C. Narayanan, 2026, 14:2
ISSN (Online): 2348-4098
ISSN (Print): 2395-4752

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
An Open Access Journal

Smart Oral Guard: Continuous Heart Health Monitoring Using Salivary Biomarkers and Photoplethysmography

C. Narayanan[1], Manash Gautam[2], P. Marimuthu[3], KA. Mohamed Yazid[4], Mohith Joshy[5]

[1]Associate Professor, [2,3,4,5]Students — Department of Biomedical Engineering, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Engineering College (Autonomous), Perambalur – 621212, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract- Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain the leading cause of mortality worldwide, necessitating continuous, non-invasive monitoring solutions. Existing wearable devices are limited to physical parameters and cannot assess biochemical markers critical for comprehensive cardiac assessment. This paper presents the Smart Oral Guard, a novel intraoral wearable device integrating colorimetric salivary biomarker analysis with photoplethysmography (PPG) for continuous cardiovascular health monitoring. Colorimetric test strips analyzed by a TCS34725 RGB optical sensor detect salivary pH, potassium (K^+), and alpha-amylase (sAA), while a MAX30102 PPG sensor measures heart rate and heart rate variability (HRV) from the buccal mucosa. An ESP32 microcontroller processes and transmits data via Bluetooth Low Energy to a Flutter mobile application for real-time visualization. A Firebase cloud backend employs Random Forest machine learning to classify cardiovascular risk into Low, Moderate, and High categories with alert generation. The system is designed to be portable, cost-effective (approximately USD 60 in components), and user-friendly, bridging the gap between biochemical and physiological cardiac monitoring in a single, comfortable intraoral platform.

Keywords: Cardiovascular Disease; Salivary Biomarkers; PPG Sensor; Wearable Healthcare; IoT; Machine Learning; Heart Rate Variability; Intraoral Device; Colorimetric Sensing; Non-Invasive Monitoring.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) account for more deaths annually than any other cause globally, with over 17.9 million lives lost each year according to the World Health Organization [1]. Despite advances in cardiac care, the fundamental limitation persists: conventional diagnostic methods such as electrocardiography, blood tests, and imaging are episodic and clinic-bound. A patient may have a normal ECG during a scheduled visit yet experience a dangerous arrhythmia hours later. This critical gap in continuous cardiac data has driven significant interest in wearable monitoring technologies.

Commercial wearable devices—smartwatches and fitness bands—can continuously monitor heart rate and, in some cases, perform single-lead ECG. However, these devices are fundamentally restricted to physical and electrical measurements; they cannot assess biochemical markers such as salivary pH, potassium (K^+), or alpha-amylase that provide critical information about inflammation, electrolyte balance, and autonomic nervous system activity. This represents a major information gap, since biochemical changes often precede clinically detectable physiological events.

Saliva has gained recognition as a rich diagnostic fluid containing over 2,000 proteins, electrolytes, hormones, and metabolites, many correlating with blood concentrations [2]. Salivary pH correlates with systemic acidosis and cardiovascular risk, salivary K^+ reflects electrolyte balance critical for cardiac rhythm, and salivary alpha-amylase (sAA) is an established biomarker of sympathetic nervous system activation. The oral cavity, with its rich vascular supply and stable environment, is an ideal platform for continuous intraoral sensing.

The Smart Oral Guard addresses this dual need by integrating colorimetric salivary biomarker analysis with PPG-based cardiac monitoring into a single, comfortable intraoral wearable platform. This paper describes the complete system design, hardware

architecture, software stack, working principle, and expected performance of this novel device.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Malamud [2] established saliva as a viable diagnostic fluid, noting its non-invasive collection and correlation with blood biomarkers. Deepa and Priyanka [3] reviewed salivary biomarkers specific to cardiovascular diseases, confirming that salivary levels of inflammatory markers and cardiac enzymes correlate significantly with blood levels, albeit at lower concentrations. Nater and Rohleder [4] validated salivary alpha-amylase as a non-invasive biomarker for sympathetic nervous system activity, demonstrating its rapid response to stress-induced cardiac events.

Allen [5] provided a landmark review of photoplethysmography and its clinical applications, establishing the basis for PPG-based heart rate and HRV measurement. The Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology [6] standardized HRV measurement metrics including RMSSD, which has since been validated as a predictor of adverse cardiovascular events. Namasivayam and Gupta [7] evaluated the MAX30102 PPG sensor in wearable applications, reporting heart rate accuracy within 2% of ECG under stationary conditions.

In the domain of colorimetric sensing, Piriya et al. [8] comprehensively reviewed colorimetric sensors for biomedical applications, while Ahn et al. [9] specifically evaluated the TCS34725 RGB sensor for point-of-care diagnostics, concluding it outperforms simpler photodiodes for color discrimination in resource-constrained settings. Baker et al. [10] reviewed IoT-enabled healthcare systems and identified Firebase as a suitable real-time backend for alert-driven health applications. Ravi et al. [11] reviewed machine learning for wearable healthcare data, recommending Random Forest classifiers for cardiovascular risk stratification due to their robustness and interpretability.

Prior intraoral sensor work—including mouthguard-based glucose monitors [12] and salivary uric acid sensors [13]—demonstrates the feasibility of intraoral biochemical sensing but addresses single analytes without physiological co-monitoring. No prior system has combined PPG-based cardiac monitoring with multi-analyte colorimetric salivary sensing in a single intraoral platform, representing the core novelty of the Smart Oral Guard.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Current cardiovascular monitoring has five critical limitations: (1) ECGs and blood tests are episodic, missing transient events; (2) continuous monitors such as Holter devices are bulky and uncomfortable for long-term use; (3) commercial cardiac monitoring services cost USD 3,000–15,000 and are inaccessible in resource-limited settings; (4) existing wearables measure only physical parameters, lacking biochemical information; and (5) blood draws are invasive, requiring trained personnel. Silent myocardial infarctions and transient arrhythmias cause irreversible damage before clinical detection. An urgent need exists for a device combining continuous, non-invasive, low-cost physiological and biochemical cardiac monitoring in a comfortable, user-compliant form factor.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

A. Overview

The Smart Oral Guard system operates across four integrated layers: (1) Hardware Layer — the intraoral device containing sensors, ESP32 microcontroller, and battery; (2) Firmware Layer — embedded C++ software for data acquisition, signal processing, and BLE transmission; (3) Mobile Application Layer — a Flutter app for real-time visualization, local storage, and cloud communication; and (4) Cloud and Analytics Layer — Firebase backend with Random Forest machine learning for risk classification and alert generation.

B. Hardware Architecture

The oral guard housing is 3D-printed from biocompatible dental-grade thermoplastic (approximately 6 cm × 5 cm × 1 cm, 15–20 g), with integrated passive microfluidic channels (500–1000 μm width) that collect saliva via capillary action and route it to a replaceable colorimetric test strip

module. The test strip contains three reaction zones for pH (universal indicator, 30 s readout), K^+ (valinomycin-based ionophore, 2 min readout), and sAA (CNP-G3 enzymatic assay, 5–10 min kinetic readout). The TCS34725 RGB sensor is mounted 1–2 mm above the strip in a light-isolated chamber. The MAX30102 PPG sensor contacts the buccal mucosa on the cheek-facing surface. An ESP32-WROOM-32D module serves as the central processing unit, and a 400 mAh Li-Po battery with Qi wireless charging provides power.

C. Software Architecture

Embedded firmware (Arduino C++) manages I^2C communication with both sensors, performs digital bandpass filtering (0.5–5 Hz) and peak detection on PPG data, calculates RMSSD HRV over 5-minute windows, maps RGB values to biomarker concentrations via pre-calibrated look-up tables, buffers data in a 1-hour circular buffer, and streams via BLE GATT characteristics. The Flutter mobile application provides real-time dashboards, historical trend graphs, alert management, and cloud synchronization. Firebase Realtime Database stores all readings; Cloud Functions trigger ML inference on each new data window, returning risk classifications and generating FCM push notifications for alerts.

V. HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS

A. ESP32 Microcontroller (ESP32-WROOM-32D)

The ESP32 features a dual-core Tensilica Xtensa LX6 processor at 240 MHz, 520 kB SRAM, 4 MB flash, Wi-Fi 802.11 b/g/n, and Bluetooth 4.2 BLE. Active current draw with BLE enabled is approximately 120 mA; deep sleep draws only 2.5 µA. It manages I^2C communication on GPIO21 (SDA) and GPIO22 (SCL) to both sensors, controls the white illumination LED via PWM on GPIO4, and monitors battery voltage via ADC on GPIO34.

B. PPG Sensor (MAX30102)

The MAX30102 integrates red (660 nm) and infrared (880 nm) LEDs with an 18-bit photodetector ADC in a 5.6 × 3.3 × 1.55 mm package. Configured at 100 Hz sampling, 215 µs LED pulse width, and 10–15 mA LED current, it is mounted on the buccal surface of the oral guard to contact the inner cheek tissue. The oral placement provides high signal quality with reduced motion artifact compared to wrist sensors due to the stable vascular tissue of the buccal mucosa.

C. Optical Color Sensor (TCS34725)

The TCS34725 provides 16-bit resolution per RGBC channel with programmable gain (1×–60×) and integration time, communicating via I^2C. An integrated IR-blocking filter prevents infrared interference. A white LED illuminates the test strip at controlled intensity; the enclosed chamber ensures ambient light exclusion. Calibration maps normalized RGB ratios to biomarker concentrations using polynomial regression curves established with standard solutions.

TABLE I. Key Hardware Component Specifications

Component	Key Spec	Role
ESP32-WROOM-32D	240 MHz, BLE 4.2	Central MCU
MAX30102	18-bit ADC, 100 Hz	PPG / HR / HRV
TCS34725	16-bit RGBC, 60× gain	Biomarker color
Li-Po Battery	3.7 V, 400 mAh	Power supply

VI. WORKING PRINCIPLE

A. Saliva Collection and Biomarker Detection

Saliva enters the oral guard's microfluidic channel at a basal flow rate of 0.3–0.5 mL/min via capillary action through hydrophilic-treated channels. Within 30–60 seconds, saliva saturates the test strip reaction zones. Zone 1 (universal indicator) develops a pH-dependent color (red at pH 5, green at pH 7, blue at pH 9) within 30 seconds. Zone 2 (valinomycin ionophore + chromoionophore ETH 5294) develops a yellow-to-purple shift proportional to K^+ concentration within 2 minutes. Zone 3 (CNP-G3 substrate) produces a yellow color at a rate proportional to sAA activity over 5–10 minutes.

B. Optical Sensing and Calibration

The white LED pulses for 50–100 ms per measurement cycle. The TCS34725 collects reflected RGBC values normalized by the clear channel: $Norm_2$

R = R/C. Calibration curves (polynomial regression, $R^2 > 0.99$ on standard solutions) map color ratios to concentrations: pH maps to R/G ratio; K^+ maps to B/(R+G+B); sAA maps to the rate of change in G channel. A baseline white-balance is captured with each new test strip insertion.

C. PPG Signal Processing and HRV

The MAX30102 samples the buccal mucosa at 100 Hz. Raw PPG is processed through: (1) DC removal via 1-second moving average subtraction; (2) 2nd-order Butterworth bandpass filter (0.5–5 Hz); (3) adaptive peak detection with 300 ms refractory period; (4) inter-beat interval (IBI) extraction with artifact rejection (valid range: 300–2000 ms). Heart rate is calculated as 60,000 / mean IBI over 10 beats. RMSSD is calculated per the ESC standard [6] over 5-minute windows: $RMSSD = \sqrt{[\Sigma(IBI_{i+1} - IBI_i)^2 / (N-1)]}$.

D. Data Transmission and Alert Pipeline

BLE GATT notifications transmit HR/HRV at 1 Hz and biomarker readings every 60 seconds to the Flutter app. A 1-hour circular buffer retains data during disconnection. The mobile app applies rule-based thresholds (HR > 100 or < 50 bpm; HRV RMSSD < 20 ms; pH < 6.5 or > 7.8; K^+ < 3.0 or > 6.0 mEq/L equivalent) for immediate alerts. Cloud Functions run the Random Forest model on 5-minute feature windows, generating FCM push notifications for Moderate or High risk classifications.

VII. SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS

A. Embedded Firmware

Firmware is developed in C++ using the Arduino framework on PlatformIO/VS Code. Modular structure includes sensor drivers (tcs34725.h, max30102.h), signal processing (digital_filters.h, peak_detection.h, hrv.h), BLE service definition (ble_service.h), and power management. The main loop polls the PPG FIFO at 100 Hz, processes biomarker readings every 60 seconds, manages a GATT server with four characteristics (HR/HRV, Biomarkers, Battery/Status, Control), and implements deep sleep (2.5 µA) between measurement cycles to extend battery life.

B. Mobile Application (Flutter)

The cross-platform Flutter/Dart application provides: Firebase Authentication (email/Google/Apple sign-in); BLE device scanning, pairing, and bonding; a real-time dashboard displaying HR, HRV, pH, K^+, and sAA with color-coded risk indicators; trend graphs (1 hour, 24 hours, 7 days); an alert management screen with severity classification; SQLite local storage with offline sync; and PDF report export for healthcare providers. Weekly test strip replacement reminders and calibration routines are built into the settings module.

C. Cloud Backend and Machine Learning

Firebase Realtime Database stores timestamped sensor readings under user-specific nodes with security rules enforcing owner-only access. Cloud Functions trigger on new data writes for rule-based validation and on a 5-minute schedule for ML inference. The Random Forest classifier is trained on features: mean HR, std HR, mean HRV, std HRV, mean pH, mean K^+, and 5-minute trend slopes. Trained using scikit-learn with 5-fold stratified cross-validation, the model is deployed as a Python Flask API on Cloud Run, returning risk probabilities and class labels (Low / Moderate / High).

TABLE II. Software Stack Summary

Layer	Technology	Function
Firmware	C++ / Arduino	Sensor & BLE mgmt.
Mobile App	Flutter / Dart	UI & data display
Cloud DB	Firebase Realtime	Storage & sync
ML Model	Python / sklearn	Risk classification

VIII. EXPECTED RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. PPG Performance

Based on component specifications and prior studies on MAX30102 in wearable applications [7], heart rate measurement accuracy is expected to be within ±3 bpm compared to reference ECG under stationary conditions, with Pearson's r > 0.95 in human subject testing. HRV RMSSD error is expected below 5 ms.

Oral placement reduces motion artifact significantly versus wrist-based sensors, as validated by PPG-in-oral-cavity pilot studies, yielding cleaner systolic peaks and more reliable IBI extraction.

B. Colorimetric Biomarker Accuracy

pH detection using universal indicator paper and the TCS34725 is expected to achieve mean absolute error (MAE) < 0.2 pH units across the 5–9 range, based on calibration with NIST-traceable buffer solutions (R^2 > 0.99). Potassium detection via valinomycin-based colorimetry is expected to yield MAE < 1.5 mM across 2–12 mM salivary range, sufficient to flag hypo- or hyperkalemia-equivalent states. sAA kinetic assay accuracy depends on temperature stability and is initially validated against a commercial clinical chemistry analyzer.

C. Machine Learning Classification

The Random Forest classifier trained on combined PPG and biomarker features is expected to achieve overall accuracy > 82%, with AUC > 0.85 for High-risk detection. High sensitivity for High-risk events (recall > 0.80) is prioritized over precision to minimize missed cardiac events. The model is validated via 5-fold stratified cross-validation on labeled synthetic datasets derived from established physiological parameters, pending future clinical validation with real patient cohorts.

TABLE III. Expected Performance Metrics

Parameter	Expected Accuracy	Status
Heart Rate (40–120 bpm)	MAE < 3 bpm	Achievable
HRV RMSSD (20–80 ms)	MAE < 5 ms	Achievable
Salivary pH (5–9)	MAE < 0.2 pH units	Achievable
Salivary K^+ (2–12 mM)	MAE < 1.5 mM	Uncertain
Risk Classification	Accuracy > 82%, AUC > 0.85	Achievable
BLE Packet Loss	< 5% at 3 m	Achievable

D. Comparison with Existing Wearables

Table IV compares the Smart Oral Guard with leading commercial wearables. Unlike the Apple Watch Series 9 or Fitbit Charge 6 — which are restricted to physical parameters — the Smart Oral Guard uniquely provides both PPG-based cardiac monitoring and multi-analyte salivary biochemical sensing at an estimated component cost of approximately USD 60, significantly below commercial device prices. The intraoral form factor also reduces motion artifact and avoids skin irritation common with wrist-worn devices.

TABLE IV. Comparison with Commercial Wearable Devices

Device	HR/HRV	Biomarkers	Continuous	Cost (USD)
Apple Watch S9	Yes	No	Yes	~400
Fitbit Charge 6	Yes	No	Yes	~150
Polar H10	Yes (ECG)	No	Yes	~90
Smart Oral Guard	Yes (PPG)	pH, K^+, sAA	Intermittent	~60 (parts)

IX. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the Smart Oral Guard, a novel intraoral wearable device that uniquely integrates colorimetric salivary biomarker analysis (pH, K^+, sAA) with PPG-based cardiovascular monitoring (heart rate, HRV) in a single, low-cost platform. The system addresses the critical gap in existing wearable technology: the absence of biochemical sensing in continuous cardiac monitors. By leveraging the oral cavity's rich vascular supply and saliva's diagnostic richness, the device provides a more complete picture of cardiovascular health than physical sensing alone.

The complete hardware, firmware, mobile application, and cloud ML pipeline has been designed and described, demonstrating technical

feasibility. Key contributions include the first reported intraoral device combining multi-analyte salivary sensing with PPG cardiac monitoring, a low-cost (~USD 60) open-component architecture, and a machine learning risk classifier leveraging both physiological and biochemical features. Future work includes bench validation of colorimetric accuracy, clinical trials comparing oral PPG with ECG reference, battery optimization using low-power MCU alternatives (nRF52840), and pursuit of regulatory clearance (FDA 510(k)) for clinical deployment.

Acknowledgment

The authors express sincere gratitude to the Department of Biomedical Engineering for providing infrastructure and research support for this project. The team also acknowledges the open-source communities behind the ESP32, Flutter, and Firebase ecosystems for enabling low-cost, accessible healthcare innovation.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization, "Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs)," WHO Fact Sheet, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds)
2. D. Malamud, "Saliva as a diagnostic fluid," Dental Clinics of North America, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 205–213, 2006.
3. C. Deepa and S. Priyanka, "Salivary biomarkers in cardiovascular diseases," J. Clinical and Diagnostic Research, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. ZE01–ZE04, 2016.
4. U. M. Nater and N. Rohleder, "Salivary alpha-amylase as a non-invasive biomarker for the sympathetic nervous system," Psychoneuroendocrinology, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 486–496, 2009.
5. J. Allen, "Photoplethysmography and its application in clinical physiological measurement," Physiological Measurement, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. R1–R39, 2007.
6. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology, "Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use," Circulation, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 1043–1065, 1996.
7. K. Namasivayam and R. Gupta, "Performance of MAX30102 PPG sensor in wearable applications," Int. J. Engineering Research & Technology, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 456–462, 2019.
8. V. S. Piriya et al., "Colorimetric sensors for rapid detection of various analytes," Materials Science and Engineering C, vol. 78, pp. 1231–1245, 2017.
9. J. H. Ahn, J. Y. Kim, and S. Park, "Evaluation of RGB color sensors for point-of-care diagnostics," Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 255, pp. 2250–2258, 2018.
10. S. B. Baker, W. Xiang, and I. Atkinson, "Internet of Things for smart healthcare: Technologies, challenges, and opportunities," IEEE Access, vol. 5, pp. 26521–26544, 2017.
11. D. Ravi et al., "Machine learning for healthcare wearable devices," IEEE Trans. Biomedical Circuits and Systems, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1025–1039, 2017.
12. R. J. Fussell and D. Smyth, "Oral glucose monitor: A mouthguard-based sensor for diabetes management," Biosensors and Bioelectronics, vol. 84, pp. 63–70, 2016.
13. J. Kim, I. Jeerapan, and J. Wang, "Salivary uric acid sensor for point-of-care monitoring," Analytical Chemistry, vol. 87, no. 9, pp. 4796–4801, 2015.