Jag Pratap Singh Yadav, 2021, 9:3
ISSN (Online): 2348-4098
ISSN (Print): 2395-4752

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
An Open Access Journal

Climate Change Education through Mathematical Literacy: A Multidisciplinary Framework

Jag Pratap Singh Yadav
Department of Mathematics
Government Degree College, Nadha Bhoor, Sahaswan, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh.

Abstract- Education about climate change has become an important concern in the modern day debate on education. However, in practice, education about climate change is mostly confined to scientific knowledge and environmental consciousness without sufficient focus on the use of quantitative reasoning skills that contribute to the production, communication, and contestation of climate knowledge. This paper posits that mathematical literacy is a fundamental yet overlooked aspect of climate change education and offers a theoretical framework for teaching and learning about climate change based on multidisciplinary concepts from mathematics, climate science, social sciences, and civic education. Using constructivist and inquiry-based learning, critical mathematics education, and systems thinking approaches, mathematical literacy will be explored as more than just numeracy. The framework presented in this study is defined by four dimensions that are interlinked with each other: statistical and data literacy, proportional and spatial-temporal reasoning, mathematical modeling and probability, and socio-emotional action and civic engagement. These dimensions serve as theoretical background for reconceptualizing climate change education from an interdisciplinary perspective, enabling the development of deep conceptual knowledge, critical engagement with disinformation, and participatory involvement in climate politics. In addition, the paper highlights possible uses of this theoretical framework in teaching through examples of interdisciplinary practices in the classroom involving mathematics, natural sciences, and humanities. By emphasizing the significance of mathematics literacy for developing climate literacy, this paper addresses contemporary discussions about curriculum innovation, integration across disciplines, and sustainability education in the Anthropocene. In order to critically engage with global climate change and make informed decisions related to the phenomenon, learners need to be capable of comprehending information, including graphs and other visuals, analyzing trends and data, reasoning about scale and uncertainty, and using quantitative data. Thus, the integration of mathematics literacy into climate change education becomes a matter of educational necessity.

Keywords: Climate Change Education, Mathematical Literacy, Climate Literacy, Sustainability Education, Interdisciplinary Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change is widely acknowledged as one of the most significant issues of our time, with repercussions reaching into all aspects of the environment, economy, politics, and society. It has far-reaching effects on many other areas, including public health, food security, migration, energy policy, and global equity. As the consequences of climate change continue to unfold, the importance of an educated citizenry that is able to think critically about data, uncertainty, and policy debate has grown immensely. This means that the education of climate change needs to go beyond the dissemination of facts to include developing skills in analyzing the numbers associated with climate knowledge.

The necessity is further emphasized by the information landscape of the modern world, in which information that misleads or manipulates the recipient is spread through social media, internet, and traditional media channels at a high speed. Public discussion on climate change is increasingly driven by selective use of statistical data, distortions of facts, fallacious comparisons, and the misuse of uncertainties of scientific research. Visual data on changes in global warming, greenhouse gas emissions, levels of water level, and the probability of extreme weather conditions often require from people not only scientific but also mathematical understanding to interpret correctly. Without these competencies, the risk of being misled or deceived increases significantly. This problem makes it clear that mathematical skills are important not for personal success in learning alone, but to become a conscious member of society capable of making decisions concerning environmental problems.

Despite increasing awareness globally about climate change education, there is a tendency for pedagogy to be disjointed and focused on separate disciplines. For example, climate change is often discussed in biology classes, environmental science classes, and earth science classes, emphasizing physical effects, ecological impacts, and how to mitigate them. Such an approach to teaching climate change is necessary; however, it may not sufficiently equip learners to critically analyze climate issues from the point of view of numbers. Mathematical concepts such as scales, rates of change, proportionality, correlation, uncertainty, models, and statistics are critical to understanding the climate system and making arguments about it. Nevertheless, it appears that these mathematical concepts are not incorporated effectively into climate change education.

Such a division between the two subjects results in an important learning gap for students. It is possible that learners know about the growing amounts of carbon dioxide in Earth's atmosphere, about higher global temperatures, and about climate risks predicted by scientists for decades ahead. Nevertheless, in absence of mathematical skills, it is difficult to transform these statements into something more analytical and meaningful for decision-making. The need to understand not only the extent of emissions but also their rapid increase, to read the outcomes provided by the models, or even to calculate the probabilities of certain climate catastrophes calls for mathematical competence. This, in turn, implies that lack of mathematical literacy limits opportunities for learners to fully grasp the extent of environmental problems and to critically evaluate claims made by different sources. At the same time, mathematical literacy can enhance climate change studies in a number of ways.

In recognition of this shortcoming, this paper makes a proposal for a multidisciplinary approach that incorporates the concept of mathematical literacy within the broader context of climate change education. According to this theory, the scope of climate change education should not only be limited to the subject area of science, but should also incorporate the areas of mathematics, environmental science, geography, social sciences, and civics. This theoretical approach is justified in recognition of the fact that climate change education is not just a science issue but also a socio-political matter which calls for an appreciation of the causes of climate change as well as the effects of such change on the environment and its inhabitants. This approach calls for an understanding of how to interpret climate data and make appropriate decisions despite uncertainties surrounding them.

In light of all this, the main goal of this research work is to establish a theoretical foundation for education concerning climate change by linking mathematics and scientific knowledge. The study will focus on analyzing the benefits of being mathematically literate when it comes to interpreting climate data, dynamic systems, assessing risks associated with climate change, and critical thinking regarding climate-related matters. At the same time, the role of implementing a multidisciplinary approach will be examined to find out whether it would help to cultivate these skills among students in schools and colleges. Thus, the contribution made by this study to the ongoing debates about climate change education is expected to be twofold – on the one hand, it will address some existing gaps, and on the other hand, it will offer solutions as to how the existing issues can be tackled successfully.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

The Current State of Climate Change Education

Education regarding climate change (CCE) has become much more prevalent over the last two decades due to the increasing global awareness of the crucial role of education in adaptation to, mitigation of, and transitions toward sustainability in regards to climate change. In terms of policy, curriculum changes, and studies conducted in education, climate change education has been identified as an integral part of ensuring that learners comprehend the reasons behind climate change, its impacts on society, and its consequences. There are many pieces of literature that provide evidence of the progress made in this field, such as the incorporation of climate change topics into the curriculum of schools, the implementation of inquiry-based and place-based instruction, and the instillation of systems thinking and sustainability within young individuals.

On the other hand, the academic body highlights certain issues that still persist despite ongoing research on CCE. In general, many of the efforts associated with CCE focus on increasing awareness among students and encouraging an attitudinal change rather than developing skills related to analysis. While it may be easy to expose learners to climate change factors and the impacts that result from it, they may not be fully prepared to analyze evidence of such phenomena presented in the form of data. Also, certain educational initiatives have been criticized due to their focus on potential catastrophes while neglecting actions that could help solve problems related to climate change. The lack of balance here might cause students to feel powerless or helpless about their situation. Overall, CCE tends to encourage emotions but not always critical thinking.

In addition to its failure to foster a global perspective on climate issues, CCE also suffers from disciplinary segmentation. For example, in many educational systems, climate change instruction is mostly contained in courses belonging to the natural sciences, including biology, geography, and earth science, which emphasize the physical aspects of the problem – changes in the atmosphere, biodiversity losses, and ecological impact. Even though this aspect of education is crucial to the topic of climate change, it can limit the scope of climate education by restricting the teaching of climate change to the classroom of the natural sciences. Climate change also involves risk assessment, projection into the future, probability, modeling, interpreting policy decisions, and socio-economic calculations. All this information is conveyed to people not only verbally but also mathematically – through data, trends, possibilities, projections, and probabilities. If climate change education is restricted to science courses, it deprives students of an opportunity to develop the necessary mathematical mind-set for understanding climate data.

Mathematical Literacy in the Twenty-First Century

The notion of mathematical literacy has advanced significantly from previous conceptions that viewed mathematics solely in terms of arithmetic skills or mere computation. The consensus within contemporary educational theory tends to define mathematical literacy as the skill of using mathematics to pose problems, solve problems, and interpret solutions in a wide range of practical applications. It involves being able to think about quantities, recognize and evaluate patterns and relationships, make sense of data presentations, consider the role of probability, and utilize mathematical understanding when making decisions. This conception of mathematical literacy is broader than problem solving alone and relates more to democratic engagement and citizenship.

Given the current age, mathematical literacy cannot be dissociated from quantitative literacy, statistical literacy, and spatial-temporal reasoning. The former is associated with the ability to appraise numerical arguments made in public debate; make comparisons, proportional reasoning, and rate of change reasoning. The latter builds on quantitative literacy skills, offering a means by which people can interpret averages, distributions, correlations, margins of error, and probability. Spatial-temporal reasoning adds to this the requirement that learners are able to grasp processes that span temporal and spatial scales. These include, but are not limited to, cumulative greenhouse gas emissions, global temperature deviations, sea level changes, and rainfall variability. All in all, these three aspects of mathematical literacy make up the framework required for interpreting evidence in public debate.

Such a more expansive conception of mathematical literacy is especially relevant at a time characterized by algorithmic discourse, visualized data, and contentious forms of expertise. One's capacity to understand public debates about socio-scientific problems will be determined by one's skill in critically evaluating visualizations, charts, modeling tools, and statistics. Mathematical literacy is not just a tool for learning but a means of accessing knowledge: the individual can assess the plausibility of claims, determine if there is enough evidence to back them up, and judge the honesty of uncertainty. Climate change provides a particular example in this regard, because climate literacy cannot exist without mathematical literacy.

The Intersection of Mathematical Literacy and Climate Change Education

A new wave of literature has emerged, which seeks to examine the benefits that may be gained from combining mathematics instruction and the study of environment/climate topics. Research from mathematics education, science technology engineering mathematics education, and sustainability education reveals that environmental problems can serve as legitimate and socially significant settings for understanding mathematical skills like graph reading, proportionality, modeling, data analysis, and inferential statistics. The analysis of climate data, in particular, provides abundant opportunities for using math in an active problem-solving context and in the process helps make mathematical concepts tangible and socially relevant.

Notwithstanding such positive trends, the body of research on mathematics education for climate learning continues to be thin in breadth and depth of analysis. In a number of current investigations, mathematics has been viewed mainly as an instrumental means to science education, as opposed to a perspective through which climate issues can be studied. Mathematics-related exercises have been used mainly to enhance students' comprehension of charts and graphs, perform calculations, and deepen their understanding of scientific concepts. The role played by mathematical knowledge in the construction, articulation, and debate surrounding climate issues has been underexplored. The difference matters. To treat mathematics as an educational aid does not amount to acknowledging mathematical literacy as a vital analytical approach that allows learners to investigate scales, variations, uncertainties, projections, and risks.

The interdisciplinary nature of mathematics and climate change education also tends to be undertheorized. The emergence of a new paradigm of integrated science education has provided some room for cross-curricular activities, but it has not provided any explanation why mathematical literacy needs to be at the core of climate literacy. Therefore, mathematical literacy could be considered occasionally in climate change classes without providing any structured progression from one level to another. One can observe that there is still a need for research into how mathematical literacy can be incorporated as an important element of CCE in relation to conceptual comprehension, critical thinking, and civic engagement. In order to fill this gap, there is a need to go beyond mere additions of one discipline to the other.

The Misinformation Crisis and the Problem of Quantitative Misunderstanding

The need for incorporation of mathematical skills into the CCE framework can be seen even more starkly when viewed against the backdrop of the current problem of misinformation. Climate change discussions in public forums are often muddled by erroneous assertions rooted in a poor understanding of numbers, trends, and uncertainty. Arguments against climate change tend to hinge not on a total denial of evidence but rather on the selective use of numerical data, such as using temporary anomalies to refute trends or pointing to rare cold weather to debunk global warming, as well as misinterpreting uncertainty in models to claim an overall lack of scientific credibility. These assertions may seem superficially valid to those without mathematical and visual literacy skills.

This problem extends beyond just being intellectual but rather civic as well. In a democratic society, the citizenry should be capable of assessing the available options when it comes to policies, deciphering the claims made by the media, and discussing topics in public forums in which there is an increasing reliance on quantitative data. However, without the proper skills in mathematics, people could easily become susceptible to rhetoric that ignores the complexities of the scientific approach to climate change – its probabilistic nature, its models, and how it accumulates information over time. Misconceptions like those regarding trends, correlation, and scenarios can result in misjudgments in terms of the risks involved and undue suspicion of scientific findings.

The significance of this vulnerability within the realm of education cannot be underestimated. With climate knowledge being disseminated using charts, models, projections, and various statistical data, the need to educate students about how to comprehend these media is more than essential. Learning about climate science without developing necessary math skills means being unprepared for the reality of today's citizenry. The task at hand is not limited to raising awareness but also developing skills that will enable individuals to evaluate evidence, detect distortion, and contribute actively to discussions and decision making regarding climate matters.

Problem Statement

As a whole, this body of literature indicates a tension that has emerged in current times as it pertains to education. In particular, it appears that while climate change education has certainly received attention as a critical component of sustainability and environmental education, its execution is still characterized by pedagogical fragmentation, scientific myopia, and a failure to attend to quantitative thinking. It is clear that existing efforts have succeeded in increasing awareness about environmental issues and promoting care for the environment; however, they may not be sufficient in terms of providing students with the mathematical tools necessary to understand concepts related to scale, rate, uncertainty, variation, and prediction.

However, studies of mathematical literacy have shown that the capacity to reason numerically and statistically plays an essential role in promoting citizens' knowledge and active participation in today's society, but this scholarship has not fully considered climate change as one of the most relevant contexts in which to teach math. When connections between mathematics education and climate learning do exist, these tend to be instrumentalized, such that mathematics is used to teach science, but not in terms of a theoretical body of knowledge in itself. The result has been a missing link in the field: little has been said about the possibility of developing mathematical literacy in relation to climate change issues.

In order to fill that void, it is proposed here that there is a need to view climate change education through a multidisciplinary lens where mathematical literacy is viewed not as an added ability but as a crucial component of climate change education. This approach is needed because it will allow education to address both the complexities of climate change and its misinformation. In doing so, students will have knowledge about climate change but still be incapable of reading information critically and making their decisions based on facts alone. Through such an approach, climate change education can produce students who are scientifically and mathematically literate.

III. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

In order to formulate a strong multidisciplinary framework of climate change education in the context of mathematical literacy, it is important to use the well-known theories that clarify the mechanisms by which people interact with complicated information, interpret the evidence related to their surrounding world and act regarding the challenges emerging at the societal level. As climate change is definitely not an area in which students can gain sufficient knowledge through mere reception of factual information, climate change education needs pedagogical methods that allow students to actively construct their knowledge base, critically interpret quantitative data and consider all possible interactions within a system. That is why the framework proposed in this paper rests on three distinct theories: constructivism and inquiry-based learning theory, critical mathematics education theory and systems thinking theory.

Constructivism and Inquiry-Based Learning

One of the most prominent bases of educational theories today can be traced back to constructivism, which suggests that learners are not passive absorbers of information imparted by teachers but actively construct their own knowledge by reflecting on and interacting with experiences, concepts, evidence, and contexts. Within a framework of constructivism, knowledge or understanding occurs as learners integrate new information into what they already know, challenge their own assumptions and interpretations, encounter misunderstandings, and transform their way of thinking in relation to problems encountered. It is particularly relevant to the study of climate change because learning about such concepts entails abstract thinking and probabilistic reasoning on different timescales.

Inquiry-based learning implements the concept of constructivism in practice by emphasizing investigation, posing questions, and using evidence to form conclusions. Instead of passively receiving preconceived answers, inquiry learning strategies promote exploration of information, discerning patterns, making sense of them, testing possible interpretations, and backing up claims with evidence. The study of climate change lends itself well to an inquiry-based approach due to its inherently empirical and interpretative nature. Climate knowledge becomes available to students through graphs representing atmospheric carbon dioxide levels, temperature anomalies, changes in sea level, rainfall patterns, and hazards. All of these require scientific knowledge as well as math skills. By involving students in analyzing real-world climate data, they get to build knowledge in a manner similar to the way in which climate evidence is constructed and interpreted scientifically and publicly.

Indeed, the applicability of constructivism and inquiry-based approaches to mathematical literacy is just as important. Mathematics is better understood by learners when they experience mathematical notions in practical situations that involve more than mere computation, namely interpretation, comparison, estimation, and explanation. The issue of climate change serves as one such situation. Learners will be able to use practical data to discover patterns, relationships, uncertainties, rates, and variability of phenomena around them, thus giving meaning and importance to mathematical ideas and knowledge. Through inquiry and reflection, students learn to see mathematics not merely as a set of techniques but rather as a language of inquiry about the real world. This point becomes particularly relevant to climate change education, in which interpreting information is inseparable from quantitative reasoning.

Moreover, a constructive and inquiry-oriented learning framework can be useful for tackling certain pedagogical issues that have been identified within current climate change education. When students are provided with the opportunity to examine climate conditions both locally and globally, they become more engaged, and their level of comprehension, critical analysis, and personal relevance increases. Through inquiry, there is also an opportunity to narrow the gap between scientific evidence and real life through the establishment of connections between the facts of climate change and the learner's community and environment. In this regard, constructivism does not only provide opportunities for epistemological growth but also contributes towards the development of agency, as the learner begins to take responsibility for his or her interpretation of climate change evidence.

Critical Mathematics Education

Even though constructivism helps explain how learners construct meaning, it does not sufficiently account for the social, political, and moral aspects of knowledge construction processes. Hence, in addition to the above constructivist theories, the proposed approach makes use of critical mathematics education as developed by thinkers like Marilyn Frankenstein and Ole Skovsmose, among others. Critical mathematics education rejects the idea that mathematics is inherently apolitical, objective, and neutral. On the contrary, according to critical mathematics educators, mathematics is an integral part of social reality because mathematics is used by society to create knowledge hierarchies, distribution of power, and problematization of the social order. As a result, critical mathematics educators claim that mathematically literate individuals should not only have a good command of mathematics but should also have the ability to "read" and "write" the world through mathematical literacy.

This theory is particularly applicable for climate change education, in that climate change is both a scientific and socio-political and ethical concern. There are significant disparities among people in terms of the origins and impacts of climate change. Carbon emissions, consumption, vulnerability to climate risks, capacity to adapt, and exposure are all products of economics and history. This means that a critical examination of statistics and figures related to climate change is important beyond simply knowing the science. For instance, who generates the statistics on climate change, how are carbon emissions measured, what is assumed in forecasting models, which populations are included or excluded from the data categories, and how does the quantification influence policy formulation?

In this way, mathematical literacy serves as a type of critical citizenship. When students learn mathematics, it is not only about calculating percentage rates or interpreting graphs; rather, they learn to understand how quantitative depictions affect our interpretation of an issue, how politics surrounding an issue unfold, and how institutions react to certain information. Indeed, in light of the reality that the claims made around climate issues are regularly debunked by using numbers strategically to distort their meaning or economic calculations that are too simplistic, it becomes evident that critical mathematics gives students the opportunity to think not only about whether a graph is interpreted correctly, but also about how it is constructed and what interests are at stake.

Additionally, it also makes a direct contribution to the multidisciplinary nature of the suggested approach to learning about climate change. The issue of climate change is located at the crossroads between science, economy, politics, and justice. All these aspects involve mathematics in terms of the various calculations that need to be done. Critical mathematics education offers an ideological basis for addressing these aspects and making the quantitative structure visible in the context of learning about climate change. As opposed to viewing mathematics as a support subject in learning about climate change, this approach enables students to recognize the ideological and distributional dynamics of the process.

Systems Thinking

The third pillar, which is essential for gaining insight into the complexity of climate change issues, is systems thinking. This term describes an individual's cognitive ability to recognize events as components of complex systems instead of viewing them as separate variables and chains of actions. The main concepts of this type of thinking include relations, feedback loops, nonlinear behavior, emergence, thresholds, and time lags. In addition, the climate system serves as one of the clearest representations of these characteristics. In particular, changes in atmospheric conditions, ocean currents, land use patterns, biodiversity, energy systems, and human economic practices are related to each other and influence each other through complex mechanisms that are not evident using conventional approaches. Therefore, education regarding climate change issues must help students develop their skills in systems thinking.

One reason why systems thinking is significant in climate change education relates to its capacity to dispel misconceptions regarding climate change. The misconceptions arise from the learner's tendency to apply linear intuition when approaching climate change. For instance, the learner might expect that each annual emission increase will lead to a corresponding effect; however, this linear relationship does not exist in climate change. In addition, the learner tends to overlook cumulative dynamics, delayed responses, and positive feedback mechanisms in climate change. Nonetheless, the above elements characterize climate change. For instance, warming of the planet entails interactions among several factors, such as the ice-albedo feedback effect, ocean heat absorption, changes in the carbon cycle, and moisture distribution in the atmosphere. Likewise, climate mitigation and adaptation involve interdependent factors, including technological advancements, policy implementation, economics, and human behavior.

This relationship between systems thinking and mathematical proficiency is especially evident. The very characteristics that define the dynamics of the climate system such as rates of change, exponential growth, dynamic balance, feedback, thresholds, and delay are understandable only through mathematics. For students to appreciate how small changes in the global average temperature will have huge implications on the entire system, or why it is too late to adopt effective policies when the risks have already been set into motion, it is imperative that they are able to recognize cumulative trends, dynamic processes, and non-linearities through their understanding of mathematics.

Another way in which system thinking is important for addressing climate change issues is through the analysis of tipping points. The dominant view in public debate considers climate change to be a steady process that develops continuously; however, scientific knowledge reveals more and more examples of possible threshold points where systems may experience rapid changes due to crossing some boundaries. This kind of knowledge makes it necessary for education to develop the ability of students to go beyond just recognizing trends to the more sophisticated levels of reasoning about instability, irreversibility, and uncertainties. In terms of educational implications, the point is to make clear that the future is unpredictable in many ways, and procrastination may have disproportionately greater costs.

Integrating the Theoretical Foundations

While constructivism and inquiry-based learning, critical mathematics education, and systems thinking are approaches grounded in their respective epistemologies, they are complementary theories within the pedagogy of climate change via math literacy. Inquiry-based learning and constructivism account for how learners acquire knowledge based on their interactions with real data and challenging questions. Critical math education builds on these approaches by framing quantitative analysis within larger frameworks of power, justice, and public meanings. Systems thinking is crucial in this regard, as it equips educators with the cognitive tools needed to comprehend climate change as an integrated process.

These theories can be used together to help us understand that mathematical literacy is epistemological and civic at the same time. Mathematical literacy is epistemological since it helps people analyze information, make models, and recognize complexity. At the same time, it is civic since it helps people analyze the information given to them and refute any information that is misleading. This is why the combination of these theories makes the need for an interdisciplinary approach even more evident: mathematics cannot be considered marginal in climate change education, but rather a key component of it.

IV. THE PROPOSED MULTIDISCIPLINARY FRAMEWORK

In terms of the main contribution of this essay, it can be argued that the primary accomplishment has been the formulation of a multidisciplinary approach to climate change education based on mathematical literacy. Specifically, there exists an important deficiency in the current literature regarding how climate change is taught – while the issue itself is inherently rooted in numbers and statistical data, the role played by mathematical skills has been largely overlooked in favor of more traditional modes of education. To counter this deficiency, the current model emphasizes that mathematical literacy should serve as both an interpretative and civic perspective on the issue.

Fundamentally, the framework is built upon the assumption that climate literacy cannot be achieved simply through the acquisition of scientific information or ecological awareness. Rather, climate literacy involves the ability to analyze information, think through scale and time, deal with uncertainties, and transform information into actions of ethical and civic significance. For this reason, the framework includes four interrelated dimensions: (1) statistical and data literacy; (2) proportional and spatial/temporal reasoning; (3) mathematical modeling and probability; and (4) civic and socio-emotional action**. It is important to understand that these dimensions are not separate components within the curriculum, but rather constitute interdependent areas of learning.

From a conceptual point of view, the framework can also be depicted graphically in many different ways according to the requirements of the target journal and focus of the manuscript. In this case, using a Venn diagram might help visualize the relationship between the four dimensions while also highlighting that strong climate literacy is realized where all four dimensions meet. The same purpose could be accomplished by applying a matrix approach, whereby each dimension is matched to various competencies, teaching methods, and learning outcomes. On the other hand, using a cyclic model might prove particularly effective as it will emphasize the cyclic nature of climate learning that moves through interpreting evidence, appreciating scale, understanding modeling and uncertainty, and acting upon that knowledge before asking new questions.

Dimension 1: Statistical and Data Literacy

The first of these dimensions, statistical and data literacy, pertains to the critical importance of evidence interpretation in climate change education. Climate change is among the most information-driven topics in current society, where science, politics, and journalism make ample use of graphical displays, trend lines, outliers, distribution patterns, and projections. Consequently, students must not only have a broad understanding of the problem of environmental change but also be able to analyze, question, and derive sound judgments based on statistical depictions of the issue at hand. In this sense, statistical and data literacy involves the ability to comprehend line charts, scatterplots, maps, tables, and data dashboards; to differentiate between temporary fluctuations and trends over time; and to grasp fundamental statistical concepts like averages, dispersion, association, and probability.

The first dimension, statistical and data literacy, emphasizes the importance of evidence analysis in climate change learning. Climate change is among the most data-driven problems in modern society. Its scientific, political, and media portrayals depend significantly on graphical displays, trend lines, anomalies, distribution patterns, and predictions. Therefore, students will not only need to be aware of environmental changes but will also have to possess the ability to read, analyze, evaluate, and make well-reasoned judgments about quantitative presentations of climate-related events. In terms of climate change, statistical and data literacy will involve reading graphs, charts, maps, tables, and dashboards, differentiating short-term fluctuation from long-term trend, and comprehending basic statistics like averages, variability, correlations, and uncertainty.

This aspect also includes assisting students in understanding the importance of even a seemingly small numerical shift in temperature, especially since a lot of people misinterpret the commonly accepted threshold of a 1.5°C increase in average global temperature. For laymen, that number does not seem very significant, considering the natural variations of the weather at their respective regions. But the importance of educating people is in assisting them in understanding why a small numerical shift could mean so much within the climate system. Here, numeracy skills help students avoid simplistic yet erroneous reasoning about numbers. This is through the use of data regarding temperature shifts, average temperatures throughout history, regional temperature increases, and impacts of said increase.

From a pedagogical perspective, such a dimension could be activated by using authentic scientific data sets, interactive visualization tools, and tasks involving comparing various ways of representing climate-related phenomena. It is particularly significant that students are trained to think critically about the rhetoric of graphics: scale choice, exaggeration or downplaying through axes, selective framing. As a result, statistics and data literacy go beyond mere understanding of data; they involve critical discernment based on quantitative information.

Dimension 2: Proportional and Spatial-Temporal Reasoning

Dimension number two concerns proportional reasoning and spatial/temporal reasoning, both of which are critical tools for comprehending the complexity and scale of climate change. The major issue related to climate communications is that the processes that take place in relation to climate change often occur at scales beyond human perception. Airborne concentrations are calculated in parts per million; carbon builds up in a cumulative manner over decades and even centuries; and climate-related processes interrelate within multiple spatial contexts, ranging from local to regional to global scales. In the absence of skills in proportional reasoning and spatial/temporal reasoning, one can be well-aware of climate change but not be able to grasp its scale and speed.

Proportional reasoning aids students to comprehend ratios and relationships, which are essential to the field of climatology. For instance, for students to grasp the concept of greenhouse gas emissions in the form of carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere, they should not only understand that the figure is rising but that it functions using a certain representational scale and that slight variations in concentrations could lead to significant impacts in the system. Other concepts include emissions reduction rates, renewable energy ratios, and carbon intensity.

The comprehension of proportional reasoning enables students to understand the use of percentages, fractional relationships, relative rates of increase, and comparative scales in climate studies.
Spatial-temporal reasoning builds on this by enabling learners to understand how climate change is happening unequally over space and through different periods of time. The impact of climate is not evenly felt, as some regions face more intense heat, while others see an increase in floods, droughts, and displacements. These issues combine to impact regions based on their current social vulnerabilities. On the other hand, the scales of time that apply to climate change go beyond those of ordinary existence. Learners have to understand the link between geology and human lifespan, as well as the connections between past pollution, future warming, and short-term political cycles with long-term planetary issues.

One of the critical aspects of this domain of environmental education is the notion of the carbon budget. The carbon budget provides an effective approach of establishing connections between total emissions and the set temperatures. This concept helps to illustrate for the students that climate change is not only a matter of annual emissions but also a question of the total volume of greenhouse gas emissions that have been accumulated over time. As a learning tool, the carbon budget is important as it includes a range of elements such as proportional reasoning, accumulation, and consideration of time.

The development of the capacity of students to visualize and reason about the different scales involved serves as an important means for overcoming one of the largest cognitive challenges in climate education: the ability to recognize the nature of climate change as being imminent but also future-oriented, local yet global, and incremental yet cumulative. Teaching-wise, this particular dimension can be facilitated by scaling visualizations, temporal comparisons, mapping exercises, physical actions, and scenarios that help students understand magnitudes better.

Dimension 3: Mathematical Modeling and Probability

The third dimension of the conceptual framework involves modeling and probability, two important concepts that need to be grasped in order to comprehend the production and use of climate knowledge in decision making amid uncertainties. In public discourse on climate science, modeling, projections, scenarios, and risk probabilities are some of the recurring themes. Uncertainty may even be misconstrued as ignorance by critics while non-experts may interpret scenarios as certain predictions. It is one of the important tasks of climate education to ensure that learners have some grasp of the role of models in scientific knowledge production.

Mathematical modeling within this model means utilizing mathematical constructs to model, study, and predict characteristics of climate systems. The key thing here is that students should have some knowledge about the essence of modeling as a process of simplifying, representing, and assuming scenarios, rather than be skilled in advanced climate modeling procedures. For example, any simple exercises on population growth, energy consumption, albedo, and total emissions can be used to demonstrate the fact that models are devices used to explore connections within the system. By working with simple models of climate systems or simulating the processes, students will start to understand how scientists model different scenarios using mathematics.

The notion of probability is no less important since climate change is felt not just through the mean changes but through the risks of extreme events. Terms like the higher probability of heat waves, return period of floods, or probability distributions related to sea level rise projections cannot be properly understood without grasping the concept of probability. Many students and citizens are poor at understanding probabilities, particularly when it comes to low-probability yet catastrophic events. The term "100-year flood" is frequently misinterpreted as an event that happens once in a hundred years instead of an event whose annual probability is defined and may happen several times within a short period of time.

This component also assists students in achieving a deeper appreciation for the nuances of scientific uncertainty. While uncertainty is frequently leveraged in popular discourse to cast doubt on climate science, within scientific inquiry, uncertainty does not equate to ignorance or preclude sound knowledge. Rather, uncertainty encompasses probabilities, confidence limits, modeling assumptions, and the endless possibilities for human behavior into the future. Helping students learn to analyze probability statements, confidence limits, and scenario pathways is a way to diminish susceptibility to misinformation and foster trust in scientific reasoning. From an educational standpoint, this goes beyond content mastery into epistemological literacy.

Some possible pedagogical approaches for this dimension might be simulations, comparisons of scenarios, basic prediction models, visualization of probabilities, and investigations of local risk statistics. Such exercises would allow students to grasp the idea that models are not mere technical objects existing in some abstract realm divorced from people's lives but rather useful means of predicting risks, comparing alternatives, and guiding group decision-making processes.

Dimension 4: Civic and Socio-Emotional Action

Fourthly, the dimension of civic and socio-emotional action speaks to that neglected central issue within climate education of 'what will students do' with their learning? In order to overcome the negative effects such as fatalism or ecoanxiety that can result from a purely informational approach to the climate crisis in which the acquisition of knowledge about climate change goes unconnected to interpretation, engagement, and problem solving, a multidisciplinary approach to the topic of math literacy requires more than mere cognition.

Citizenship through mathematics in such a framework requires students to apply mathematical thinking in assessing the options available in the real world and making informed decisions about trade-offs. Learners might compute their own or their families' carbon footprint, calculate the emissions generated by alternative modes of transportation, compute the energy usage in their schools, quantify the effects of adopting certain diets or infrastructures, or even compute the costs and benefits of adopting renewable energies. These exercises can show learners how mathematics helps understand the magnitude of the issue at hand and what interventions are possible. However, these exercises must not encourage a sense of individualism in addressing the issue.

This category also encompasses the application of quantitative information in communicating to the public and civic engagement. Learners can be taught how to produce infographics, analyze policy objectives, assess municipal climate change strategies, or apply their own local data to propose modifications to transportation, energy, waste disposal, or city design systems. By doing so, mathematical literacy contributes to participatory citizenship in that learners go beyond being concerned individuals and become informed citizens engaging in public debate. Instead of taking climate change statistics at face value, learners will be capable of posing relevant questions and formulating arguments based on them.

As equally important is the socio-emotional aspect of agency. Climate change is both a cognitive issue as well as an emotional one. Often students experience feelings of fear, sorrow, anger, or despair with respect to future climates. Although this is a feeling that must be recognized, it must become something productive through the course of education. Data-driven problem solving will have a part to play in such a transformation. When students apply mathematical skills to finding potential solutions, analyzing future scenarios, and making recommendations about interventions, they may eventually see their climate knowledge as more than a source of worry and despair, but rather a source of hopefulness and efficacy.

In terms of pedagogy, this aspect might include project-based instruction, participatory action research, local climate assessments, community involvement, and interdisciplinarity that links data interpretation and communication. These methods have the potential to reduce eco-anxiety in students, as they allow them to be active interpreters and participants in events, instead of passive observers. The reason for this is that the model realizes the importance of mathematical literacy not only as a cognitive but also as an affective phenomenon.

Interconnections Among the Four Dimensions

While each dimension has been explained analytically, it is in the synthesis of the four dimensions that the strength of the framework resides. Quantitative literacy allows students to make sense of climate data, while scaling and space-time reasoning allow them to contextualize its magnitude and spread. Mathematical modeling and probabilistic reasoning prepare them for dealing with uncertainties and projections, while civic and socio-emotional action allow for translating knowledge into practice. It is not enough to do any one of these by itself. Without scaling, data analysis can result in fragmented knowledge; without modeling, scaling can be misleading; without civic involvement, modeling can be impractical; and without data literacy, civic action can be uninformed. This structure is not only integrative but also serves as an answer to the issue of fragmented curricula seen in current climate change education efforts. The reason being that instead of restricting the discussion of climate change exclusively within the scientific dimension and considering math a tool that has nothing to do with the matter, the authors consider mathematical proficiency as an integrative element connecting sciences, society, ethics, and citizenship together.

Suggested Figure for the Manuscript

In terms of publishing, the framework can be illustrated as Figure 1: A Multidisciplinary Framework for Climate Change Education through Mathematical Literacy. The use of a cyclic design would prove particularly useful. In the middle of the graphic, the desired learning result is placed: Climate Literacy and Civic Agency. Around this middle point, the four dimensions should be organized in a clockwise circle:

1. **Statistical and Data Literacy**

Interpreting graphs, trends, central tendency, variability, and climate indicators.

2. **Proportional and Spatial-Temporal Reasoning**

Understanding scale, ppm, carbon budgets, cumulative effects, and global-local dynamics.

3. **Mathematical Modeling and Probability**

Interpreting scenarios, uncertainty, prediction, recurrence, and risk.

4. **Civic and Socio-Emotional Action**

Using data for decision making, advocacy, mitigation, adaptation, and agency building.

Arrows connecting each of the four dimensions would show iterations rather than a linear progression, and the centrality of climate literacy and civic agency would demonstrate that these skills arise through the synthesis of the four dimensions. Should a table format be preferable for the journal, this diagram could be supported by a matrix of the four dimensions, along with mathematics, climate, pedagogy, and intended learning outcomes.

V. PEDAGOGICAL APPLICATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION

The utility of the suggested framework lies solely in its capacity to transform into an effective pedagogical process. For climate change education using mathematics to go from being a mere idea to an actual reality, it must find practical application within classroom settings through curriculum development and the involvement of multiple disciplines. This paper will discuss the issue of implementing the suggested framework within education, focusing specifically on issues of integrating the framework within the curriculum and multidisciplinary approaches. The goal here is not to establish a certain type of delivery, but to show how climate mathematics may be incorporated into educational practice.

Curriculum Integration: From Conceptual Framework to Classroom Practice

Integration of the curriculum under the framework demands moving away from additive methods where topics like climate change are introduced in isolation, or where math concepts are introduced as an afterthought to aid the climate change topic. Instead, there should be inter-disciplinary sequencing of the curriculum where climate events and math concepts are integrated. In such cases, math is not introduced as a method of analyzing climate events but rather used to analyze the concept. It does not matter whether students learn about climate changes first or about mathematical procedures first. What matters is that they apply mathematical concepts in exploring the concept of climate change.

Curriculum integration can happen at various levels. In a lesson-level approach, teachers can incorporate actual data on climate into their math lessons in order to help students understand math concepts such as trends, rates of change, proportion, or probability. In a unit-level approach, teachers can develop inquiry-based units in which students gather, analyze, and make sense of climate data and scientific explanations and socio-political consequences thereof. On the programmatic level, schools can develop interdisciplinary units where the themes of math, science, geography, social studies, and English are all integrated under a single climate theme. Regardless of what format is used, the core idea is that students must see climate change as a phenomenon that requires both math and public discourse skills.

The development of a classroom-ready version of such a framework is contingent upon its careful scaffolding through specific instructional tasks. It requires that students learn how to read and interpret numerical data, move beyond simple comprehension of scales, systems, and uncertainties, and consider the implications of what they have found for issues of policy and justice. This structure corresponds to the framework itself, and in turn, allows mathematics education in the classroom not to be reduced to the exercise of numeracy divorced from context.

Example 1: Algebra, Exponential Change, and Ice-Sheet Melt in Relation to Climate Displacement

For instance, the idea of integrating a cross-curricular module that looks into ice sheets' melting, increasing sea levels, and climate-related displacement can help achieve the same end. In the case of mathematics education, learners could analyze patterns of change through algebraic reasoning, graphs, and functions representing linear as well as non-linear patterns of change. Learners could look into datasets regarding loss of polar ice cover and explore the differences between linear and exponential models of change, the calculation of rates of change, and the pros and cons associated with each of those models. That way, learners get an opportunity to interact with one of the most pressing issues in climate science: the realization that natural processes don't always exhibit gradual and predictable changes. Acceleration, in particular, would become particularly relevant when discussed in terms of real-life consequences.

A second way in which the science content of the module will enhance this investigation is through the exploration of the physical mechanisms involved in changes within the cryosphere. These may include, for example, warming seas, albedo effects, glacial recession, and the connection between melting land ice and sea-level rise. In this case, students apply the connection between algebraic notation and science principles. Students do more than just identify changing rates; they explore the reasons behind the changes and the physical processes involved in the Earth's climate system.

In addition to these tasks, the social studies part of the investigation can be used to examine the human impacts associated with rising sea levels, particularly on vulnerable, coastal areas or island populations. Examples of specific issues that may come into play here include communities that are regularly flooded, are losing territory because of sea level rise, or are being relocated due to climate change. Within this process, math literacy emerges as a method of making ethical and political assessments. Specifically, students might be tasked with calculating how many people would potentially be impacted by rising sea levels under various scenarios, comparing costs, and evaluating potential policy solutions.

It is especially significant for this module since it brings together the four components of the framework proposed. The students work with statistical literacy and data interpretation by working with trends, proportional reasoning and spatiotemporal reasoning** through an understanding of the cumulative effects of melting and sea level rise, mathematical modeling and probability through scenario-based projections, and finally, civic engagement and socio-emotional learning when it comes to addressing the issues of displacement and policy. Therefore, this module provides a clear example of the role that mathematical literacy plays in bridging domains.

Example 2: Carbon Budgets, Energy Transitions, and Local Policy Deliberation

Another possible scenario relates to a lesson about carbon budgets and energy transitions that combines mathematical reasoning with policy and decision-making processes. The mathematics classroom could help students explore proportional reasoning, percentages, cumulation, and simple modeling of emissions accumulation and the impact of different emissions reduction pathways on the available carbon budget. Students may be engaged in comparing the emissions trends of different countries or regions, calculating per-capita emissions, and analyzing the influence of diverse scenarios of greenhouse gas emissions reduction on global warming trends.

The scientific element of this project could be about greenhouse gas emissions, concentrations in the atmosphere, and radiative forcing effects on the climate system. Students will understand that cumulative emissions are relevant because net zero goals have a timeframe in addition to emission levels, as well as which industries, such as energy, transport, agriculture, and construction, contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. Thus, they would see that their analysis has an intrinsic meaning within this scientific context.

The next step is for the students to make an assessment of a local energy-related problem or dilemma, such as calculating the costs and benefits of installing photovoltaic panels at their schools, evaluating various forms of public transportation versus building new roads, or analyzing municipal climate action plans. The students can even look into publicly available energy or budget information and make suggestions based on their analysis and findings. As a result, they learn that mathematics is used for more than description but also for argumentation, informing their ability to participate in public debates as literate citizens.

This module is also very socially and emotionally important for the students. Instead of looking at climate change as a gigantic and inescapable problem affecting the entire world, the module shows students how they can use numbers to determine what kinds of actions are feasible within their local environment. Determining how much less emission is produced, how much more efficient energy sources are, or how much money can be saved through renewable technologies will give students the ability to take concrete actions, thereby overcoming feelings of helplessness.

Principles for Effective Implementation

Although the examples provided above provide an indication that the framework is indeed feasible, there are some broader pedagogical considerations that should be taken into account when implementing the framework effectively. The first consideration relates to the need for tasks to be based on real data and real-world questions. If the task itself does not present the student with the opportunity to analyze a realistic problem, then the task will not be conducive to building interpretation and relevance.

Second, interdisciplinary learning has to make conceptual sense beyond thematic sense alone. Linking math, science, and the humanities within a curriculum is not enough if the relationships between them lack depth. It is essential that educators demonstrate how mathematical concepts are relevant to the understanding of the processes involved in science and how these in turn relate to social, ethical, or political issues.

Third, climate literacy programs should be cognitively and developmentally appropriate. The intricacies of climate systems and math skills have to be adjusted to the cognitive stage of young people. Younger children may focus on patterns, ratios, and local weather, while older ones can use statistics, algebra, and analyze policies.

The approach is thus scalable, though its effective implementation hinges on careful tailoring to age, context, and existing knowledge.

Fourth, teaching needs to consider both cognitive and emotional dimensions. Climate education is emotionally laden, and students' emotional reactions may influence their receptiveness to challenging material. It is thus necessary to design classroom settings where confusion, worry, even anxiety can be recognized, but students have channels to take action, converse, and solve problems. Mathematically literate students can be better equipped to do this through concrete instruments.

Multidisciplinary Teaming and Collaborative Planning

A framework of this nature cannot be realized effectively by any individual classroom teacher working alone. It is a comprehensive framework designed to incorporate mathematical, scientific, social, and civic aspects of climate change learning. Thus, interdisciplinary team teaching is required not as an extra ingredient, but as an essential condition for implementation. Successful cooperation between mathematicians, scientists, and social studies/humanities educators will allow the creation of interdisciplinary experiences which none of the disciplines could provide alone.

Team teaching, based on interdisciplinary planning, is built around common questions rather than individual content standards. The unit developed by the team can address questions like these: Why do we believe that there has been climate change? Can minor changes have major effects? Which people are most affected by the climate change phenomenon? Why is that the case? What can communities do about it? Such questions help form a solid foundation for all of the disciplines involved while each discipline approaches the question from its perspective. For example, mathematics can offer techniques for dealing with trends, proportions, and probabilities, whereas the science discipline can explain processes, interactions, and mechanisms involved.

Implementation through collaboration might come in various ways. In some instances, teachers could plan and implement parallel lessons independently but align their teaching plans through a joint project or assessment. Alternatively, team teaching or block scheduling might facilitate more seamless integration across disciplines. Institutions with flexible curricula could also implement thematic climate units or culminating projects that integrate multiple disciplines within weeks. While structural limitations could prevent full integration in some cases, consistent collaboration could assist teachers in aligning vocabulary, sequencing concepts appropriately, and cultivating common habits of analysis.

Additionally, professional development could prove indispensable for effective multidisciplinary collaboration. It is likely that many mathematics instructors would be uncomfortable teaching climate-related topics. Similarly, science and humanities instructors might lack confidence teaching quantitative reasoning skills. Cross-disciplinary professional learning communities would enable such professionals to collaborate in preparing materials, exchanging resources, and engaging in reflective discourse. In the process, it could broaden the notion of discipline-specific contributions. For instance, math teachers do not only teach mathematics concepts to enable scientific understanding. They are also teaching students the language to critically evaluate one of the most pressing public issues of our century.

Institutional and Curricular Considerations

Also needed are institutional structures in place to facilitate the implementation of this framework. In order for educators and policymakers to effectively promote the inclusion of climate change in an interdisciplinary fashion, they will need to realize that it takes time, adaptability, and legitimacy within the curriculum to do so. If the study of climate issues is seen to be secondary to the material being tested by educators, then teachers will likely not be encouraged or able to implement the integration of climate into their lessons.

It should also be noted that assessment needs to be rethought. Traditional assessments tend to focus on accuracy and recall abilities, rather than the interdisciplinary skills highlighted in this framework. In order for assessments to be accurate reflections of students' growth in relation to the framework, they will need to include components of data interpretation, reasoning across multiple scales, model criticism, and making use of evidence to solve real-world problems. Therefore, performance-based tasks, inquiry portfolios, presentations, and data analysis activities will likely fit the bill better than standardized tests.

It is necessary to go beyond innovation, which must involve reconsidering the curriculum as a domain where knowledge from different disciplines can meet to address critical problems in society. One such problem is that of climate change, which can be approached through the literacy of mathematics.

VI. CONCLUSION

Climate change education finds itself at a pivotal juncture. With the deepening of the climate emergency, the task before education is not merely one of increasing public awareness regarding environmental change or conveying the science of atmospheric dynamics. Instead, education requires individuals to be able to make sense of evidence, think across temporal and spatial scales, grapple with uncertainty and risk, and deliberate on civic choices for shaping shared futures. In this paper, I have demonstrated that these ends of education cannot be achieved unless mathematics forms an integral part of climate change education. Since climate change is publicly encountered through graphs, predictions, probabilistic language, carbon budgets, and debates around evidence, then climate literacy, by its very nature, demands a familiarity with such quantitative representations.

By contrast, the approach outlined in this paper addresses the gap by defining climate change education in terms of four complementary dimensions: statistical literacy and numeracy, proportionality and spatio-temporal thinking, mathematization and probabilistic thinking, and civic action and socio-emotional responses. Collectively, these dimensions provide a more complete depiction of the knowledge and skills that are required for understanding climate change not just as a scientific issue, but as a socially mediated and morally complex problem. Additionally, the approach presented here strives to remedy an often-criticized shortcoming within current models of climate education – the separation of climate knowledge and climate literacy from the forms of mathematically oriented thinking that give rise to such knowledge.

Through embedding the framework in constructivist approaches to learning, critical mathematics education, and systems thinking, the essay has shown that the inclusion of mathematical literacy within climate change education cannot only be seen as a novel addition to the curriculum but as a theoretical requirement for teaching. Constructivist and inquiry traditions emphasize the need to engage students with actual numbers and problems. Critical mathematics education emphasizes the significance of numerical reasoning in exposing issues of power, social inequalities, and representation. Systems thinking explains why the complex nature of climate change requires a form of reasoning that can handle nonlinearity, feedback loops, thresholds, and lag effects. Collectively, these theories illustrate that mathematical literacy is not merely a supplementary competence that comes after scientific knowledge acquisition but a necessary condition for understanding.

There are considerable pedagogical consequences of this line of reasoning. The incorporation of mathematical literacy into climate change studies affords the opportunity for learners to progress from simply being aware to having interpretative capacity and empowerment. This is because they will be able to differentiate between weather and climate phenomena, comprehend the import of minuscule figures regarding global averages, grasp issues around carbon storage and emissions, assess models, and critically assess the claims regarding climate issues made in the public domain. Equally, it gives an opportunity to translate the awareness of climate change issues into data-supported actions that include local investigation, policy assessment, energy consumption assessments, and community advocacy.

In a more general sense, I want to argue that we need to rethink the educational importance of mathematical literacy in the age of the Anthropocene. As humanity faces the challenge of an unstable earth, with increasing risks and hazards related to the environment, and an ever greater reliance on data-driven narratives in public discussion, mathematical literacy should not be thought of simply as being able to manipulate mathematical symbols or equations detached from context. Mathematical literacy is a way of thinking, of making sense of a world defined by uncertainty, interdependence, and competing data. The ability to make sense of a world like that – one undergoing climate change – requires mathematical literacy.

It is important to state, therefore, that the main argument of this research work is an educational one, as well as a civic one. It is important to mention that numeracy, or the ability to read numbers and statistics, is one of the most essential skills for living in the Anthropocene era. Its inclusion in climate change education could be vital in transforming students' roles from passive witnesses of the disaster to active and well-informed agents of change who are able to make use of the relevant data and solve the problems related to climate change.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, A. (2012). Climate change education for mitigation and adaptation. Journal of Education for Sustainable Development, 6(2), 191–206. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973408212475199
2. Boaler, J. (2016). Mathematical mindsets: Unleashing students’ potential through creative math. Jossey-Bass.
3. Bofferding, L., & Mumme, J. (2015). Mathematical literacy for climate change education. Journal of Mathematics Education, 8(2), 1–16.
4. Boylan, M. (2018). Enabling adaptive system leadership: Teachers leading professional development. Educational Action Research, 26(3), 367–385.
5. Brown, M., & Kloser, M. (2009/2010 updated editions widely cited). Conceptualizing climate literacy. International Journal of Science Education.
6. Cordero, E. C., Centeno, D., & Todd, A. M. (2020). The role of climate change education on individual lifetime carbon emissions. PLOS ONE, 15(2), e0206266. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206266

7. Darling-Hammond, L., et al. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. Learning Policy Institute.
8. IPCC. (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
9. IPCC. (2018). Global warming of 1.5°C. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
10. Jorgenson, S. N., Stephens, J. C., & White, B. (2019). Environmental education in transition. Environmental Education Research, 25(11), 1609–1626.
11. Kilinc, A., Stanisstreet, M., & Boyes, E. (2011). Turkish students' ideas about global warming. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 6(2), 147–164.
12. Monroe, M. C., Plate, R. R., Oxarart, A., Bowers, A., & Chaves, W. A. (2017). Identifying effective climate change education strategies. Environmental Education Research, 23(6), 791–812.
13. National Research Council. (2012). A framework for K-12 science education. National Academies Press.
14. OECD. (2013). PISA 2012 assessment and analytical framework: Mathematics, reading, science. OECD Publishing.
15. OECD. (2019). PISA 2018 results (Volume I): What students know and can do. OECD Publishing.
16. O'Neill, S., & Nicholson-Cole, S. (2009/2010 widely used in later literature). Fear won't do it: Promoting positive engagement. Science Communication.
17. Skovsmose, O. (2011). An invitation to critical mathematics education. Sense Publishers.
18. Sterman, J. D. (2011). Communicating climate change risks in a skeptical world. Climatic Change, 108(4), 811–826.
19. Stevenson, R. B., Nicholls, J., & Whitehouse, H. (2017). What is climate change education? Curriculum Perspectives, 37(1), 67–71.
20. UNESCO. (2015). Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives. UNESCO Publishing.
21. UNESCO. (2017). Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives. UNESCO.
22. Wals, A. E. J. (2011). Learning our way to sustainability. Journal of Education for Sustainable Development, 5(2), 177–186.
23. Wheeler, S. M. (2013). Planning for sustainability. Routledge.
24. Wilks, J., & Harris, F. (2016). Environmental education and sustainability. Journal of Education for Sustainable Development.
25. Zeyer, A., & Roth, W.-M. (2013). Post-ecological discourse in science education. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 8(3), 635–661.