Sumeru Ray, 2026, 14:6
ISSN (Online): 2348-4098
ISSN (Print): 2395-4752

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
An Open Access Journal

Unveiling the Hidden Reality of Space

Sumeru Ray
Ray's Unified Gravity Theory

Abstract- This paper develops a foundational theoretical framework for Space Element (SE) and Primordial Centripetal Attraction (PCA), proposing that physical space is not an empty background but a continuous, all-pervading medium possessing intrinsic physical properties. Within this framework, PCA is hypothesized to be an inherent and inherited property of SE, originating in the primordial physical condition of the universe and persisting throughout its subsequent evolution. Although its intrinsic existence is proposed to be universal, its effective manifestation is hypothesized to vary according to spatial position, local SE density, matter density, and the progressive sequence of cosmic expansion events. A fundamental distinction is established between Intrinsic PCA, representing the underlying property of SE, and Effective PCA, representing the variable physical manifestation of that property under different environmental and cosmological conditions. The framework proposes that PCA was exceptionally strong in the extremely dense primordial state of the universe. As cosmic expansion progressed through successive physical configurations, the effective influence of PCA is hypothesized to have changed. It further considers the possibility that effective PCA decreases with increasing distance from a proposed primordial cosmic centre. The paper develops a preliminary mathematical architecture for these relationships, identifies the distinction between scalar intrinsic properties and directional physical effects, and examines the requirements for deriving a physically meaningful PCA acceleration and its coupling to matter. The framework is presented as a proposed physical hypothesis rather than an experimentally established theory. Its mathematical closure, compatibility with established gravitational observations, and empirical testability remain subjects for further investigation.

Keywords- Space Element, Primordial Centripetal Attraction, PCA, intrinsic property of space, cosmic medium, SE density, matter density, cosmic expansion, centripetal acceleration, emergent gravity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Gravity is one of the fundamental phenomena governing the physical structure and evolution of the universe.

Newtonian gravitation successfully describes a wide range of gravitational phenomena in the classical limit. General Relativity provides a more comprehensive geometric description, explaining gravitational phenomena through the curvature of spacetime and successfully accounting for numerous observations.

Nevertheless, the physical origin and deeper nature of gravitational phenomena remain important subjects of theoretical investigation.

The present research explores an alternative foundational possibility: whether gravitational attraction may emerge from the intrinsic properties and physical behaviour of an all-pervading cosmic medium.

Within the proposed Ray Unified Gravity framework, this medium is called the Space

Element (SE)
SE is hypothesized to exist continuously throughout the universe. It is not considered an empty background surrounding material objects. Rather, all physical structures, including planets, stars, and cosmic systems, are understood to exist within an environment filled with SE.

The second central concept is Primordial Centripetal Attraction (PCA).

PCA is proposed as an intrinsic property of SE, inherited from the primordial physical state of the universe and continuously present throughout its subsequent evolution.

© 2026 Sumeru Ray. his is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

The principal objective of this paper is to establish a more precise conceptual and mathematical foundation for PCA, particularly its intrinsic nature, variable effectiveness, relationship with SE and matter density, and possible role in generating centripetal gravitational manifestations.
This investigation deliberately excludes the separate Event-Interval Time Theory. Cosmic expansion is treated here as a progressive sequence of physical events and changing configurations.

II. FOUNDATIONAL CONCEPT OF SPACE ELEMENT (SE)

1. SE as an All-Pervading Medium

The first foundational hypothesis is that physical space is not fundamentally empty.

SE is proposed to fill the entire physical domain of the universe, both within and outside material structures.

Accordingly, the model considers the following regions to be occupied by SE:

- Interplanetary space.
- Interstellar space.
- Intergalactic space.
- Regions surrounding and between material objects.
- Internal regions of matter, including atomic and subatomic domains, subject to further investigation.

Thus, matter does not exist outside SE. Material structures are embedded within the continuous SE medium.

This hypothesis differs from the conventional treatment of vacuum as a physical state described by quantum fields and other vacuum properties. The proposed SE must therefore be given an operational definition that distinguishes it from existing physical descriptions.

2. Proposed Physical Properties of SE

For the development of a mathematical model, SE is provisionally assigned the following characteristics:

- Continuity throughout physical space.
- The capacity for compression and expansion.
- A definable physical density.
- The possibility of spatial density variations.
- An intrinsic association with PCA.
- The capacity to interact with matter.

These characteristics constitute the proposed physical foundation of SE. They are not yet experimentally established properties.

3. SE Density

The model introduces the local SE density as:

$$\rho_{SE} = \rho_{SE}(x, n)$$

where:

- ρ_{SE} is the local density of SE.
- x represents spatial position.
- n represents the ordered stage of cosmic physical evolution.

The use of nn does not introduce a separate time theory. It simply labels successive physical configurations in the proposed cosmic expansion sequence.

The physical unit of SE density must ultimately be established through an operational definition. If SE is treated as a medium with mass-equivalent density, a possible SI unit is kg m −3. Whether that is the correct physical interpretation remains open.

4. SE and Matter

Matter is hypothesized to exist within SE and to influence its local physical configuration.

In particular, a dense object such as a planet or star is proposed to produce a modification in the density distribution of the surrounding SE.

The model considers the possibility that local SE density becomes enhanced around a dense material

object and that this enhancement decreases with increasing distance from the object.

The precise relationship between matter density and SE density must be established through a constitutive and coupling model.

III. PRIMORDIAL CENTRIPETAL ATTRACTION (PCA)

1. Fundamental Definition

Primordial Centripetal Attraction is proposed as an intrinsic property of SE, inherited from the primordial physical condition of the universe.

It is not initially defined as an external force imposed upon SE.

Instead, PCA is treated as an inherent property whose physical manifestation depends on the configuration and physical condition of the SE medium and its relationship with matter.
The central proposition is:

PCA is intrinsically present in SE, but its effective physical manifestation is not necessarily uniform throughout the universe.

2. Primordial Origin of PCA

According to the proposed cosmological hypothesis, the universe originated from an extremely dense primordial state in which its contents were highly concentrated.

PCA is hypothesized to have been exceptionally strong in this primordial condition.

Following the onset of cosmic expansion, the universe developed through successive expansion configurations. The model proposes that the effective influence of PCA changed during this progressive evolution.

The hypothesis further suggests that PCA acted against the tendency toward unrestricted dispersal, thereby contributing to the continued gravitational cohesion of cosmic structures.

The quantitative relationship between primordial density, expansion, and PCA effectiveness remains to be derived.

3. PCA as an Inherited Property

PCA is not proposed as a property externally imposed upon SE.

It is considered an inherent characteristic of SE, continuously present throughout its existence.

The framework also proposes that planets, stars, and other material structures manifest PCA through their formation and physical relationship with SE.

An important theoretical question remains: whether matter independently inherits an equivalent PCA property or whether its centripetal behaviour is a manifestation of the intrinsic PCA of SE through matter–SE coupling.

The present framework retains both possibilities as open physical interpretations until a consistent coupling law can distinguish them.

IV. INTRINSIC PCA AND EFFECTIVE PCA

A major conceptual development of this framework is the distinction between Intrinsic PCA and Effective PCA.

1. Intrinsic PCA

Intrinsic PCA represents the underlying property of SE. Its proposed characteristics are:

- It is inherent to SE.
- It is continuously present throughout the universe.
- It has no intrinsically preferred spatial direction.
- It is not created by individual planets, stars, or other material objects.
- Its existence is conceptually distinct from the variable strength of its physical manifestations.

For the present model, Intrinsic PCA is provisionally represented by a scalar quantity.

A scalar representation is appropriate to the proposed direction-independent intrinsic property,

but it does not by itself establish the physical dimensions or unit of PCA.

2. Effective PCA

Effective PCA describes the physical manifestation of the intrinsic PCA under particular physical conditions.

Its proposed dependencies include:

- Distance from the hypothesized primordial cosmic centre.
- Local SE density.
- Local matter density.
- SE compression or expansion state.
- The progressive sequence of cosmic expansion events.

Therefore, the model distinguishes between the universal existence of PCA and the variable strength of its effective manifestation.

3. Mathematical Representation

Let the intrinsic PCA property be represented by:

$$\Pi_0 > 0$$

where Π_0 denotes the baseline intrinsic PCA parameter.

For the purpose of mathematical development, Π_0 may provisionally be treated as dimensionless. This is a modelling convention, not a final determination of its physical nature.

The effective PCA parameter is represented by:

$$\Pi_{\text{eff}}(x,n) = \Pi_0 F(r,n,\rho_{SE},\rho_m,\chi_{SE})$$

where:

- r is the distance from the proposed primordial centre.
- n is the ordered stage of cosmic expansion.
- ρ_{SE} is local SE density.
- ρ_m is local matter density.
- χ_{SE} represents the physical compression or expansion state of SE.
- F is an as-yet-undetermined dimensionless function.

The function F must be constructed so that the effective PCA parameter remains physically meaningful.

A preliminary set of proposed conditions is:

$$F > 0$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} < 0$$

and

$$F(r,n+1,\ \ldots) \le F(r,n,\ \ldots)$$

The second condition represents the proposed reduction of effective PCA with increasing distance from the primordial centre.

The third condition represents the proposed progressive reduction of effective PCA along the cosmic expansion sequence, under otherwise comparable conditions.

These inequalities are hypotheses to be tested, not established physical laws.

Importantly, the functional dependencies are not assumed to be independent. For example, expansion may already influence SE density. A separate

expansion factor must not be introduced if it merely counts the same density effect twice.

V. SPATIAL DEPENDENCE AND THE PROPOSED PRIMORDIAL CENTRE

1. The Hypothesis of a Physical Cosmic Centre

The proposed cosmological model considers the possibility that the universe originated from a specific primordial location and expanded in all directions from that location.

According to this hypothesis, the primordial centre remains a specific location in the present universe, although it has not been identified.

This is a significant departure from the standard homogeneous and isotropic

cosmological description, in which the Big Bang is not treated as an explosion from a central point into pre-existing space.

The proposed centre must therefore be treated as a testable hypothesis.

2. Radial Dependence of PCA

The model proposes that the effective strength of PCA decreases as the distance from the primordial centre increases.

The radial coordinate is defined as:

$$r = |x - x_c|$$

where:

- x is the spatial position under consideration.
- x_c is the hypothesized primordial centre.

A preliminary radial dependence may be represented by:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_{eff}}{\partial r} < 0$$

under otherwise comparable physical conditions.

The exact radial function cannot be selected arbitrarily. It must be derived from the proposed physical mechanism and tested against observational constraints.

3. Observational Implications

If a physical primordial centre and a corresponding PCA gradient exist, the model may imply measurable departures from large-scale isotropy.

Potential observational tests include:

- Cosmic microwave background anisotropy.
- Direction-dependent cosmic expansion measurements.
- Large-scale galaxy distribution.
- Redshift-distance relations.
- Directional variations in gravitational phenomena.

A viable model must explain why any predicted anisotropy has not already been conclusively detected, or identify a sufficiently small effect consistent with current observational limits.

VI. PCA AND THE PROGRESSIVE SEQUENCE OF COSMIC EXPANSION EVENTS

1. Expansion as a Continuous Event Sequence

The present framework treats cosmic expansion as a progressive sequence of physical events and changing configurations.

Each successive expansion configuration represents a further stage in the physical evolution of the universe.

This treatment does not require the separate Event-Interval Time Theory. For mathematical convenience, the ordered expansion stages are labelled:

$$n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

where $n = 0$ denotes the primordial reference configuration.

The index n is an ordering label, not a physical time coordinate.

2. Proposed Effect on PCA

The model hypothesizes that the effective strength of PCA changes as the expansion sequence progresses.

Under comparable local physical conditions, the proposed tendency is:

$$\Pi_{\text{eff}}(n+1) < \Pi_{\text{eff}}(n)$$

This is a proposed trend rather than a universal law already established by observation.

The exact relationship must be derived from the physical dynamics of SE and the expansion process.

3. Avoiding Double Counting

An important mathematical issue arises here.

If cosmic expansion reduces the average density of SE, and if PCA already depends on SE density, then the expansion effect may already be included in the density dependence.

Consequently, the model must distinguish between:

- An indirect expansion effect mediated through SE density and configuration.
- An independent expansion-related change in the intrinsic or effective PCA property.

The present paper does not assume that both mechanisms necessarily operate independently.

This distinction is essential for a mathematically consistent theory.

PCA, SE Density, and Matter Density

Matter Density

Let the local matter density be represented by:

$$\Pi_{\text{eff}}(n+1) < \Pi_{\text{eff}}(n)$$

The model proposes that matter density influences the local configuration of SE.

A dense planet or star may therefore be associated with a modified surrounding SE density distribution.

2. Local SE Density Variation

The proposed local density modification can be represented as:

$$\Delta\rho_{SE} = \rho_{SE,\text{local}} - \rho_{SE,\text{background}}$$

where:

- $\rho_{SE,\text{local}}$ is the SE density near a material object.
- $\rho_{SE,\text{background}}$ is the reference density in the surrounding environment.

The model hypothesizes that a dense material object may produce a positive local density enhancement:

$$\Delta\rho_{SE} > 0$$

with the enhancement decreasing with increasing distance from the object.

This remains a physical hypothesis requiring a field equation or constitutive relationship.

Coupled Dependence

The effective PCA parameter may depend on both densities:

$$\Pi_{\text{eff}} = F(\rho_{SE}, \rho_m, \ldots)$$

The model must determine whether matter density:

- Directly modifies effective PCA.
- Modifies SE density, which then modifies PCA.
- Produces both effects through distinct physical mechanisms.

These alternatives cannot be treated as mathematically equivalent without further derivation.

From Scalar PCA to Directional Centripetal Effects
The Scalar–Vector Distinction

- Intrinsic PCA is proposed as a scalar property without a preferred direction. However, centripetal acceleration is a vector quantity.
- A scalar property alone cannot specify the direction of an acceleration.

Therefore, the model must explain how a directionally manifested physical effect emerges from the spatial configuration of an underlying scalar property.

A Potential-Based Route

One possible mathematical route is to investigate whether effective PCA can generate a scalar potential:

$$\Phi_{\text{PCA}} = F(\Pi_{\text{eff}}, \rho_{SE}, \rho_m)$$

The potential must have dimensions:

$$[\Phi_{\text{PCA}}] = \text{m}^2\ \text{s}^{-2}$$

A corresponding acceleration could then be defined as:

$$a_{\text{PCA}} = -\nabla\Phi_{\text{PCA}}$$

The resulting acceleration has the correct SI dimension:

$$[a_{\text{PCA}}] = \text{m}\ \text{s}^{-2}$$

This is a mathematically consistent general form for a potential-derived acceleration. It does not yet prove that PCA generates such a potential.
The physical function F must be derived rather than chosen merely for mathematical convenience.

3. Possible Density-Gradient Mechanism

One possible avenue of investigation is whether spatial variations in SE density produce a potential or stress gradient.

For example, the following relationship may be investigated:

$$a_{\text{PCA}} = -\kappa_{\text{PCA}} \nabla \ln\left(\frac{\rho_{SE}}{\rho_{SE,\text{ref}}}\right)$$

Here, $\rho_{SE,\text{ref}}$ is a positive reference density.

For dimensional consistency:

$$[\kappa_{\text{PCA}}] = \text{m}^2\ \text{s}^{-2}$$

This expression is only a candidate constitutive hypothesis. It is not adopted as the final PCA law.
Its validity depends on whether the proposed medium dynamics, matter coupling, and observations support this particular relationship.

PCA and SE Pressure

The physical behaviour of SE is proposed to include compression and expansion.

A medium capable of compression and expansion may require a pressure-density relationship.

A general constitutive relation can be written as:

$$P_{SE} = P_{SE}(\rho_{SE})$$

where P_{SE} represents SE pressure.

The exact equation of state is presently unknown.

If the SE pressure varies spatially, its gradient may contribute to the dynamics of the medium.

A general force-density representation is:

$$f_{SE} = -\nabla P_{SE}$$

where force density has SI units:

$$[f_{SE}] = \mathrm{N\,m^{-3}}$$

However, a pressure gradient acting on SE does not automatically establish the acceleration of matter. A separate matter–SE coupling law is required.

Furthermore, uniform isotropic pressure acting on a closed body produces no net translational force. A net force requires a pressure imbalance, a spatial gradient, or an appropriate stress configuration.

This physical requirement must be respected in the final PCA mechanism.

Matter–SE Coupling

The proposed theory requires an explicit relationship between SE and matter.

At minimum, it must explain how a material object responds to the surrounding SE configuration.

A general phenomenological relation may be written as:

$$a_m = C(\rho_m, \rho_{SE}, \Pi_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nabla P_{SE}, \ \dots)$$

where C\mathcal C denotes an as-yet-undetermined matter-response law. The final model must establish whether:

- Matter responds directly to PCA.
- Matter responds to SE pressure gradients.
- Matter responds to a PCA-dependent SE stress.
- Several of these mechanisms operate together.

The theory must also explain why objects with different compositions and internal structures exhibit the observed near-universality of gravitational free fall.

Relationship to Established Gravitational Physics

The proposed SE–PCA framework must be assessed against existing experimental and observational results.

Newtonian Limit

In an appropriate weak-field and low-velocity regime, the model must reproduce Newtonian gravitational behaviour.

For a spherically symmetric isolated source of mass M, the expected classical acceleration is:

$$a_m = C(\rho_m, \rho_{SE}, \Pi_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nabla P_{SE}, \ \dots)$$

where G is Newton's gravitational constant.

A viable PCA model must explain how its equations recover this result, or identify a precisely defined and observationally allowed deviation.

General Relativity

The framework must also be compared with the tested predictions of General Relativity, including:

- Gravitational time dilation.
- Light deflection.
- Orbital precession.
- Gravitational waves.
- Strong-field gravitational phenomena.
- Gravitational lensing.

A scalar potential alone is not sufficient to reproduce all relativistic gravitational effects.

If SE is a physical medium, the theory must explain how its dynamics and coupling reproduce the observed relativistic results.

Preferred-Frame Considerations
A physical medium may introduce a preferred rest frame.

The model must determine whether SE defines such a frame and, if so, explain why experiments have not detected unacceptable violations of Lorentz invariance.

This is an important consistency requirement, not a minor technical detail.

Cosmological Implications
The proposed framework suggests that PCA may play a role in the large-scale physical organisation of the universe.

Its possible cosmological effects include:

- A contribution to the cohesion of cosmic structures.
- A relationship between SE density and the formation of gravitationally bound systems.
- A changing effective PCA influence during cosmic expansion.
- A possible spatial gradient relative to the hypothesized primordial centre.

The model must distinguish the effects of local gravitational binding from the dynamics of large-scale cosmic expansion.

In standard cosmology, cosmic expansion is not interpreted as an ordinary explosion resisted by a universal centripetal force. Therefore, the proposed PCA contribution must be expressed through explicit dynamical equations and compared with the observed expansion history.

Mathematical Development Required
The present conceptual model identifies the minimum mathematical structure required for a predictive theory.

The following components must eventually be developed.

SE Field Description
A precise definition of SE density and any additional field variables.

SE Dynamics
An equation governing compression, expansion, and the propagation of physical disturbances in SE.

PCA Constitutive Law
A mathematical relationship defining the effective PCA property in terms of its relevant physical variables.

PCA Potential or Stress
A derivation connecting the scalar PCA property to directional acceleration or medium stress.

Matter Coupling
An equation describing the response of matter to the SE–PCA configuration.

Conservation Laws
A consistent treatment of energy, momentum, and any exchange between SE and matter.

Limiting Behaviour
A demonstration that the model reproduces established gravitational results in the regimes where those results have been tested.

Testable Predictions and Falsifiability
A scientific theory must produce predictions that can, in principle, be tested and potentially disproved. The SE–PCA framework suggests several areas for investigation.

Radial PCA Gradient
If PCA decreases with distance from a real primordial centre, the theory may predict a measurable radial variation in certain gravitational or cosmological observables.

Expansion-Sequence Dependence
If effective PCA changes independently with successive cosmic expansion configurations, the

theory may predict a relationship between cosmological state and gravitational behaviour beyond that already explained by conventional density evolution.

SE Density Effects
If local SE density variations contribute to gravitational acceleration, the model must identify a measurable relationship between the SE configuration and the motion of matter.

Composition Independence
The model must reproduce the observed near-universality of free fall or explain any predicted composition-dependent deviation within experimental limits.

Light Propagation
The theory must eventually explain how light propagates through SE and how gravitational fields affect its trajectory, without contradicting established optical and relativistic observations.

No specific numerical prediction is claimed in this paper because the required field equations and coupling parameters have not yet been determined.

Limitations of the Present Model
The present framework is a foundational theoretical proposal. The following issues remain unresolved:

- The operational definition and measurable physical properties of SE.
- The physical dimensions and units of intrinsic PCA.
- The precise relationship between intrinsic and effective PCA.
- The functional form of the spatial PCA dependence.
- The relationship between PCA and the sequence of cosmic expansion events.
- Whether expansion influences PCA independently of density changes.
- The equation of state of SE.
- The mechanism converting scalar PCA into directional acceleration.
- The matter–SE coupling law.
- The conservation laws governing the combined system.
- The recovery of Newtonian gravity and General Relativity.
- The observational status of the proposed primordial centre.

These limitations define the next stages of research rather than invalidate the conceptual investigation.

Interim Summary and Transition to Part II
This paper has established an expanded conceptual foundation for understanding Space Element (SE) as an all-pervading physical medium possessing an intrinsic Primordial Centripetal Attraction (PCA) property.

A central contribution of this framework is the distinction between Intrinsic PCA and Effective PCA. This distinction provides a conceptual basis for understanding how an intrinsic property may remain universally present while its physical manifestation varies under different environmental and cosmological conditions.

The proposed framework identifies several factors that may influence effective PCA, including spatial position relative to a hypothesized primordial centre, local SE density, matter density, and the progressive sequence of cosmic expansion events.

It also highlights a fundamental unresolved question: how does the scalar intrinsic PCA property produce directional centripetal acceleration? Possible mechanisms involving SE density gradients, pressure, stress, and matter–SE coupling require further mathematical and physical investigation.

At this stage, the framework should be regarded as a preliminary theoretical model rather than a completed replacement for Newtonian gravity or General Relativity. Its scientific validity will depend on operational definitions, mathematical consistency, physical interpretation, and the development of predictions that can be tested through observation and experiment.

The present paper therefore establishes the conceptual and foundational basis for the next stage of the investigation.

Part II will focus on the deeper mathematical and physical formulation of PCA and SE, particularly the physical dimensions of PCA, the governing equations of the

Space Element, the constitutive relationship of PCA, and the mechanism through which SE dynamics may produce gravitational effects on matter.

The ultimate objective is to develop a mathematically consistent, physically interpretable, and experimentally testable theory of gravity based on Space Element and Primordial Centripetal Attraction.

The Physical Dimensions and Mathematical Definition of Pca

The Fundamental Nature of PCA

Within the proposed Space Element framework, Primordial Centripetal Attraction (PCA) is not initially defined as an ordinary mechanical force.

PCA is hypothesized to be an intrinsic property of SE, continuously present throughout the universe.

Its intrinsic existence is conceptually distinct from the physical effects that may emerge under particular spatial and material conditions.

This distinction is necessary because an intrinsic property and its resulting physical manifestation need not have identical physical dimensions.

For example, a dimensionless material parameter may influence a physical process whose measurable outcome has dimensions of force, energy, or acceleration.

Accordingly, this research distinguishes between:

- The intrinsic PCA property.
- The effective PCA state.
- The physical effects generated through the interaction of PCA, SE, and matter.

Intrinsic PCA as a Scalar Property

Let the intrinsic PCA property be represented by:

$$\Pi_0$$

The present framework provisionally treats Π_0 as a dimensionless scalar descriptor:

$$[\Pi_0] = 1$$

This choice does not mean that PCA has no physical significance. It means that the intrinsic property is represented as a normalized descriptor, while its physical effectiveness is expressed through additional dimensional quantities.

The dimensionless representation is a modelling choice requiring further physical justification.

If future derivation establishes that PCA itself possesses a fundamental physical dimension, this representation must be revised.

Effective PCA

The effective PCA parameter is defined as:

$$\Pi_{eff} = \Pi_0 F$$

where F is a dimensionless function of the relevant physical conditions.

Therefore:

$$[\Pi_{eff}] = 1$$

The function F may depend on:

$$F = F(r, n, \rho_{SE}, \rho_m, \chi_{SE})$$

where:

- r = radial distance from the proposed primordial centre.
- n = ordered stage of cosmic expansion.
- ρ_{SE} = SE density.
- ρ_m = matter density.
- χ_{SE} = SE compression or expansion state.

The exact form of F remains to be derived.

The Physical Meaning of SE Density

An important issue is the physical definition of SE density.

If SE is a material-like medium, its density may be represented by mass-equivalent density:

$$[\rho_{SE}] = \text{kg m}^{-3}$$

Alternatively, if SE is fundamentally described through energy density, its density may be represented as:

$$[u_{SE}] = \text{J m}^{-3}$$

These are physically different definitions and cannot be interchanged without an appropriate relation.

For the present research, the mass-equivalent density interpretation may be retained as a provisional working convention, provided that it is not mistaken for an experimentally established property of SE.

The final theory must determine which physical definition is appropriate.

From Intrinsic PCA to Physical Acceleration

A dimensionless PCA parameter cannot directly be equated with acceleration.

To obtain a measurable acceleration, the model requires a dimensional physical scale.

One possible mathematical route is to define a PCA-dependent potential:

$$\Phi_{PCA} = \kappa_{PCA}\, Q(\Pi_{eff}, \rho_{SE}, \rho_m, \dots)$$

where:

$$[\kappa_{PCA}] = \text{m}^2\ \text{s}^{-2}$$

and Q is dimensionless.

The corresponding acceleration is:

$$a_{PCA} = -\nabla\Phi_{PCA}$$

Its dimensions are:

$$[a_{PCA}] = \text{m s}^{-2}$$

This construction is dimensionally consistent.

However, the physical meaning and value of κPCA, and the functional form of Q, must be derived from the dynamics of SE and its interaction with matter.

A Candidate Density-Dependent Potential

One possible constitutive hypothesis is:

$$\Phi_{PCA} = \kappa_{PCA} \ln\left(\frac{\rho_{SE}}{\rho_{SE,\text{ref}}}\right)$$

where $\rho_{SE,\text{ref}}$ is a positive reference density.

The ratio inside the logarithm is dimensionless.

Differentiating with respect to position gives:

$$a_{PCA} = -\kappa_{PCA} \nabla\ln\left(\frac{\rho_{SE}}{\rho_{SE,\text{ref}}}\right)$$

This expression provides one possible route by which spatial variations in SE density could generate a directional acceleration.

It is not yet the final PCA law.

Its validity depends on whether the physical dynamics of SE support a logarithmic density-dependent potential and whether the resulting predictions agree with observations.

Necessary Conditions for a Physical PCA Model

A complete mathematical definition of PCA must satisfy the following requirements:

- The intrinsic property must have a clear operational meaning.
- Every mathematical variable must have a defined physical meaning.
- Every equation must be dimensionally consistent.
- The scalar intrinsic property must be connected to directional physical effects through a specified mechanism.
- The relationship between SE density and matter density must be explicitly defined.
- The model must conserve energy and momentum, or specify the physical exchange mechanisms.
- The resulting gravitational acceleration must agree with established observations in tested regimes.

Interim Conclusion

The present analysis supports a useful provisional distinction:

- Intrinsic PCA is represented as a dimensionless scalar property.
- Effective PCA describes its variable manifestation.
- A dimensional potential or stress mechanism is required to produce measurable acceleration.
- The coupling between PCA, SE, and matter determines the physical gravitational effect.

This structure provides a foundation for the next stage: deriving the governing physical equations of SE and the constitutive relationship connecting PCA to SE density and matter density.

The proposed mathematical forms remain provisional until their physical derivation and observational consequences are established.

VII. CONCLUSION

The exploration of the hidden reality of space reveals that the universe is far more complex, mysterious, and fascinating than what can be observed through the human eye. Beyond the visible stars, planets, and galaxies lies an enormous realm of unexplored phenomena, including dark matter, dark energy, black holes, gravitational waves, and the unknown dimensions of the cosmos. Scientific discoveries and technological advancements have significantly expanded our understanding of space, yet many fundamental questions about its origin, structure, evolution, and ultimate destiny remain unanswered. The continuous development of astronomy, astrophysics, and space exploration provides new opportunities to investigate these mysteries and challenge existing scientific theories. Understanding the hidden aspects of space is not only essential for advancing scientific knowledge but also for understanding humanity's place in the universe. Ultimately, space represents an endless frontier of discovery, reminding us that every scientific breakthrough reveals new questions and possibilities. Unveiling its hidden reality will continue to inspire future generations of researchers and scientists to explore the unknown and uncover the deeper secrets of the universe.

REFERENCES

Sumeru Ray's previous papers:

1. Ray, S. (2026). Ray Unified Gravity Theory: Gravity as an Emergent Effect of Primordial Centripetal Attraction and Space-Element Pressure. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.35562.17601 ResearchGate
2. Ray, S. (2026). A Unified Medium-Based Theory of Gravity with Intrinsic
3. Centripetal Bias (SE-PCA Framework): Derivation, Relativistic Consistency, and Testable

Predictions. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.11250.26568 ResearchGate

4. Ray, S. (2026). Ray Unified Gravity Theory: Revised and Extended Framework. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.14120.74241 ResearchGate
5. Ray, S. (2026). Black Hole Structure and Gravitational Collapse in a Space Element Medium with Primordial Centripetal Attraction. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.33434.20160 ResearchGate
6. Ray, S. (2026). Fundamental Gravity in Its Own Reality: A Physical Theory of Space, Primordial Centripetal Attraction, and the Origin of Gravitational Interaction. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.29971.85285