such. Another way relates to providing tools to quantify literacy and inclusion. It is also through mathematics that one analyzes the workings of credit schemes, online payments, and disparity gaps. Lastly, it becomes possible to make better policies. In any case, the paper has argued that simple accessibility does not provide inclusion. However, further digitization could only mean gaining access but without revealing vulnerabilities that may lie underneath. In a society that depends more on the strength of information and platforms, mathematics cannot simply be considered an academic discipline but rather a civic instrument that enables people to raise questions, compare, strategize, and protect themselves; it allows institutions to assess and improve upon existing procedures; and it allows societies to embrace true inclusion rather than mere token inclusion.

REFERENCES

1. Banks, J., & Oldfield, Z. (2007). Understanding pensions: Cognitive function, numerical ability and retirement saving. *Fiscal Studies*, 28(2), 143–170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5890.2007.00052.x>
2. Christelis, D., Jappelli, T., & Padula, M. (2010). Cognitive abilities and portfolio choice. *European Economic Review*, 54(1), 18–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2009.04.001>
3. Gerardi, K., Goette, L., & Meier, S. (2013). Numerical ability and mortgage default. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(28), 11267–11271. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220568110>
4. Hogarth, J. M. (2006). Financial education and economic development. *Improving Financial Literacy: Analysis of Issues and Policies*, 1–35.
5. Joo, S. H., & Grable, J. E. (2004). An exploratory framework of the determinants of financial satisfaction. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 25(1), 25–50. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JEEI.0000016722.37994.9f>
6. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2011). Financial literacy around the world: An overview. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 497–508. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S147474721100044X>
7. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 52(1), 5–44. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.52.1.5>
8. McArdle, J. J., Smith, J. P., & Willis, R. J. (2009). Cognition and economic outcomes in the Health and Retirement Study. *NBER Working Paper* No. 15266. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w15266>
9. OECD. (2013). PISA 2012 assessment and analytical framework: Mathematics, reading, science, problem solving and financial literacy. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264190511-en>
10. Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., & Alessie, R. (2011). Financial literacy and stock market participation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101(2), 449–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.03.006>
11. Arner, D. W., Buckley, R. P., Zetsche, D. A., & Veidt, R. (2020). Sustainability, Fit and FinTech: Reforming banking and transforming finance. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 21(1), 7–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-020-00177-0>
12. Carbo, S., Gardener, E. P., & Molyneux, P. (2007). Financial exclusion in Europe. *Public Money and Management*, 27(1), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9302.2007.00551.x>
13. Chatterjee, A. (2020). Financial inclusion, information and communication technology diffusion, and economic growth: A panel data analysis. *Information Technology for Development*, 26(3), 607–635. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2020.1734770>
14. Demir, A., Pesqué-Cela, V., Altunbas, Y., & Murinde, V. (2020). Fintech, financial inclusion and income inequality: A quantile regression approach. *The European Journal of Finance*, 28(1), 1–22.

- <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1772335>
15. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1259-0>
 16. Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital Finance and FinTech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87(5), 537–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x>
 17. Lee, I., & Shin, Y. J. (2018). Fintech: Ecosystem, business models, investment decisions, and challenges. *Business Horizons*, 61(1), 35–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2017.09.003>
 18. Mushtaq, R., & Bruneau, C. (2019). Microfinance, financial inclusion and ICT: Evidence from the developing world. *Technology in Society*, 59, 101154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.07.001>
 19. Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003>
 20. Philippas, D., & Avdoulas, C. (2020). Financial literacy and financial well-being among generation-Z university students: Evidence from Greece. *The European Journal of Finance*, 26(4-5), 360–381. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2019.1701512>
 21. Burrill, G., & Biehler, R. (2011). Fundamental statistical ideas in the school curriculum and in training teachers. In *Teaching Statistics in School Mathematics-Challenges for Teaching and Teacher Education* (pp. 57–69). Springer.
 22. Julie, C. (2006). Mathematical literacy: Enabling and limiting conditions for task design. In *Proceedings of the 30th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 3, pp. 401–408).
 23. Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (2001). *Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics*. National Academy Press.
 24. Pournara, C. (2009). Spreadsheets as a transparent resource for learning the mathematics of annuities. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 29(3), 49–52.
 25. Pugalee, D. K. (1999). Constructing a model of mathematical literacy. *The Clearing House*, 73(1), 19–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098659909599230>