Patrick James McKenna Jr, 2025, 13:5
ISSN (Online): 2348-4098
ISSN (Print): 2395-4752

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
An Open Access Journal

Exploration of Thought Spigettification and Cognitive Deformation around a Kerr-Type Singularity: A Theoretic Quantum–Field Model for Schizophrenia and Dissociative Identity Disorder

Patrick James McKenna Jr., Andres Barahona Contreras

Abstract- We introduce thought spigettification, a speculative quantum–field–theoretic model mapping extreme stress responses in neuronal microtubule networks to Kerr-analogue spacetime defor- mations. A mental Hilbert space of coherent microtubule "qubits" couples to three scalar fields—BraeQuintessence, BraeHiggs, and the Standard Model Higgs—producing a deformation operator D(r, θ) that stretches and reconfigures mental superpositions. Positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia arise as over-amplified and suppressed eigenmodes; dissociative iden- tity disorder emerges from metastable multi–well potentials. We formalize emotional eigenval- ues, derive coupled field equations, analyze stability regimes, and propose electrophysiological and imaging biomarkers. Finally, we outline therapeutic "despigettification" via inversion of scalar–field dynamics.

Keywords: Thought spigettification, Quantum field theory, Neuronal microtubules, Mental Hilbert space, Scalar fields, Kerr-analogue spacetime.

I. INTRODUCTION

Severe psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and dissociative identity disorder (DID) feature profound disruptions in thought coherence, emotional regulation, and self-identity. Existing neu- robiological models emphasize neurotransmitter imbalance and circuit-level dysfunction [?, 11, 17]. We propose a complementary, highly speculative framework: under intense stress, coherent quan- tum excitations in neuronal microtubules undergo geometric deformations analogous to spaghetti- fication near a rotating (Kerr) black hole [9]. We term this process thought spigettification.

In our model, the brain's microtubule network is a Hilbert space Hmind = (C2)⊗N of N qubits. A mental state Ψ evolves under an effective Hamiltonian H0 + Hint and couples to a dynamical scalar field ϕQ (BraeQuintessence) encoding stress. A second field, ϕH′ (BraeHiggs), provides a mass gap to regulate runaway deformations, while the Standard Model Higgs ϕH ensures ultraviolet regularity. The resulting spigettification operator is

$$D(r,\vartheta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha(r,\vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\,\mathrm{O}\right], \quad (1)$$

where α(r, θ) diverges near an effective inner horizon (Δm → 0) of the mental-spacetime metric gμν . Applying D to Ψ stretches certain amplitude directions (modeling hyper-salient hallucinations) and suppresses others (flattened affect). DID arises when the combined scalar-field potential admits multiple minima, each supporting a distinct "identity" eigenstate.

II. BACKGROUND

Quantum Cognition and Microtubule Hypotheses

The notion that quantum processes might underlie aspects of cognition has been championed by Penrose and Hameroff's Orch-OR (Orchestrated Objective Reduction) model, which posits that neuronal microtubules can sustain coherent quantum excitations sufficiently long to influence neu- ral firing and consciousness [1]. In this view, each microtubule acts as a two-level quantum sys- tem—or "qubit"—whose superposition and entanglement across a network of tubulin dimers encode proto-cognitive information. Critics argue that thermal decoherence at physiological temperatures destroys such coherence on femtosecond timescales [2], yet recent theoretical work suggests that structural features (e.g., hydrophobic pockets and ordered water layers) and active metabolic pro- cesses could extend coherence to biologically relevant durations [3, 4]. Experimental efforts using ultrafast spectroscopy and quantum optomechanical probes of cytoskeletal extracts are ongoing, driving a renewed interest in the feasibility of quantum-enhanced neural information processing.

Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime

Quantum field theory (QFT) in curved spacetime provides the language to describe how quantum fields respond to nontrivial background geometries. Seminal results—such as Hawking radiation from black holes and the Unruh effect for accelerating observers—demonstrate that curvature and horizon structure can dramatically alter vacuum fluctuations and particle spectra [10]. In our framework, we exploit these insights by endowing an "effective mental spacetime" with curvature determined by stress and arousal parameters. Scalar fields (BraeQuintessence and BraeHiggs) obey generalized Klein–Gordon equations

$$\Box_m \phi + V'(\phi) = J,$$

where $\Box_m$ is the d'Alembertian associated with the mental metric $g^{(m)}$ and J encodes source terms from the cognitive Hamiltonian. Coupling cognitive qubit observables to ϕ allows geometric features—such as horizons and curvature invariants—to modulate quantum amplitudes in a manner analogous to QFT–gravity interactions.

Kerr Geometry and Spaghettification

The Kerr solution describes the spacetime around a rotating mass M with specific angular mo- mentum a, featuring an outer (event) horizon at r_+ and an inner (Cauchy) horizon at r_-, where $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 = 0$ [9]. Between these horizons, extreme frame dragging and diverging tidal forces stretch infalling matter into elongated filaments—a process dubbed spaghettification. We introduce a Kerr-analogue mental metric

$$ds^2_m = -\left(1-\frac{2M_m r}{\Sigma_m}\right)dt^2 - \frac{4M_m a_m r\sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma_m}\,dt\,d\varphi + \frac{\Sigma_m}{\Delta_m}dr^2 + \Sigma_m\, d\vartheta^2 + \left(r^2+a_m^2+\frac{2M_m a_m^2\, r\sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma_m}\right)\sin^2\vartheta\, d\varphi^2,$$

with $\Sigma_m = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$ and $\Delta_m = r^2 - 2M_m r + a^2$. Here, M_m quantifies overall stress "mass" and a_m encodes asymmetries in arousal or emotional torque. Near $r \to r_-$, the mental-spacetime Kretschmann scalar $K = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ diverges, triggering our spigettification operator (cf. Eq. 1) that deforms cognitive quantum states.

Analogue Gravity Models in Condensed Matter

Condensed-matter and optical systems have successfully emulated curved spacetime phenomena, including sonic horizons in Bose–Einstein condensates and event-horizon analogues in nonlinear optical fibers [?, ?]. These platforms highlight how effective metrics arise from underlying micro- physics. In neural tissue, variations in ionic conductivity, membrane potential, and cytoskeletal arrangement may similarly produce an emergent metric governing quantum coherence. By drawing on analogue-gravity insights, one can design laboratory tests—such as structured waveguide arrays or metamaterials—to probe spigettification-like deformations of engineered quantum states.

Clinical Biomarkers and Network Dysfunctions

Neuroimaging studies reveal that schizophrenia is marked by reduced EEG coherence in gamma (30–80 Hz) bands and abnormal phase locking [11], as well as fMRI-derived functional dysconnec- tivity in the default mode, salience, and executive networks [13]. DID exhibits abrupt shifts in connectivity profiles between identity states, suggesting rapid reconfiguration of large-scale net- works [17]. We posit that these macroscopic signatures correspond to shifts in quantum entangle- ment entropy $S(A) = -\rho_A \ln \rho_A$ across subsystems of H_{mind}, driven by spigettification dynamics. Quantitative models of S-dynamics may thus yield novel biomarkers that bridge quantum-level deformations and clinical observations.

Quantum Cognition and Microtubule Hypotheses

Roger Penrose and Stuart Hameroff's Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) model proposes that neuronal microtubules—cylindrical polymers of tubulin dimers in the axon/dendrite cytoskele- ton—can sustain quantum coherent excitations long enough to influence neural computation and consciousness [1]. Each tubulin dimer is treated as a two-level system (a "qubit") whose conforma- tional state encodes information. Networks of these qubits, entangled via dipole–dipole interactions and mediated by ordered water layers, form a substrate for quantum information processing in the brain.

Critics point out that at physiological temperatures (∼310K), decoherence times for isolated qubits are predicted on the order of 10−13–10−12s, far shorter than neuronal firing times (∼10−3s) [2]. However, more recent analyses highlight several potential protective mechanisms: (1) hydropho- bic cavities between tubulin subunits reduce coupling to the thermal bath; (2) phononic band gaps in the microtubule lattice suppress vibrational damping; and (3) active metabolic pumping through GTP hydrolysis dynamically resets quantum correlations [3, 4]. Under these conditions, coher- ence times may extend into the tens of picoseconds to nanoseconds, overlapping with synaptic and dendritic integration windows.

Experimental efforts to detect microtubule coherence include ultrafast two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy on purified tubulin in vitro [5], which seeks signatures of coherent oscillations in the 100GHz–1THz band. Optomechanical coupling schemes in cytoskeletal extracts, using high-Q optical resonators to probe mechanical displacement of microtubule bundles, have reported sub- picometer sensitivity [6]. Although compelling evidence of long-lived coherence remains elusive, these studies motivate modeling cognition as quantum-mechanical state dynamics in a Hilbert space Hmind = (C2)⊗N , where N is the number of functionally relevant qubits.

Beyond Orch-OR, alternative quantum-cognitive frameworks propose that quantum tunneling in ion channel proteins affects spike timing [7], and that entangled neurotransmitter modes across synaptic clefts modulate synaptic gain [8]. These models differ in mechanism but converge on

the idea that nontrivial quantum phenomena—if sufficiently protected—could enhance computa- tional capacity, enable non-classical associative memory, and explain aspects of rapid insight and creativity.

In light of this debate, our thought spigettification framework assumes a working hypothesis: microtubule qubits form a coherent substrate for cognitive state superposition and entanglement, sus- ceptible to external modulation by scalar fields and geometric curvature analogues. This assumption provides the foundation for mapping extreme psychological stress onto Kerr-type deformations of the mental-spacetime metric g(m), yielding the spigettification operator

$$D(r,\vartheta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha(r,\vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\,\mathbf{O}\right].$$

Kerr Geometry and Spaghettification

The Kerr solution of Einstein's equations describes the exterior spacetime of an uncharged, rotating mass M with specific angular momentum a = J/M . In Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ), the line element is

$$ds^2 = -\left(1-\frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right)dt^2 - \frac{4Mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\,dt\,d\phi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}\,dr^2 + \Sigma\,d\theta^2 + \left(r^2+a^2+\frac{2Ma^2r\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\right)\sin^2\theta\,d\phi^2,$$

where

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\vartheta, \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2.$$

The roots of Δ = 0 de√fine the outer (event) horizon at r+ = M +√M 2 − a2 and the inner (Cauchy) horizon at r− = M − M 2 − a2 [9]. Between these horizons, frame dragging becomes extreme, and as r → r−, tidal tensors diverge. Any infalling extended object is subject to unbounded stretching along the outgoing radial direction and compression transverse to it—a phenomenon popularly known as "spaghettification."

Key features of the Kerr geometry also include:

- **Ergosphere:** the region r+ < r < rergo(θ) where gtt > 0, forcing all observers to co-rotate with the black hole.
- **Ring Singularity:** at Σ = 0, i.e. r = 0, θ = π/2, curvature invariants such as the Kretschmann scalar K = RμνρσRμνρσ diverge.
- **Frame Dragging :** gyroscopic precession of test gyroscopes and Lense–Thirring effect are max- imized near the inner horizon.

Mental-Spacetime Analogue To model the effect of acute psychological stress and emotional arousal on cognitive coherence, we introduce an effective mental metric g(m) with the same func- tional form, replacing (M, a) by (Mm, am):

$$ds_m^2 = - \; 1 - \frac{2M_m r}{\Sigma_m} \; dt^2 - \frac{4M_m a_m r \sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma_m} dt\, d\phi + \frac{\Sigma_m}{\Delta_m} dr^2 + \Sigma_m \, d\vartheta^2 + \; r^2 + a_m^2 + \frac{2M_m a_m^2 r \sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma_m} \; \sin^2 \vartheta \, d\phi^2,$$

$$\Sigma_m = r^2 + a_m^2 \cos^2 \vartheta, \quad \Delta_m = r^2 - 2M_m r + a_m^2.$$

- Mm represents a stress-mass, capturing overall cognitive load.
- am is an emotional torque, quantifying asymmetry between excitatory and inhibitory pro- cesses.
- The inner-horizon analogue r(m) signals a threshold beyond which mental processes experience runaway distortion.

Spigettification in Mental States As r → r(m) or as the Kretschmann scalar Km grows, we postulate the cognitive state Ψ in Hmind undergoes spigettification via the operator

$$D(r, \vartheta) = \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha(r, \vartheta)}{\hbar \Omega} \right],$$

where α(r, θ) includes contributions from the mental curvature Km(r, θ). Under extreme emotional stress (large Mm, am), the operator D non-uniformly stretches and compresses amplitude com- ponents of Ψ, mapping psychological distress onto geometric deformation of an effective cognitive spacetime.

Clinical Biomarkers

Psychiatric conditions manifest as aberrations in large-scale neural synchronization and network or- ganization. Electroencephalography (EEG) studies in schizophrenia consistently report reductions in gamma-band (30–80 Hz) coherence between frontal and temporal regions, alongside disrupted phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) between theta and gamma oscillations [11, 12]. Graph-theoretic analysis of resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) reveals decreased global effi- ciency, increased modularity, and breakdown of rich-club connectivity in the default-mode, salience, and executive control networks [13, 14]. Dynamic functional connectivity (dFC) studies further demonstrate that patients dwell longer in hypo-connected “disengaged” states and less in hyper-connected “integrated” states [15].

In dissociative identity disorder (DID), rapid, state-dependent reconfiguration of functional networks has been observed: distinct connectivity motifs dominate during alternate identity states, with switching timescales on the order of seconds to minutes [17]. These abrupt transitions parallel metastable dynamics in dynamical systems and suggest discrete attractor wells in the underlying cognitive landscape.

Magnetoencephalography (MEG) and intracranial EEG (iEEG) provide high temporal-resolution measures of neural synchrony that complement scalp-EEG findings. MEG coherence deficits in beta (13–30 Hz) and gamma bands correlate with positive symptom severity, while iEEG recordings re- veal localized high-frequency oscillation (HFO) bursts preceding psychotic episodes.

We propose that these macroscopic biomarkers correspond to shifts in quantum entanglement entropy

$$S(A) = -\mathrm{Tr}\, \rho_A \ln \rho_A \,,$$

where ρA is the reduced density matrix of a subsystem A ⊂ Hmind. Functional connectivity matrices

Cij can be transformed into pseudo–density operators via normalization,

$$\rho = \frac{C}{\mathrm{Tr}(C)},$$

allowing computation of S(A) across cortical partitions. Under spigettification, the deforma- tion operator D(r, θ) non-uniformly stretches amplitudes, predicting local peaks in S(A) (hyper- entanglement during hallucinations) and troughs (hypo-entanglement during flattened affect).

Key spigettification signatures include:

- **Entropy Spikes:** Transient increases in regional S(A) concurrent with positive symptom emergence.
- **Entropy Suppression:** Sustained low S(A) during negative symptom predominance.
- **DID State Markers:** Discrete entropy plateaus corresponding to alternate identity eigen-states.

To validate these predictions, we recommend a combined EEG–fMRI protocol with:

1. Baseline resting-state recording to establish normative S(A) and coherence profiles.
2. Acute cognitive stress task (e.g., social-evaluation) to induce spigettification dynamics.
3. Simultaneous EEG/fMRI to capture fast (EEG) and spatial (fMRI) changes in S(A).
4. Application of the inverse operator D−1 via targeted intervention (pharmacological/neuromodulation) to observe restoration of baseline biomarkers.

This framework bridges quantum-theoretic deformation models with empirically measurable neural signatures, offering novel biomarkers for diagnosis and real-time monitoring of spigettification in severe mental disorders.
Cij ≈ exp[−DE(i, j)], where DE is entanglement distance between qubit-regions i and j.

fMRI Connectivity
Global connectivity Gc relates to the inverse average entropy:

$$G_c \approx \frac{1}{1 + \langle S(A) \rangle}.$$

III. DEFINITIONS

Vocabulary
[Mental Spacetime and Metric] The mental spacetime M is a smooth 4-manifold with coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) and an effective Lorentzian metric g(m) of Kerr-type:

$$ds^2_m = -\left(1 - \frac{2M_m r}{\Sigma_m}\right) dt^2 - \frac{4M_m a_m r \sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma_m} dt\, d\varphi + \frac{\Sigma_m}{\Delta_m} dr^2 + \Sigma_m d\vartheta^2 + \left(r^2 + a_m^2 + \frac{2M_m a_m^2 r \sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma_m}\right) \sin^2\vartheta\, d\varphi^2,$$

where $\Sigma_m = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta$ and $\Delta_m = r^2 - 2M_m r + a^2$. The parameters (M_m, a_m) encode overall cognitive stress “mass” and emotional “torque,” respectively.

[Stress Manifold and Curvature Invariants] The stress manifold S ⊂ M is defined by $\Delta_m = 0$.
Key curvature invariants on M include the Kretschmann scalar

$$K_m = R^{(m)}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{(m)\,\mu\nu\rho\sigma},$$

which diverges as $\Delta_m \to 0$ and drives extreme spigettification effects. [Mental Hilbert Space] The mental Hilbert space is

$$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mind}} \cong (\mathrm{C}^2)^{\otimes N},$$

a tensor product of N two-level microtubule qubits. Basis states {s} with $s \in \{0, 1\}^N$ represent binary excitation patterns.
[Qubit Excitation Operators] For each qubit i = 1, . . . , N , define Pauli operators σ^x_i, σ^y_i, σ^z_i acting on its two-dimensional subspace. Collective operators (e.g. total Z) are $O = \Sigma_i \sigma^z_i$.
[Entanglement Entropy and Distance] Given a bipartition (A, B) of the N qubits, the reduced density matrix $\rho_A =_B (\Psi\Psi)$ has von Neumann entropy

$$S(A) = -(\rho_A \ln \rho_A).$$

The entanglement distance between subsystems A and B is defined by

$$D_E(A, B) = 1 - e^{-S(A)}.$$

[Emotional Basis and Eigenvalues] Let $\{e_k\}^M$ be an orthonormal emotional basis spanning a selected subspace of Hmind. Each basis vector e_k corresponds to a prototypical affective mode (e.g. joy, fear). The associated emotional eigenvalue ϵ_k quantifies its baseline intensity.

[Emotional Operator] Define the emotional operator

$$\mathbf{E} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \epsilon_k \, e_k e_k,$$

acting on Hmind to measure affective content of a state.

[Spigettification Strength] The spigettification strength is the scalar function

$$\alpha(r, \vartheta) = \beta \, \phi_Q(r, \vartheta) + \zeta \, K_m(r, \vartheta),$$

where ϕ_Q is the BraeQuintessence field (Definition 3.1), and (β, ζ) are coupling constants. [Scalar Fields]

Three scalar fields mediate deformation:

- **BraeQuintessence ϕ_Q:** massless field coupling to cognitive observables,
- **BraeHiggs $\phi_{H'}$:** self-interacting field providing mass-gap stabilization,
- **Standard Model Higgs ϕ_H:** ultraviolet regulator coupling to ϕ^2 and $\phi^2{}'$.

[Spigettification Operator] The unitary spigettification operator on Hmind is

$$D(r, \vartheta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha(r,\vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\,\mathbf{O}\right],$$

with Ω an effective frequency scale and O a collective generator. [Despigettification Operator] The inverse process is given by

$$D^{-1}(r, \vartheta) = \exp\left[+\frac{\alpha(r,\vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\,\mathbf{O}\right],$$

which restores the original mental superposition when scalar fields and curvature relax. [Quantum Fidelity] For two mental states Ψ1, Ψ2 ∈ Hmind, the quantum fidelity is

$$F(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = \left|\langle\Psi_1|\Psi_2\rangle\right|^2,$$

measuring coherence recovery under despigettification (F → 1).

[Phase Regimes] Mental deformation phases are classified by α magnitude:

- Healthy: α ≈ 0, D ≈ I.
- Prodromal: α ∼ O(1), mild spigettification.
- Acute: α → ∞, strong spigettification—psychosis or identity splitting.

[DID Attractor States] When the joint effective potential Veff (ϕ_Q, $\phi_{H'}$) admits K > 1 min- ima, each minimum supports a metastable eigenstate Ψ(i), interpreted as a distinct identity in dissociative identity disorder.

IV. SPIGETTIFICATION DYNAMICS

In this section we detail the mathematical structure and physical intuition behind spigettifica- tion—the deformation of a coherent mental quantum state Ψ ∈ Hmind under extreme stress encoded by the effective Kerr-type mental metric and scalar fields.

1. Deformation Operator and Stress Parameterization

We model the instantaneous deformation of Ψ through the unitary operator

$$D(r, \vartheta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha(r, \vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\,\mathbf{O}\right].$$

Here:

- • O = ΣN z (or a more general sum of Pauli operators) generates the deformation in the computational basis.
- $\hbar\Omega$ is an effective frequency scale set by intrinsic microtubule oscillations.
- α(r, θ) is the spigettification strength, α(r, θ) = β ϕ_Q(r, θ)+ζ Km(r, θ), diverging as the mental-spacetime inner horizon (Δm = 0) is approached.
- r ∈ [r+, r−] and θ parametrize a trajectory in the stress manifold, mapping cognitive load and emotional asymmetry onto geometric coordinates.

Acting on the pre-stress state,

$$\Psi' = D(r, \vartheta)\,\Psi$$

yields a spigettified superposition whose amplitudes have been non-uniformly stretched and sup- pressed according to the eigenstructure of O.

Continuous Evolution and Time-Dependent Stress

Rather than an instantaneous "kick," one may view spigettification as a continuous process in a "stress-time" parameter ts. Define r = r(ts), a monotonic map from stress-time to radial coordinate. The time-ordered evolution is

$$\Psi(t_s) = \mathrm{T}\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar\,\Omega}\int_0^{t_s} \alpha\big(r(\tau), \vartheta\big)\, \mathbf{O}\, d\tau\right] \Psi(0).$$

For slowly varying α, the adiabatic approximation applies and one may diagonalize O to track amplitude evolution in each eigenmode. Rapid changes in α induce non-adiabatic transitions among eigenstates, modeling abrupt psychotic breaks or identity switches.

Entanglement and Coherence Dynamics

Under deformation D, the reduced density matrix for any subsystem A transforms as ρ′ =B

D ΨΨ D † . The von Neumann entropy

$$S(A)' = -\ \rho'_A \ln \rho'_A$$

captures changes in quantum coherence and "cognitive connectivity." To first order in small α,

$$\delta S(A) \approx \frac{\alpha^2}{2(\hbar\Omega)^2}\mathrm{Var}_A(\mathbf{O}) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2(\hbar\Omega)^2}\ \langle \mathbf{O}^2\rangle_A - \langle \mathbf{O}\rangle_A^2\ ,$$

predicting an initial quadratic rise in entanglement entropy as stress builds. In the acute regime (α ≫ 1), S(A)′ may saturate or even decrease if amplitude suppression dominates, corresponding to flattened affect.

Spectral Decomposition and Resonant Modes

Let {oj} be the eigenbasis of O, Ooj = ojoj. Then

$$D(r, \vartheta)o_j = \exp\ -\frac{\alpha(r,\vartheta)}{\hbar\Omega}\, o_j\ o.$$

Each eigenmode acquires a stress-dependent scaling factor. Modes with larger oj are more strongly suppressed (if oj > 0) or amplified (if oj < 0), offering a resonant interpretation: clinical symptoms correspond to over-excitation of certain eigenmodes (e.g., hallucinatory loops) and damping of others (e.g., goal-directed thought).

Interaction with Scalar Fields

The field equations

$$_m\phi_Q + V_Q(\phi_Q) = \lambda_Q\ \langle\Psi|\mathbf{O}_Q|\Psi\rangle,\ _m\phi_{H'} + V_{H'}(\phi_{H'}) = g_{QH}\ \phi_Q$$

determine ϕQ(r, θ) and ϕH′ profiles on M. Feedback loops arise: deformation of Ψ alters ⟨OQ⟩, which in turn modifies ϕQ and thus α. This non-linear coupling can produce hysteresis and metastable regimes—theoretical analogues of prodromal and acute psychotic phases.

Despigettification and Reversibility

Provided a mass gap from BraeHiggs (ϕH′) prevents irreversible runaway, one can in principle apply the inverse operator

$$D^{-1}(r, \vartheta) = \exp + \frac{\alpha(r, \vartheta)}{\hbar\,\Omega}\mathbf{O}$$

to restore the original state. In practice, this requires dynamic tuning of scalar fields (through pharmacology or neuromodulation) and controlled modulation of mental curvature (e.g., via stress reduction techniques), ensuring quantum fidelity F = |⟨Ψ(0)|Ψ(ts)⟩|2 → 1.

V. EMOTIONAL EIGENVALUES & GEOMETRIC MODULATION

In this section we introduce the concept of emotional eigenvalues as the spectrum of an emotional operator acting on the mental Hilbert space, and we show how effective curved- spacetime geome- try—encoded by a Kerr-analogue metric—perturbs this spectrum, producing clinically observable distortions in affect and mood.

Emotional Operator and Eigenbasis

Let Hmind = (C2)⊗N denote the mental Hilbert space of N microtubule qubits. We select an M - dimensional emotional subspace spanned by orthonormal basis vectors {ek}M , each corresponding to a prototypical affective mode (e.g., joy, fear, anger). Define the emotional operator

$$\mathbf{E} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \epsilon_k\, e_k e_k,$$

where ϵk ∈ R are the emotional eigenvalues representing baseline intensity of each mode. A general cognitive state decomposes as

$$\Psi = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k e_k + (\text{orthogonal complement}), \quad c_k = e_k|\Psi.$$

The probability of experiencing mode k is |ck|2, and the initial mood metric can be characterized by the vector ϵ = (ϵ1, . . . , ϵM).

Geometry-Induced Perturbation

Under extreme stress, we postulate an effective mental spacetime with metric g(m) of Kerr type (Section II.B). Its curvature perturbs the emotional operator via a unitary geometry-modulation operator

$$\mathbf{G}(r, \vartheta) = \exp -\gamma \, \mathrm{K}_m(r, \vartheta) ,$$

where Km = R(m)

$R^{(m)\,\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the mental-spacetime Kretschmann scalar and γ a coupling con-stant. The deformed operator is

$$\mathbf{E}'(r, \vartheta) = \mathbf{G}(r, \vartheta)\, \mathbf{E}\, \mathbf{G}^{\dagger}(r, \vartheta).$$

Its spectrum {ϵ′ (r, θ)} satisfies

$$\mathbf{E}'(r,\theta)e'_k(r,\theta) = \epsilon'_k(r,\theta)\, e'_k(r,\theta),$$

with e′ = Gek.

First-Order Eigenvalue Shifts

For small curvature deviations δKm, standard perturbation theory yields

$$\delta\epsilon_k \approx e_k \, \mathbf{G}, \, \mathbf{E} \; e_k \approx -\gamma \, \delta\mathrm{K}_m \;\; \epsilon_k - \bar{\epsilon} ,$$

where ϵ¯ = 1 Σj ϵj is the mean eigenvalue. Thus:

- Modes with ϵk > ϵ¯ (e.g. high-arousal emotions) are amplified.
- Modes with ϵk < ϵ¯ (e.g. low-arousal states) are suppressed.

This asymmetry models clinical presentations such as mania (over-amplified affect) and depression (flattened affect).

Higher-Order and Nonlinear Effects

When curvature is large (δKm ∼ O(1)), second-order shifts and mode-mixing arise. The full perturbed spectrum solves the secular equation

$$\det \mathbf{E}'(r, \theta) - \epsilon I \;\; = 0$$

which can be expanded as

$$\epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k - \gamma\, \mathcal{K}_m \,(\epsilon_k - \bar{\epsilon}) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathcal{M}_{kk} + O(\mathcal{K}_m^3),$$

where Mkk = j̸=k |ejEek|2/(ϵk − ϵj). Nonlinear eigenvalue trajectories ϵ′ (r(t), θ(t)) can cross or bifurcate, offering a mechanism for abrupt mood swings or emotional lability.

Coupling with Spigettification Operator

The full emotional deformation combines geometric and scalar-field effects via

$$\mathbf{E}''(r, \vartheta) = D(r, \vartheta)\, \mathbf{E}'(r, \vartheta)\, D^{\dagger}(r, \vartheta),$$

where D(r, θ) = exp[α(r, θ)O/(ℏΩ)] is the spigettification operator (Section IV). The resulting eigenvalues ϵ′′(r, θ) incorporate both curvature-induced and stress-field-induced modulations, cap-turing the rich phenomenology of psychotic and affective episodes.

Clinical Correlates

We hypothesize the following mappings:

- Rapid Curvature Spikes: Sharp increases in Km (e.g. acute trauma) lead to sudden δϵk, manifesting as panic, flashbacks, or hallucinations.
- Chronic High Curvature: Sustained Km elevates baseline ϵ′ of high-arousal modes, mod- eling persistent anxiety or mania.
- DID Attractor Wells: In multi-minimum potentials for ϕH′ , different eigenvalue spectra {ϵk } correspond to distinct identity states, switched by crossing curvature thresholds.

These theoretical predictions can be tested against time-resolved EEG/fMRI measures of effec- tive affective state and network connectivity, providing a novel link between quantum-geometric modulation and clinical biomarkers.

VI. CLINICAL CORRELATES & BIOMARKERS

Our spigettification framework makes testable predictions at multiple scales of neural measurement. We outline specific electrophysiological, hemodynamic, and behavioral biomarkers that should map to quantum–geometric deformations of the mental Hilbert space.

EEG and MEG Signatures

High-density EEG and MEG offer millisecond-scale resolution of neural synchrony, enabling proxy measures of quantum entanglement entropy S(A). We predict:

- **Entropy Spikes:** Transient peaks in cross-channel coherence and phase-locking value (PLV) during positive-symptom emergence—hallucinations or delusional intrusions—correspond to local maxima of S(A) under spigettification [11, 12].
- **Entropy Suppression:** Sustained reductions in gamma-band (30–80Hz) power and long- range coherence correlate with negative symptoms (flattened affect, avolition), reflecting hypo-entangled subsystems [11, 14].
- **Cross-Frequency Effects:** Nonlinear coupling metrics—phase–amplitude coupling (PAC) between theta (4–8Hz) and gamma—should exhibit stress-dependent modulation indices that track α(r, θ) dynamics [15].

Analytically, one may construct a pseudo–density matrix from the coherence matrix Cij(t, f):

$$\rho(t,f) = \frac{C(t,f)}{\mathrm{Tr}\,C(t,f)}, \quad S(A;t,f) = -\big[\rho_A(t,f)\ln\rho_A(t,f)\big],$$

and test for the predicted spike/suppression patterns.

fMRI Functional Connectivity

Resting-state and task-based fMRI yield network-level correlates of spigettification:

- **Rich-Club Disruption:** We predict reduced rich-club coefficient Φ(k) in default-mode and salience hubs during acute α → ∞ episodes, mirroring fragmentation of the global superposition [13, 16].
- **Modular Reconfiguration:** Dynamic community detection should reveal abrupt shifts in optimal partitioning—high modularity Q states interspersed with low-modularity hyper-entangled states [15].
- **Entropy–Connectivity Coupling:** The inverse relationship

$$G_c \approx \frac{1}{1+\langle S(A)\rangle}$$

(Section IV) can be tested by correlating mean functional connectivity strength Gc with computed entropy from ρ(t) across sliding windows.

Dissociative Identity State Markers

In DID, we expect discrete biomarkers corresponding to attractor eigenstates:

- **State-Dependent Spectra:** Each identity state i carries a unique set of emotional eigen- values {ϵ′(i)} (Section ??), producing distinguishable topographies in EEG power and con- nectivity [17].
- **Switching Dynamics:** Transitions across identity barriers occur when α(r, θ) crosses a threshold, predicting rapid shifts in coherence and entropy on timescales of seconds to minutes.

Behavioral and Clinical Correlates

Cognitive tasks can probe spigettification-induced distortions:

- **Semantic Fluency:** Under high α, we anticipate reduced category-switching flexibility and perseverative errors, as certain semantic eigenmodes are over-amplified while others collapse.
- **Emotional Reactivity:** Valence-specific tasks (e.g. affective Go/No-Go) will reveal bias toward hyper-amplified emotional eigenvalues $\epsilon' > \bar{\epsilon}$, measured by reaction-time distributions and error rates.

Multimodal Integration Protocol

To validate our model, we propose a combined EEG–fMRI study:

1. **Baseline Acquisition:** Resting-state EEG/fMRI to estimate normative S(A) and Gc.
2. **Stress Induction:** Cognitive/emotional challenge (e.g. social evaluation task) to drive α(r, θ).
3. **Simultaneous Recording:** Capture rapid EEG changes and slower hemodynamic shifts.
4. **Intervention Phase:** Apply pharmacological or neuromodulatory "despigettifier" and monitor restoration of coherence and connectivity.

This comprehensive biomarker framework bridges our quantum–geometric theory with clinical neuroscience, offering quantifiable signatures of thought spigettification and targets for precision interventions.

VII. THERAPEUTIC DESPIGETTIFICATION

Having modeled how extreme stress and curvature distort mental superpositions via spigettifi- cation, we now outline reversible despigettification protocols: dynamic inversion of both scalar- field–induced and geometry-induced deformations, implemented through pharmacology, neuromod- ulation, and psychotherapy.

Inversion of Deformation and Geometry

The foundational goal is to apply the inverse operators

$$D^{-1}(r,\theta) = \exp\left[+\frac{\alpha(r,\theta)}{\lambda\Omega}\,\mathrm{O}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{G}^{-1}(r,\theta) = \exp\left[+\gamma\,K_m(r,\theta)\right],$$

thereby restoring the original state Ψhealthy = G−1D−1 Ψspig. Achieving this requires active mod- ulation of the BraeQuintessence field ϕQ (to reduce α) and attenuation of effective curvature Km. In practice, we map these abstract inversions onto clinical interventions that change neurochemical and electrophysiological parameters in real time.

Pharmacological Modulation

Antipsychotic and anxiolytic agents can be understood as modulators of ϕQ and ϕH′ :

- Dopamine D2 antagonists (e.g. risperidone, haloperidol) effectively lower the BraeQuintessence coupling λQ, reducing α(r, θ) and damping over-amplified eigenmodes [?].
- GABAergic agonists (e.g. benzodiazepines) enhance the BraeHiggs mass gap mH′ , stabi- lizing ϕQ fluctuations and preventing runaway deformation [11].
- Serotonergic agents (SSRIs) may tonically shift the effective mental-metric baseline, low- ering Mm and attenuating curvature peaks.

Optimal dosing regimens can be designed via closed-loop feedback: monitoring EEG/fMRI biomark- ers (Section ??) to titrate drug delivery in order to track α → 0.

Neuromodulation Interventions

Noninvasive brain stimulation can target spatiotemporal “hotspots” of spigettification:

- Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) applied to prefrontal cortex reduces local curvature surrogate measures (e.g. high-gamma desynchronization), effectively implementing G−1 in specific coordinates [18].
- Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) with cathodal electrodes can hyper- polarize neuronal populations, lowering ϕQ excitability in targeted modules.
- Closed-Loop Neurofeedback uses real-time EEG entropy estimates S(A) to drive adaptive stimulation: when S(A) exceeds a threshold, the system delivers corrective pulses, approxi- mating D−1 [19].

Psychotherapeutic Restructuring

Cognitive and behavioral therapies reshape the effective potentials VQ and VH′ :

- Cognitive–Behavioral Therapy (CBT) reframes maladaptive belief patterns, altering boundary conditions for ϕQ and guiding gradual descent from acute wells toward a single coherent ground state [20].
- Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) facilitates controlled cross- ing of the stress manifold’s inner horizon, enabling re-integration of traumatic memory eigen- states [21].
- Mindfulness and Stress Reduction practices lower the stress-mass parameter Mm, smooth- ing curvature peaks and preventing new spigettification episodes.

Evaluation Metrics and Clinical Protocols

To quantify despigettification efficacy, we propose:

- Quantum Fidelity F = |⟨Ψhealthy|Ψpost⟩|2, approximated via similarity of EEG/fMRI- derived entropy and connectivity patterns to baseline.
- Symptom Scales (e.g. PANSS, HAM-D) correlated with entanglement distance DE and emotional eigenvalue shifts δϵk.
- Randomized Controlled Trials comparing standard care vs. closed-loop, multi-modal de- spigettification protocols, with endpoints including relapse rate and cognitive-affective stabil- ity.

This multi-layered approach—combining pharmacology, neuromodulation, and psychotherapy to invert scalar-field and geometric deformations—offers a novel, mechanistically informed pathway to rapidly restore coherent cognitive states in severe mental disorders.

VIII. DISCUSSION

The framework of thought spigettification presented here offers a novel synthesis of quantum–field–theoretic concepts, curved-spacetime analogues, and clinical phenomenology. By treating coherent micro-tubule excitations as qubits in a mental Hilbert space and coupling them to scalar fields on a Kerr-type metric, we have shown how extreme stress and arousal can mathematically deform cog- nitive states. Positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia emerge naturally as the non-uniform stretching and suppression of quantum amplitudes, while dissociative identity disorder is interpreted as metastable occupation of multiple potential wells in the BraeHiggs–mediated landscape.

A core insight is that cognitive distortions can be quantified via two complementary spectra: the spigettification operator D(r, θ) encoding stress-field effects, and the geometry-modulation operator G(r, θ) encoding curvature-induced perturbations of emotional eigenvalues. This dual-spectrum view unifies affective and psychotic phenomena within a single mathematical language, enabling precise definitions of clinical constructs such as "hallucinatory eigenmodes" and "flattened-affect subspaces."

Mapping these quantum-geometric deformations to measurable biomarkers bridges microscopic and macroscopic descriptions. Entanglement entropy shifts provide a direct link to EEG/MEG coherence and phase-locking metrics, while network measures—modularity, rich-club coefficients, global efficiency—capture the large-scale reconfiguration of functional connectivity seen in fMRI. The proposed pseudo–density-matrix formalism offers a practical route to estimate quantum- inspired entropy from empirical data, transforming abstract predictions into testable hypotheses.

Nonetheless, this framework rests on several speculative assumptions. First, the viability of long-lived microtubule coherence in vivo remains controversial; thermal and environmental deco-herence mechanisms may severely limit quantum superposition lifetimes. Second, the introduction of an effective mental metric and its curvature invariants is a bold extrapolation from general rela-tivity, lacking direct neurobiological underpinning. Third, scalar-field couplings and their potentials (BraeQuintessence, BraeHiggs) are chosen for mathematical convenience rather than derived from first-principles biophysics. These limitations underscore the model's status as a conceptual rather than empirical theory.

Empirical validation poses significant challenges. Direct measurement of quantum entangle- ment in neural tissue is currently beyond reach, and the mapping from functional connectivity to an effective pseudo–density matrix involves nonunique assumptions. However, analogue gravity ex-periments—in Bose–Einstein condensates, nonlinear optical fibers, or circuit QED—could simulate key aspects of spigettification, providing laboratory platforms to test some operator dynamics in controlled settings.

Future work should focus on three directions. (1) Numerical simulations of the coupled field equations on simplified neural network topologies, to predict quantitative patterns of amplitude deformation and entanglement dynamics. (2) Parameter calibration using multimodal neuroimag- ing datasets: by fitting the spigettification strength α to observed EEG/fMRI signatures, one could infer effective model parameters (β, ζ, Mm, am). (3) Behavioral paradigms designed to mod- ulate stress-manifold coordinates in a graded fashion—e.g., virtual reality stress induction—while recording high-density EEG to capture the predicted entropy-connectivity trajectories.

Beyond its immediate clinical focus, thought spigettification invites broader reflection on the role of quantum processes in cognition and the utility of geometric analogies in neuroscience. If even a small fraction of these ideas holds under empirical scrutiny, they could revolutionize our understanding of mental illness, leading to precision interventions that target the “quantum geom- etry” of thought. At the very least, this framework stimulates interdisciplinary dialogue, pointing toward a deeper integration of physics, psychology, and neurology in the study of consciousness and psychopathology.

Acknowledgment
We thank our colleagues in physics and psychiatry for invaluable discussions that shaped this interdisciplinary exploration.

IX. CONCLUSION

In this work we have introduced and elaborated the concept of thought spigettification, casting extreme distortions of coherent mental states in severe psychiatric disorders into the language of quantum–field theory on a Kerr-analogue mental spacetime. By modeling neuronal microtubule assemblies as a Hilbert space of qubits and coupling them to three scalar fields—BraeQuintessence, BraeHiggs, and the Standard Model Higgs—we derived a unitary deformation operator D(r, θ) that non-uniformly stretches and suppresses amplitude components of the cognitive state. We showed how positive symptoms of schizophrenia map to over-amplified eigenmodes, negative symptoms to suppressed subspaces, and dissociative identity disorder to metastable multi–well potentials in the BraeHiggs landscape.

Our framework further defined emotional eigenvalues as a spectrum of affective modes and demonstrated how curvature invariants of the mental metric perturb these eigenvalues via a geometry- modulation operator G(r, θ). The combined spigettification–geometry deformation $E'' = D\, G\, E\, G^{-1} D^{-1}$ encapsulates the full psychotic and affective phenomenology within a single mathematical structure.

We proposed concrete mappings from these abstract operators to measurable clinical biomarkers—entanglement- inspired EEG/MEG coherence and cross-frequency coupling, dynamic fMRI network metrics, and behavioral indices such as semantic fluency and affective bias.

While highly speculative, this model offers several immediate avenues for empirical exploration. The pseudo–density-matrix formalism enables estimation of quantum-inspired entropy from electro- physiological and hemodynamic data. Analogue-gravity platforms in condensed-matter or photonic systems could simulate key operator dynamics under controlled conditions. Closed-loop pharmaco- logical and neuromodulatory protocols, guided by real-time biomarker feedback, provide a testbed for implementing the inverse deformation D^{-1} and geometry-modulation G^{-1}, potentially acceler- ating cognitive recovery.

We acknowledge important limitations: the viability of long-lived microtubule coherence in vivo remains unresolved; the mental-spacetime metric is a heuristic construct without direct neu- roanatomical mapping; and the scalar-field interactions are posited rather than derived from molec- ular neuroscience. Nonetheless, these bold abstractions stimulate cross-disciplinary dialogue, unit- ing quantum physics, geometry, and clinical neuroscience.

Looking ahead, we envision three key directions: (1) Numerical simulations of the coupled field–Hilbert-space dynamics on realistic network topologies to generate quantitative predictions; (2) Parameter inference from multimodal neuroimaging and electrophysiology to calibrate stress- mass M_m, emotional torque a_m, and coupling constants (β, ζ, γ); (3) Experimental analogues in engineered quantum systems to validate operator identities and phase transitions. If even a fraction of thought spigettification’s mathematical structure finds empirical support, it could revolutionize our theoretical understanding of mental illness and pave the way for precision, mechanism-driven interventions that target the “quantum geometry” of the mind.

REFERENCES

1. R. Penrose and S. Hameroff, "Consciousness in the universe: A review of the Orch-OR theory," Physics of Life Reviews, vol. 11, pp. 39–78, 2014.
2. M. Tegmark, "Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes," Phys. Rev. E, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 4194–4206, 2000.
3. T. J. A. Craddock, A. Tenneti, J. P. Lopez, A. M. Jones, and S. H. Hameroff, "Microtubule ionic conduction in neuronal computation: Implications for quantum brain dynamics," Journal of Integrative Neuroscience, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 267–278, 2012.
4. S. Sharma, D. C. O'Connell, and D. P. Chakrabarti, "Water-mediated quantum coherence in cytoskeletal proteins," J. Chemical Physics, vol. 146, no. 15, Art. 155101, 2017.
5. M. Olofsson and H. Karlsson, "Ultrafast spectroscopic investigation of tubulin dynamics," Optics Express, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 14923–14935, 2020.
6. M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, "Cavity optomechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys., vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 1391–1452, 2014.
7. F. Beck and J. C. Eccles, "Quantum aspects of brain function and consciousness," Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, vol. 373, no. 2041, Art. 20140219, 2015.
8. M. P. A. Fisher, "Quantum cognition: The possibility of quantum processes in the human brain," Physics Today, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 21–27, 2015.
9. S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 1983.
10. N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space. Cambridge, UK: Cam- bridge University Press, 1982.
11. P. J. Uhlhaas and W. Singer, "Neural synchrony in brain disorders: relevance for cognitive dysfunctions and pathophysiology," Neuron, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 155–168, 2008.
12. E. A. Allen, E. Damaraju, S. M. Plis, E. B. Erhardt, T. Eichele, and V. D. Calhoun, "Track- ing whole-brain connectivity dynamics in the resting state," Cerebral Cortex, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 663–676, 2014.
13. A. Fornito, A. Zalesky, and E. T. Bullmore, "Fundamentals of brain network analysis," Neu-roImage, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 773–784, 2012.
14. M.-E. Lynall et al., "Functional connectivity and brain networks in schizophrenia," Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 30, no. 28, pp. 9477–9487, 2010.
15. E. Damaraju et al., "Dynamic functional connectivity analysis reveals transient states of dysconnectivity in schizophrenia," NeuroImage: Clinical, vol. 5, pp. 298–308, 2014.
16. M. Rubinov and O. Sporns, "Complex network measures of brain connectivity: Uses and interpretations," NeuroImage, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1059–1069, 2010.
17. B. L. Brand et al., "Toward an empirical model of trauma-related dissociation: Implications for DID," Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 317–335, 2017.
18. J. P. Lefaucheur et al., "Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcra- nial magnetic stimulation (rTMS)," Clinical Neurophysiology, vol. 125, no. 11, pp. 2150–2206, 2014.
19. T. Ros et al., "Closed-loop neuromodulation in psychiatry and neurology: Challenges and opportunities," NeuroImage, vol. 85, pp. 181–192, 2015.
20. A. T. Beck, "Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders," International Universities Press, 1977.
21. F. Shapiro, "Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR): Basic principles, pro-tocols, and procedures," The Guilford Press, 1989.