

Structural Design and Performance Evaluation of a 3D Printer

Balamurugan J, Logeshwaran D, Mohan E , Suresh G

Students of engineering Agni college of technology Chennai, India

Abstract- Structural design and performance evaluation of a 3D printer, focusing on improving stability, dimensional accuracy, and overall print quality. In many low-cost fused deposition modelling (FDM) printers, structural limitations such as weak frames and improper alignment lead to vibrations and inconsistent results. To address these issues, this study emphasizes the development of a rigid and well-aligned mechanical structure that minimizes unwanted motion during operation. The printer was assembled carefully with attention to frame rigidity, motion system alignment, and extrusion stability. Experimental evaluation was carried out using dimensional accuracy testing, print speed versus quality analysis, and repeatability assessment.

Keywords- 3D Printing, Additive Manufacturing, Fused Deposition Modelling, Structural Design, Dimensional Accuracy, Print Quality, Frame Stability, Performance Evaluation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Additive manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, has revolutionized modern manufacturing by enabling the production of complex geometries through a layer-by-layer material deposition process. Unlike conventional subtractive methods, additive manufacturing reduces material waste and allows greater design flexibility. Among various techniques, Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) is one of the most widely used due to its simplicity, affordability, and accessibility.

Despite its advantages, FDM printers— especially low-cost systems—often face challenges related to print quality and dimensional accuracy. These issues are primarily caused by structural instability, vibrations, and improper alignment of mechanical components. A weak or poorly designed frame can lead to motion errors, which directly affect the surface finish and dimensional precision of printed parts.

This study focuses on improving the structural design of a 3D printer and evaluating its performance through practical testing. The main objective is to understand how structural rigidity and proper assembly influence printing quality. By analysing different

performance parameters, this work aims to provide a clear understanding of how to achieve reliable and consistent results using a cost-effective 3D printing system.

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted in this study involves three major stages: design consideration, assembly, and performance evaluation. The entire process was carried out systematically to ensure accurate results and reliable conclusions.

Initially, the design focused on creating a rigid and stable frame capable of minimizing vibrations during operation. Material selection played an important role, as it directly affects the strength and weight of the structure. A balance was maintained between cost and performance by selecting materials that provide adequate rigidity without increasing overall cost significantly.

The assembly process was carried out step by step, ensuring proper alignment of all components. Special attention was given to the installation of linear motion systems, including guide rails, belts, and lead screws, as these components directly influence motion accuracy. The extrusion system was

also carefully assembled to ensure smooth filament flow and consistent material deposition.

After assembly, calibration procedures such as bed levelling, axis alignment, and extrusion tuning were performed. These steps are essential to ensure that the printer operates under optimal conditions.

Finally, performance evaluation was conducted through experimental tests. Dimensional accuracy was measured by comparing printed models with their original dimensions. Print speed versus quality analysis was performed to understand the trade-off between efficiency and output quality. Repeatability tests were conducted by printing the same model multiple times to evaluate consistency.

III. FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY

The fabrication process mainly involved assembling the 3D printer from pre-manufactured components. The process was carried out in a controlled and systematic manner to ensure proper alignment and structural stability.

The assembly began with the base frame, which serves as the foundation of the printer. Ensuring a flat and stable base was essential to prevent unwanted vibrations during operation. Vertical supports were then installed and aligned carefully to maintain the geometry of the structure.

The gantry system was mounted onto the frame, followed by the installation of linear motion components such as guide rails and bearings. These components were aligned precisely to ensure smooth and frictionless movement. Stepper motors were mounted and connected to the motion system using belts and lead screws.

The extrusion system, including the extruder and hot end, was installed on the moving carriage. Proper alignment of the filament path was ensured to avoid extrusion issues. The build platform was then installed and levelled to maintain a consistent distance between the nozzle and the printing surface.

Electrical connections were completed by connecting the control board, power supply, and interface components. Proper cable management was maintained to avoid interference with moving parts. After assembly, the printer was tested and calibrated to ensure proper functionality.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of the 3D printer was evaluated through dimensional accuracy, print speed versus quality, and repeatability tests. The results obtained from these tests provide a clear understanding of the printer's capabilities and limitations.

Dimensional accuracy testing showed that most deviations were within ± 0.2 mm, indicating that the printer can produce reasonably accurate parts suitable for general applications. Minor deviations were observed due to material shrinkage and extrusion variations, which are common in FDM printing.

The print speed versus quality analysis revealed a clear trade-off between printing speed and output quality. At lower speeds, the printer produced smoother surfaces and better dimensional accuracy due to stable motion and consistent material deposition. As the speed increased, the quality of prints decreased due to increased vibrations and reduced extrusion control. This confirms that selecting an appropriate speed is essential for achieving optimal performance.

Repeatability testing demonstrated that the printer could produce consistent results with minimal variation between prints. This indicates that the system is reliable when operated under stable conditions and proper calibration.

Overall, the results highlight the importance of structural stability and parameter optimization in achieving high-quality prints. The combination of a rigid frame, proper alignment, and controlled operating conditions leads to improved performance.

V. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the structural design of a 3D printer plays a crucial role in determining its performance. A rigid and well-aligned frame significantly reduces vibrations, leading to improved dimensional accuracy and surface finish. The experimental results confirm that proper assembly and calibration are essential for achieving reliable and consistent printing results.

The analysis of print speed versus quality highlights the importance of selecting suitable operating parameters. A balanced approach between speed and quality is necessary to achieve efficient and high-quality printing. Overall, the study provides valuable insights into the design and evaluation of cost-effective 3D printing systems.

Acknowledgement

This work has been supervised by assistant professor Dr. R. Arularasan within the project.

REFERENCES

1. Sun, Q., Rizvi, G., Bellehumeur, C., & Gu, P. (2008). Effect of processing conditions on the bonding quality of FDM polymer filaments. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 14(2), 72–80.
2. Sukindar, N. A., Md Yasir, A. S. H., Azhar, M. D., et al. (2024). Evaluation of the surface roughness and dimensional accuracy of low-cost 3D-printed parts made of PLA.
3. Hamoud, M., Elshalakany, A. B., Gamil, (2024). Investigating the influence of 3D printing parameters on the mechanical characteristics of FDM fabricated (PLA/Cu) composite material. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*.
4. Sultan, M. M., Aized, T., Farooq, M., Anwar, S., Ahmad, N., Tauseef, A., & Riaz, F. (2025). Optimization of PETG 3D printing parameters.
5. Verim, Ö., Saeed, O., Eid, M. H., Mahmoud, S. F., Saleh, D. I., & Elshawadfy, A. (2025). Investigation of the effects of 3D printing parameters on mechanical tests of PLA parts produced by MEX 3D printing using Taguchi method
6. Balasubramainian, N. K., Kothandaramana, L., Sathisha, T., Girib, J., & Ammarullahc, M. I. (2024). Optimization of process parameters to minimize circularity error and surface roughness in fused deposition modelling (FDM) using Taguchi method for biomedical implant fabrication. *Advanced Manufacturing: Polymer & Composites Science*, 10(1), Article 2406156.
7. Yadav Pushpendra - Research Studies on Fused Deposition Additive Manufacturing 3d Printer System-It aims to optimize and standardize cost effective, high-throughput indigenous FDM technology through improved understanding of parameter–performance relationships.
8. Ben Said, L., Ayadi, B., Alharbi, S., & Dammak, F. (2025). Recent advances in additive manufacturing: A review of current developments and future directions. *Machines*, 13(9), 813.
9. Kumar, R., Mehdi, H., Bhati, S. S., et al. (2025). A comprehensive review of advancements in additive manufacturing for 3D printed medical components using diverse materials. *Discover Materials*, 5, 152.
10. Patel, K., Rathod, Y., Pandya, V., Bhatt, Y., Chavda, M., & Purohit, A. (2025). Development and evaluation of a cost-effective desktop 3D printing system for rapid prototyping. *International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering, Management & Applied Science*, 14(6).